The Washoe County Board of Commissioners convened at 10:09 a.m. in regular session in the Commission Chambers of the Washoe County Administration Complex, 1001 East Ninth Street, Reno, Nevada. Following the Pledge of Allegiance to the flag of our Country, the Clerk called the roll and the Board conducted the following business:

14-1017 AGENDA ITEM 3 - PRESENTATION

Agenda Subject: “Presentation--Glenn Duncan Elementary School Choir.”

The Glenn Duncan Elementary School Choir sang a selection of Christmas carols.

Susan Kehoe, Glenn Duncan STEM Academy Principal, said she appreciated the County’s partnership and the money the County provided would be used for the school’s garden.

There was no public comment or action taken on this item.

14-1018 AGENDA ITEM 4 – PUBLIC COMMENT

Agenda Subject: “Public Comment. Comment heard under this item will be limited to three minutes per person and may pertain to matters both on and off the Commission agenda. The Commission will also hear public comment during individual action items, with comment limited to three minutes per person. Comments are to be made to the Commission as a whole.”

Garth Elliott wondered why Tesla chose to locate in Storey County and said Washoe County needed to be more business friendly for high-tech businesses.
Tracey Thomas, Technology Services, asked the Board not to approve the updates to the Family Leave Policy in Agenda Item 8H4. Her comments were placed on file with the Clerk.

Pat Phillips thanked the Board for the Wild Horse and Burro Protection Resolution. She said Nevada’s wild horses provided a great opportunity to attract tourists. She also thanked the Board for their hard work during the economic downturn and for managing future growth.

Louise Martin, from the American Wild Horse Preservation Campaign, expressed gratitude for the Wild Horse and Burro Protection Resolution and spoke about the development of a Cooperative Agreement for the humane management of the Virginia Range mustangs. She provided the Board with a copy of her comments and a letter from the Storey County Manager, which were placed on file with the Clerk.

Levi Hooper talked about homelessness and asked the Board for help. He said he was trying to be the voice of the downtrodden.

Sam Dehne said he was sorry to see Chairman Humke and Commissioner Weber leave the Board. He also spoke about his issues with the Reno Gazette Journal (RGJ).

14-1019 AGENDA ITEM 5 – ANNOUNCEMENTS

Agenda Subject: “Commissioners’/Manager’s announcements, reports/updates from County Commission members concerning various boards/commissions they may be a member of or liaison to. Requests for information, topics for future agendas and any ideas and suggestions for greater efficiency, cost effectiveness and innovation in County government. (No discussion among Commissioners will take place on this item.)”

John Slaughter, County Manager, said the Christmas tree in the atrium of the Administration Complex was placed there by Park Rangers in celebration of a program which resulted in the donation of holiday trees to local foster and National Guard families. He explained the trees were harvested from Galena Creek Park as part of a fuels reduction program. He advised the Board about time certain events for the meeting.

Commissioner Jung talked about the library at the Reno Town Mall. She thought the County should make an effort to drive business to the mall through the Buy Local Campaign. She reported that she and Commissioner Weber participated in a forum with Sun Valley constituents and said she thought it was time to create a Neighborhood Advisory Board specifically for her District. She said the County should put some money aside for more citizen engagement. She talked about a program in Madison, Wisconsin, where empty homes were retrofitted to provide shelters for the homeless. She said she would like to see the program replicated in Nevada and thought the County could get
creative with empty spaces. She asked staff to review the Code of Conduct for people who accessed County facilities because she thought it was outdated.

Commissioner Hartung said he attended a spirited Citizen Advisory Board (CAB) meeting in the East Truckee Canyon and most of the issues in that community were related to medical and fire matters.

Commissioner Berbigler thanked Commissioner Jung for her comments about the homeless situation. She wondered if staff could arrange a drive for the collection of blankets and coats because she was worried about upcoming bad weather. She thanked the Glenn Duncan Elementary choir for their performance.

Commissioner Weber agreed the County should take up a collection for the homeless before the stormy weather arrived and asked staff to set up a donation site in Chambers during the meeting. She mentioned the Amyotrophic Lateral Sclerosis (ALS) challenge and reminded Board members to turn in their checks for the charity. She said she attended her last North Valleys CAB meeting and asked for a community forum to be held in the North Valleys that would include the County, the Nevada Department of Transportation (NDOT), the Regional Transportation Commission (RTC) and the Airport Authority.

Chairman Humke introduced and congratulated the two new incoming Commissioners, Bob Lucey and Jeanne Herman. He expressed gratitude for the choir performance by the Glenn Duncan Elementary students.

14-1020 AGENDA ITEM 6 – HUMAN RESOURCES

Agenda Subject: “Presentation of Excellence in Public Service Certificates honoring the following Washoe County employees who have completed essential employee development courses.”

John Slaughter, County Manager, recognized the following employees for successful completion of the Excellence in Public Service Certificate Programs administered by the Human Resources Department:

**Essentials of Personal Effectiveness**
Lynn Broyles, Comptroller’s Office
Ruth Castillo, District Health Department

**Promote Yourself!**
Ashley Tatomer, District Health Department

Commissioner Weber said the Board appreciated all of the employees for the work they did to make their professional lives better.

In response to the call for public comment, Sam Dehne expressed appreciation for the efforts of County employees.
AGENDA ITEM 7A – RESOLUTION

Agenda Subject: “Resolution—Wild Horse and Burro Protection in Northern Nevada. Requested by Chairman Humke.”

Chairman Humke read the Resolution. He explained there was a band of horses, known as the Virginia Range band, which was not protected under federal legislation and the County hoped to protect the wild horses through cooperative agreements.

In response to the call for public comment, Garth Elliott said the wild horses were unique to Nevada and could be utilized to promote ecotourism.

Commissioner Jung moved to adopt Agenda Item 7A. Commissioner Berkbigler seconded the motion.

Commissioner Jung said she was excited about the Resolution and staff had been directed to look into the issue from a policy perspective. She thought the wild horses were majestic and beautiful, but did not belong in developments.

Commissioner Berkbigler said the Virginia Range horses were incredible animals and she was glad the County was doing something to help protect them.

Chairman Hume thanked the constituents who led the initiative.

On call for the question, the motion passed on a vote of 5-0.

AGENDA ITEM 7B – RESOLUTION

Agenda Subject: “Resolution of Appreciation—South Truckee Meadows General Improvement District (STMGID) Board of Trustees: Robert D. Acheson, Steve Cohen, Gary Tavernetti, E. Sue Saunders, and Gerald Schumacher.”

Commissioner Weber read and presented the Resolution to the South Truckee Meadows General Improvement District (STMGID) Board of Trustees.

Steven Cohen, Chairman of the STMGID Board of Trustees, thanked the Board. He said he had fun serving on the STMGID Board and a lot had been accomplished.

Gary Tavernetti, STMGID Trustee, said it was a pleasure to serve on the STMGID Board and he felt water quality and rates were much better than when he first moved to the County. He said he expected the Truckee Meadows Water Authority (TMWA) to maintain the water quality and he thanked the Board for the Resolution.
Chairman Humke spoke about the history of STMGID and said there were a lot of people to thank. He said the book was being closed on SMGID, but it had a great run.

Commissioner Hartung moved to adopt Agenda Item 7B. Commissioner Berkbigler seconded the motion. Commissioner Hartung thanked the STMGID Board and specifically Mr. Cohen for his guidance. He said the STMGID Board had done a great job.

Chairman Humke added special thanks to Ted Short, who he said put his heart and soul into STMGID.

There was no public comment on this item.

On call for the question, the motion passed on a vote of 5-0.

**BLOCK VOTE**

Chairman Hartung proposed to group Agenda Items 7C through 7J under a block vote. Commissioner Weber was present for all of the items, but left the meeting just before the vote and consequently was not present for it.

14-1023 **AGENDA ITEM 7C – CERTIFICATE OF APPRECIATION**

*Agenda Subject:* “Certificate of Appreciation—Jesse Haw, Chairman, Washoe County Organizational Effectiveness Committee.”

Jesse Haw was not in attendance to receive the certificate.

There was no public comment on this item.

On motion by Commissioner Berkbigler, seconded by Commissioner Hartung, which motion duly carried with Commissioner Weber absent, it was ordered that Agenda Item 7C be acknowledged.

14-1024 **AGENDA ITEM 7D – CERTIFICATE OF APPRECIATION**

*Agenda Subject:* “Certificate of Appreciation—Ryan Souza in support of Washoe County Sheriff’s Office K9 Unit.”

Commissioner Weber read the certificate of appreciation to Ryan Souza.

There was no public comment on this item.

Commissioner Hartung explained this was just one of Mr. Souza’s many benevolent endeavors and he thanked him for his generosity.
On motion by Commissioner Berkbigler, seconded by Commissioner Hartung, which motion duly carried with Commissioner Weber absent, it was ordered that Agenda Item 7D be acknowledged.

**14-1025 AGENDA ITEM 7E – CERTIFICATE OF APPRECIATION**

**Agenda Subject:** “Certificate of Appreciation—Richard Gammick, Washoe County District Attorney.”

There was no public comment on this item.

Richard Gammick, District Attorney, commented that when he went to law school he had no idea he would spend 30 years chasing crooks, but he loved doing it. He said it was time to move on and he thanked the Board for their support.

On motion by Commissioner Berkbigler, seconded by Commissioner Hartung, which motion duly carried with Commissioner Weber absent, it was ordered that Agenda Item 7E be acknowledged.

**14-1026 AGENDA ITEM 7F – CERTIFICATE OF APPRECIATION**

**Agenda Subject:** “Certificate of Appreciation—Michael Haley, Washoe County Sheriff.”

Michael Haley, Sheriff, thanked the public, the Board and all the volunteers for their support. He said he regretted that he could not do the right thing for everyone, but said it was hard to do when there was so much need. He said his career had been a humbling experience and he was proud of the work he had done. He spoke about longtime volunteer, Laurie Sears, who had just lost her battle to cancer, and said he wanted to honor her work with the Sheriff’s Office.

There was no public comment on this item.

On motion by Commissioner Berkbigler, seconded by Commissioner Hartung, which motion duly carried with Commissioner Weber absent, it was ordered that Agenda Item 7F be acknowledged.

**14-1027 AGENDA ITEM 7G – CERTIFICATE OF APPRECIATION**

**Agenda Subject:** “Certificate of Appreciation—Joe Kubo, Incline Village Constable.”

Joe Kubo, Constable, was not present to receive the certificate.

There was no public comment on this item.
On motion by Commissioner Berkbigler, seconded by Commissioner Hartung, which motion duly carried with Commissioner Weber absent, it was ordered that Agenda Item 7G be acknowledged.

14-1028  **AGENDA ITEM 7H – CERTIFICATE OF APPRECIATION**

**Agenda Subject:** “Certificate of Appreciation—Josh Wilson, Washoe County Assessor.”

Josh Wilson, Assessor, thanked taxpayers for the opportunity to serve them as Tax Assessor for eight years. He was proud of the accomplishments the office had made in keeping up with 21st century technology. He said he could not have achieved anything without the great people who worked in the Assessor’s Office and said he was indebted to them.

There was no public comment on this item.

On motion by Commissioner Berkbigler, seconded by Commissioner Hartung, which motion duly carried with Commissioner Weber absent, it was ordered that Agenda Item 7H be acknowledged.

14-1029  **AGENDA ITEM 7I – PRESENTATION OF APPRECIATION**

**Agenda Subject:** “Presentation of Appreciation—Bonnie Weber, Vice-Chairman, Washoe County Commission.”

John Slaughter, County Manager, read and presented the award to Commissioner Weber.

Commissioner Weber expressed gratitude to all the people she had ever worked with. She specifically thanked Chairman Humke and talked about Board responsibilities. She talked about memories she had made and thanked voters for electing her for three terms. (Refer to Block Vote, page 5)

There was no public comment on this item.

On motion by Commissioner Berkbigler, seconded by Commissioner Hartung, which motion duly carried with Commissioner Weber absent, it was ordered that Agenda Item 7I be acknowledged.

14-1030  **AGENDA ITEM 7J – PRESENTATION OF APPRECIATION**

**Agenda Subject:** “Presentation of Appreciation—David Humke, Chairman, Washoe County Commission.”
John Slaughter, County Manager, read and presented the award to Chairman Humke.

Chairman Humke said it had been a great run. He commented that he came into the office the same day as Commissioner Weber and that they would be going out on the same day as well. He thanked her for her advice and counsel. He thanked current and past Commissioners and mentioned the new incoming Commissioners. He said it had been a pleasure to work with everyone in the executive and judicial branches and said he did not think there was anything quite like representational democracy.

A video tribute to Chairman Humke and Commissioner Weber was shown. A copy of the video was placed on file with the Clerk.

Chairman Hartung said he wanted to acknowledge Tim Kuzanek and Sheriff Haley’s staff of Assistant Sheriffs. He said they were amazing and it was an honor to work with them.

There was no public comment on this item.

11:48 a.m. Commissioner Weber left the meeting.

On motion by Commissioner Berkbigler, seconded by Commissioner Hartung, which motion duly carried with Commissioner Weber absent, it was ordered that Agenda Item 7J be acknowledged.

11:51 a.m. Commissioner Weber returned to the meeting.

CONSENT ITEMS – 8A THROUGH 8M(2)

14-1031 AGENDA ITEM 8A

Agenda Subject: “Approve minutes for the Board of County Commissioners’ October 14, 2014 meeting.”

There was no public comment on this item.

On motion by Commissioner Hartung, seconded by Commissioner Jung, which motion duly carried, it was ordered that Agenda Item 8A be approved.

14-1032 AGENDA ITEM 8B

Agenda Subject: “Cancel January 20, 2015 County Commission Meeting.”

There was no public comment on this item.
On motion by Commissioner Hartung, seconded by Commissioner Jung, which motion duly carried, it was ordered that Agenda Item 8B be approved.

14-1033  AGENDA ITEM 8C - ASSESSOR

Agenda Subject: “Approve roll change requests, pursuant to NRS 361.768 and NRS 361.765, for errors discovered for the 2013/2014 secured tax roll and authorize Chairman to execute the changes described in Exhibit A and direct the Washoe County Treasurer to correct the error(s); [cumulative amount of decrease $8,468.20]. (Parcels are in various Commission Districts.)”

There was no public comment on this item.

On motion by Commissioner Hartung, seconded by Commissioner Jung, which motion duly carried, it was ordered that Agenda Item 8C be approved, authorized and directed.

14-1034  AGENDA ITEM 8D – DISTRICT ATTORNEY

Agenda Subject: “Approve payments [$7,231] to vendors for assistance of 46 victims of sexual assault and authorize Comptroller to process same. NRS 217.310 requires payment by the County of total initial medical care of victims, regardless of cost, and of follow-up treatment costs of up to $1,000 for victims, victim’s spouses and other eligible persons. (All Commission Districts.)”

There was no public comment on this item.

On motion by Commissioner Hartung, seconded by Commissioner Jung, which motion duly carried, it was ordered that Agenda Item 8D be approved.

14-1035  AGENDA ITEM 8E – HEALTH DISTRICT

Agenda Subject: “Approve amendments [increase of $63,773] in both revenue and expense to the FY15 CDC Public Health Preparedness – BP2 Carry-Over Federal Grant Program, IO 11217; and direct the Comptroller’s Office to make the appropriate budget adjustments. (All Commission Districts.)”

There was no public comment on this item.

On motion by Commissioner Hartung, seconded by Commissioner Jung, which motion duly carried, it was ordered that Agenda Item 8E be approved and directed.

14-1036  AGENDA ITEM 8F – SOCIAL SERVICES

Agenda Subject: “Accept Notice of Sub-Grant Award Amendment #1 to the Child Abuse and Neglect Program [$5,000, no match required] from the State of Nevada -
Division of Child and Family Services to support child protective services retroactive to July 1, 2014 through December 31, 2014; and direct the Comptroller’s Office to make the appropriate budget adjustments. (All Commission Districts.)”

There was no public comment on this item.

On motion by Commissioner Hartung, seconded by Commissioner Jung, which motion duly carried, it was ordered that Agenda Item 8F be accepted and directed.

14-1037 AGENDA ITEM 8G1 – COMMUNITY SERVICES

Agenda Subject: “Approve a State of Nevada Manufacturer’s Craft Distillery License application, with recommendations contained in the staff report, for Ryan Cherrick and Joshua Nichols, dba Branded Hearts Distillery, Inc. and if approved, authorize each Commissioner to sign the State of Nevada Application for Manufacturer’s License with direction for the County Clerk to attest the license application. (Commission District 1.)”

There was no public comment on this item.

On motion by Commissioner Hartung, seconded by Commissioner Jung, which motion duly carried, it was ordered that Agenda Item 8G1 be approved and authorized.

14-1038 AGENDA ITEM 8G2 – COMMUNITY SERVICES


There was no public comment on this item.

On motion by Commissioner Hartung, seconded by Commissioner Jung, which motion duly carried, it was ordered that Agenda Item 8G2 be acknowledged.

14-1039 AGENDA ITEM 8G3 – COMMUNITY SERVICES

Agenda Subject: “Adopt a Resolution of Surplus and Notice of Intent to Transfer APN 007-111-12, a 1,200 square foot sliver parcel located at 0 Codel Way as authorized under NRS 244.281; and all other matters properly related thereto. (Commission District 3.)”

There was no public comment on this item.
On motion by Commissioner Hartung, seconded by Commissioner Jung, which motion duly carried, it was ordered that Agenda Item 8G3 be adopted. The Resolution for same is attached hereto and made a part of the minutes thereof.

14-1040  AGENDA ITEM 8G4 – COMMUNITY SERVICES

Agenda Subject: “Approve the Quit Claim Deed to Convey Water Rights transferring 7.60 acre-feet of water rights from Washoe County to George W. Gillemot, Trustee of the George W. Gillemot Family Trust dated December 14, 1984. (Commission District 2.)”

There was no public comment on this item.

On motion by Commissioner Hartung, seconded by Commissioner Jung, which motion duly carried, it was ordered that Agenda Item 8G4 be approved.

14-1041  AGENDA ITEM 8G5 – COMMUNITY SERVICES

Agenda Subject: “Approve an Agreement for Full Service Operation and Maintenance of Washoe County Sewer Facilities between Washoe County and SPB Utility Services, Inc. for a five-year period from January 1, 2015 to December 31, 2019 [estimated cost of $78,056.30 per month]. (All Commission Districts.)”

There was no public comment on this item.

On motion by Commissioner Hartung, seconded by Commissioner Jung, which motion duly carried, it was ordered that Agenda Item 8G5 be approved.

14-1042  AGENDA ITEM 8G6 – COMMUNITY SERVICES

Agenda Subject: “Approve an Exclusive Flowage Easement and Non-Exclusive Access Easement between Washoe County and the State of Nevada over a portion of APN 084-700-01 (commonly known as the Lockwood Trailhead). (Commission District 4.)”

There was no public comment on this item.

On motion by Commissioner Hartung, seconded by Commissioner Jung, which motion duly carried, it was ordered that Agenda Item 8G6 be approved.

14-1043  AGENDA ITEM 8G7 – COMMUNITY SERVICES

Agenda Subject: “Approve an Interlocal Agreement between Washoe County and the Truckee Meadows Water Authority to provide Operations and Maintenance Services for the Golden Valley Artificial Recharge Program. (All Commission Districts.)”
There was no public comment on this item.

On motion by Commissioner Hartung, seconded by Commissioner Jung, which motion duly carried, it was ordered that Agenda Item 8G7 be approved. The Interlocal Agreement for same is attached hereto and made a part of the minutes thereof.

**14-1044 AGENDA ITEM 8G8 – COMMUNITY SERVICES**

**Agenda Subject:** “Approve an Interlocal Agreement between Washoe County and the Truckee Meadows Water Authority to each provide services deemed necessary to further the implementation of the Water Utility Merger. (All Commission Districts.)”

There was no public comment on this item.

On motion by Commissioner Hartung, seconded by Commissioner Jung, which motion duly carried, it was ordered that Agenda Item 8G8 be approved. The Interlocal Agreement for same is attached hereto and made a part of the minutes thereof.

**14-1045 AGENDA ITEM 8H1 – HUMAN RESOURCES**

**Agenda Subject:** “Approve a revision to the accrual and crediting terms of Personal Leave, beginning in 2015, from annual accrual (Pay Period #1 through Pay Period #26/#27) and credited in the first full pay period in January) to semi-annual accrual (Pay Period #1 through #13 credited in July and Pay Period #14 through #26/#27 credited in January) for Unclassified Management; Non-Represented Confidential, Confidential Attorneys, Chief Deputies, Juvenile Services, Second Judicial District Court, and Justice Court employees (including Justice of the Peace) commensurate with several recently negotiated agreements such as the Washoe County Employees Association (WCEA); the Washoe County Nurses Association (WCNA); the Washoe County District Attorney Investigator’s Association (WCDAIA) and the Washoe County Sheriff’s Supervisory Deputies Association (WCSSSDA). (All Commission Districts.)”

There was no public comment on this item.

On motion by Commissioner Hartung, seconded by Commissioner Jung, which motion duly carried, it was ordered that Agenda Item 8H1 be approved.

**14-1046 AGENDA ITEM 8H2 – HUMAN RESOURCES**

**Agenda Subject:** “Approve requests for reclassification of a Library Assistant II, pay grade G, to a Library Assistant III, pay grade H (Library), an Engineering Inspector, pay grade L, to a Water, Sewer and Civil Project Inspector Supervisor, pay grade N (Community Services), and a new intermittent hourly Account Clerk,
pay grade G (Treasurer) as evaluated by the Job Evaluation Committee; and reclassification of the Forensic Investigator I job class from pay grade H to pay grade J, and the Forensic Investigator II job class from pay grade J to pay grade L (Sheriff’s Office) as evaluated by the HAY Group. Net annual cost [estimated at $53,868]. (All Commission Districts.)”

There was no public comment on this item.

On motion by Commissioner Hartung, seconded by Commissioner Jung, which motion duly carried, it was ordered that Agenda Item 8H2 be approved.

14-1047 AGENDA ITEM 8H3 – HUMAN RESOURCES

Agenda Subject: “Approve updates to the Washoe County Discrimination and Harassment Policy (exhibit 1) to include provisions addressing gender identity or expression added to the Nevada Revised Statutes in 2013; no fiscal impact. (All Commission Districts.)”

There was no public comment on this item.

On motion by Commissioner Hartung, seconded by Commissioner Jung, which motion duly carried, it was ordered that Agenda Item 8H3 be approved.

14-1048 AGENDA ITEM 8H4 – HUMAN RESOURCES

Agenda Subject: “Approve updates to the Washoe County Family Medical Leave Policy (exhibit 1) to include provisions addressing new federal amendments to the Family Medical Leave Act; no fiscal impact. (All Commission Districts.)”

Commissioner Hartung noted there had been some public comment in regards to Agenda Item 8H4. He said he would like more information from staff and wondered if it would be a good idea to table the discussion until the Board had more information. He said the Family Medical Leave Act (FMLA) was a great tool and he wanted to be sure the issue was handled the right way for County employees.

Chairman Humke asked John Slaughter, County Manager, if it would be okay to continue the item to another day. Mr. Slaughter replied that staff was prepared to discuss the item and said it was up to the Board’s discretion. Chairman Humke requested that the item be tabled and continued to a future date. Mr. Slaughter said the item would be moved to the next available meeting agenda.

In response to the call for public comment, Carla Fells, Washoe County Employees Association (WCEA), thanked Commissioner Hartung for his suggestion to table this Agenda Item. She said employees were gravely concerned about the interpretation of the federal statute, since one of the proposals was for sick time and FMLA time to run concurrently, which she thought created a disincentive for employees
to build up their sick time. She said FMLA provided protection for short term disabilities and the WCEA wanted to make sure it would continue to be used in that way. She said she looked forward to working with Management on the issue.

Commissioner Weber thanked Commissioner Hartung for requesting continuance of the item.

This item was pulled from the agenda to be continued to a future meeting.

14-1049 AGENDA ITEM 8H5 – HUMAN RESOURCES

Agenda Subject: “Approve the elimination of unused or obsolete job classifications as listed in Exhibit 1; no fiscal impact. (All Commission Districts.)”

There was no public comment on this item.

On motion by Commissioner Hartung, seconded by Commissioner Jung, which motion duly carried, it was ordered that Agenda Item 8H5 be approved.

14-1050 AGENDA ITEM 8I1 - MANAGER

Agenda Subject: “Acknowledge receipt of Annual Report from the Internal Audit Division for FY 2013-14. (All Commission Districts.)”

There was no public comment on this item.

On motion by Commissioner Hartung, seconded by Commissioner Jung, which motion duly carried, it was ordered that Agenda Item 8I1 be acknowledged.

14-1051 AGENDA ITEM 8I2 - MANAGER

Agenda Subject: “Acknowledge receipt of Incline Village – Crystal Bay Justice Court Minimum Accounting Standards Audit Report from the Internal Audit Division. (Commission District 1.)”

There was no public comment on this item.

On motion by Commissioner Hartung, seconded by Commissioner Jung, which motion duly carried, it was ordered that Agenda Item 8I2 be acknowledged.

14-1052 AGENDA ITEM 8I3 - MANAGER

Agenda Subject: “Acknowledge receipt of Second Judicial District Court Minimum Accounting Standards Audit Report from the Internal Audit Division. (All Commission Districts.)”
There was no public comment on this item.

On motion by Commissioner Hartung, seconded by Commissioner Jung, which motion duly carried, it was ordered that Agenda Item 8I3 be acknowledged.

14-1053 **AGENDA ITEM 8I4 - MANAGER**

**Agenda Subject:** “Acknowledge receipt of Sparks Justice Court Minimum Accounting Standards Audit Report from the Internal Audit Division. (Commission District 4.)

There was no public comment on this item.

On motion by Commissioner Hartung, seconded by Commissioner Jung, which motion duly carried, it was ordered that Agenda Item 8I4 be acknowledged.

14-1054 **AGENDA ITEM 8I5 - MANAGER**

**Agenda Subject:** “Approve (retroactive to July 1, 2014) Washoe County, Nevada Grant Program Contracts FY 2014-2015 for grants in the following amounts: Access to Healthcare Network [$31,500]; Incline Village Community Hospital Foundation [$27,000]; and approve Resolutions necessary for same. (All Commission Districts.)

There was no public comment on this item.

On motion by Commissioner Hartung, seconded by Commissioner Jung, which motion duly carried, it was ordered that Agenda Item 8I5 be approved. The Resolutions for same are attached hereto and made a part of the minutes thereof.

14-1055 **AGENDA ITEM 8I6 - MANAGER**

**Agenda Subject:** “Approve (retroactive to July 1, 2014) Washoe County, Nevada Grant Program Contract FY 2014-2015 for a Washoe County Special Purpose grant to the Economic Development Authority of Western Nevada (EDAWN) [$41,300]; approve Resolution necessary for same, and direct Comptroller’s Office to make the appropriate budget adjustments. (All Commission Districts.)”

There was no public comment on this item.

On motion by Commissioner Hartung, seconded by Commissioner Jung, which motion duly carried, it was ordered that Agenda Item 8I6 be approved and directed. The Resolution for same is attached hereto and made a part of the minutes thereof.
14-1056   AGENDA ITEM 8I7 - MANAGER

Agenda Subject: “Approve (retroactive to July 1, 2014) Washoe County, Nevada Grant Program Contract FY 2014-2015 for Silver State Fair Housing Council (SSFHC) [$10,000]; approve Resolution necessary for same, and direct Comptroller’s Office to make the appropriate budget adjustments. (All Commission Districts.)”

There was no public comment on this item.

On motion by Commissioner Hartung, seconded by Commissioner Jung, which motion duly carried, it was ordered that Agenda Item 8I7 be approved and directed. The Resolution for same is attached hereto and made a part of the minutes thereof.

14-1057   AGENDA ITEM 8I8 – MANAGER

Agenda Subject: “Confirm appointment of Shyanne Schull as Director of Washoe County Regional Animal Services effective January 12, 2015 and set annual salary at $104,613.60. (All Commission Districts.)”

There was no public comment on this item.

On motion by Commissioner Hartung, seconded by Commissioner Jung, which motion duly carried, it was ordered that Agenda Item 8I8 be confirmed.

14-1058   AGENDA ITEM 8J1 – SENIOR SERVICES

Agenda Subject: “Approve the withdrawal of the appointment of Edward Williams [District 5] to the Washoe County Senior Services Advisory Board and appoint Jean Olsen [District 5] to complete the remainder of the vacated term through September 30, 2017. (All Commission Districts.)”

There was no public comment on this item.

On motion by Commissioner Hartung, seconded by Commissioner Jung, which motion duly carried, it was ordered that Agenda Item 8J1 be approved and that Jean Olsen be appointed to complete the remainder of the vacated term through September 30, 2017.

14-1059   AGENDA ITEM 8J2 – SENIOR SERVICES

Agenda Subject: “Accept grant award from the Meals on Wheels Association of America and Subaru of America’s Opportunity Based Grant [$3,500, no match]; retroactive from November 14, 2014 through December 30, 2014; and direct the
Comptroller’s Office to make the appropriate budget adjustments. (All Commission Districts.)”

There was no public comment on this item.

On motion by Commissioner Hartung, seconded by Commissioner Jung, which motion duly carried, it was ordered that Agenda Item 8J2 be accepted and directed.

14-1060 AGENDA ITEM 8K1 - SHERIFF

Agenda Subject: “Approve the Forensic Support Services Agreement between Washoe County on behalf of the Washoe County Sheriff’s Office Forensic Science Division and the Walker River Paiute Tribe Police Department for [$3,000]; retroactive for the term of July 1, 2014 to June 30, 2015 for Forensic Laboratory Analysis Service fees. (All Commission Districts.)”

There was no public comment on this item.

On motion by Commissioner Hartung, seconded by Commissioner Jung, which motion duly carried, it was ordered that Agenda Item 8K1 be approved. The Agreement for same is attached hereto and made a part of the minutes thereof.

14-1061 AGENDA ITEM 8K2 – SHERIFF

Agenda Subject: “Approve the Intern Site Agreement for Placement of Students Pack Internship Grant Program between the Nevada System of Higher Education on behalf of the University of Nevada, Reno and the Nevada Career Studio (collectively referred to as the “University”) and the Washoe County Sheriff’s Office to create opportunities for students at UNR to work as paid interns; retroactive for the period of 8/1/14 through 7/31/16; and direct the Comptroller’s Office to make the necessary budget adjustments. (All Commission Districts.)”

There was no public comment on this item.

On motion by Commissioner Hartung, seconded by Commissioner Jung, which motion duly carried, it was ordered that Agenda Item 8K2 be approved and directed.

14-1062 AGENDA ITEM 8L1 - TREASURER

Agenda Subject: “Approve and execute Resolution directing County Treasurer to give notice of the sale of properties subject to the lien of a delinquent special assessment in the following districts: WCAD 21 – Cold Springs Sewer, WCAD 23 – Arrowcreek Water, WCAD 32 – Spanish Springs Valley Ranch Rd (additional description of affected parcels contained in exhibit A of attached Resolution). (Commission Districts 2 and 5.)”
There was no public comment on this item.

On motion by Commissioner Hartung, seconded by Commissioner Jung, which motion duly carried, it was ordered that Agenda Item 8L1 be approved and executed. The Resolution for same is attached hereto and made a part of the minutes thereof.

14-1063 AGENDA ITEM 8L2 - TREASURER

Agenda Subject: “Approve and Execute Resolution Authorizing the Washoe County Treasurer to Transfer to Other Governmental Entities, Real Property held in Trust Due to Property Tax Delinquencies and Other Matters Properly Related Thereto. (Commission Districts 2 and 3.)”

There was no public comment on this item.

On motion by Commissioner Hartung, seconded by Commissioner Jung, which motion duly carried, it was ordered that Agenda Item 8L2 be approved and executed. The Resolution for same is attached hereto and made a part of the minutes thereof.

14-1064 AGENDA ITEM 8M1 - SHERIFF

Agenda Subject: “Accept donation [$2,000] from Reno Running Company to the County of Washoe on behalf of the Washoe County Sheriff’s Office to purchase equipment for the K-9 Unit; and authorize Comptroller’s Office to make the appropriate budget adjustments. (All Commission Districts.)”

Commissioner Jung said the Reno Running Company was supportive of the community and responsible for many generous donations.

There was no public comment on this item.

On motion by Commissioner Hartung, seconded by Commissioner Jung, which motion duly carried, it was ordered that Agenda Item 8M1 be accepted and authorized.

14-1065 AGENDA ITEM 8M2 – SOCIAL SERVICES

Agenda Subject: “Accept cash donations [$2,845] for the period of October 1, 2014 through October 31, 2014 and direct the Comptroller’s Office to make the appropriate budget adjustments. (All Commission Districts.)”

Commissioner Jung explained the donations were made by A and H Insurance, Jeffery Shaffer, President of A and H Insurance, and through Juror fee donations. She thanked the donors for their generosity.
There was no public comment on this item.

On motion by Commissioner Hartung, seconded by Commissioner Jung, which motion duly carried, it was ordered that Agenda Item 8M2 be accepted and directed.

**12:01 p.m.** Chairman Humke left the meeting and Vice Chairperson Weber assumed the gavel.

**BLOCK VOTE**

The following Agenda Items were consolidated and voted on in a block vote: 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 23, 24 and 25.

**14-1066 AGENDA ITEM 11 – COMPTROLLER**

**Agenda Subject:** “Recommendation to approve a Resolution of Intent, proposing the issuance of, and authorizing the publication of notices relating to General Obligation (limited tax) Building Bonds (additionally secured by pledged revenues) Series 2015 in the maximum principal amount of $12,000,000 for the purpose of financing the building projects, including the Medical Examiner's building; providing the manner, form and contents of the notices thereof; providing other matters properly related thereto; and providing the effective date hereof. (All Commission Districts.)”

There was no public comment on this item.

On motion by Commissioner Hartung, seconded by Vice Chairperson Weber, which motion duly carried with Chairman Humke absent, it was ordered that Agenda Item 11 be approved. The Resolution for same is attached hereto and made a part of the minutes thereof.

**14-1067 AGENDA ITEM 12 – DISTRICT ATTORNEY**

**Agenda Subject:** “Recommendation to approve Professional Services Agreement between Washoe County and Martin—Ross and Associates LLC for service of legal process papers involving the business of the District Attorney's Office [annual total approximately $130,000]. (All Commission Districts.)”

There was no public comment on this item.

On motion by Commissioner Hartung, seconded by Vice Chairperson Weber, which motion duly carried with Chairman Humke absent, it was ordered that Agenda Item 12 be approved.
AGENDA ITEM 13 – SENIOR SERVICES

Agenda Subject: “Recommendation to approve an Amendment #4 to The Food Management Services Agreement with Valley Services, Inc. (Agreement) for a second six-month term for the period January 1, 2014 to June 30, 2015 [approximately $477,780] funded by applicable Aging and Disability Services Division grants, ad valorem and Indigent funds. (All Commission Districts.)”

There was no public comment on this item.

On motion by Commissioner Hartung, seconded by Vice Chairperson Weber, which motion duly carried with Chairman Humke absent, it was ordered that Agenda Item 13 be approved.

AGENDA ITEM 14 - SHERIFF

Agenda Subject: “Recommendation to approve a direct grant award [$192,277, no match required] from the Bureau of Justice Assistance (BJA), State Criminal Alien Assistance Program (SCAAP), FY2013, Project number 2013-AP-BX-0596, to the Washoe County Sheriff’s Office, Detention Bureau. Beginning date of the grant term is retroactive to November 7, 2013, with no end date; and direct the Comptroller’s Office to make the necessary budget adjustments. (All Commission Districts.)”

There was no public comment on this item.

On motion by Commissioner Hartung, seconded by Vice Chairperson Weber, which motion duly carried with Chairman Humke absent, it was ordered that Agenda Item 14 be approved and directed.

AGENDA ITEM 15 - SHERIFF

Agenda Subject: “Recommendation to approve Contract for the Construction & Delivery of a Law Enforcement Marine Patrol Vessel between Washoe County and Titan Inflatables, Ltd. for purchase of new Titan 280 Pilot Aluminum RHIB Boat with Trailer on behalf of Washoe County Sheriff’s Office, from Titan Boats, 2011 Malaview Ave., Sidney, B.C. Canada V8L 5X6 [not to exceed $280,000], utilizing the Douglas County, NV Contract Number 2014.228; and if approved, authorize Purchasing to execute contract. (Commission District 1.)”

There was no public comment on this item.

On motion by Commissioner Hartung, seconded by Vice Chairperson Weber, which motion duly carried with Chairman Humke absent, it was ordered that Agenda Item 15 be approved and authorized.
AGENDA ITEM 16 – SOCIAL SERVICES

Agenda Subject: “Recommendation to award Request for Proposal No. 2907-15 for Child Protection Facility Operator at Kids Kottages to Core Dynamics, LLC, 10395 Double R Blvd, Reno, Nevada 89521, to the highest scoring responsive, responsible proposer, for an estimated award amount [approximately $3,500,000 to $3,900,000 annually], depending on facility census; and further recommendation to approve an 18 month Agreement for Child Protection Facility Operator at the Kids Kottages, commencing January 1, 2015 through June 30, 2016, with the provision for two single year renewals at the County’s option. (All Commission Districts.)”

There was no public comment on this item.

On motion by Commissioner Hartung, seconded by Vice Chairperson Weber, which motion duly carried with Chairman Humke absent, it was ordered that Agenda Item 16 be awarded and approved.

AGENDA ITEM 17 – SOCIAL SERVICES

Agenda Subject: “Recommendation to award Request for Proposal No. 2908-15 for Mental Health Services Child Protection Facilities to Core Dynamics, LLC, 10395 Double R Blvd, Reno, Nevada 89521, the highest scoring responsive, responsible proposer, to provide mental/behavioral health services to children in the care and custody of Washoe County Social Services at the child protection facility, Kids Kottages, [estimated annual amount up to $100,000]; and further recommendation to approve an 18 month Agreement for Mental Health Services at Kids Kottages Child Protection Shelter between the County of Washoe and Core Dynamics, LLC, commencing on January 1, 2015 through June 30, 2016, with the provision for two single year renewals at the County’s option. (All Commission Districts.)”

There was no public comment on this item.

On motion by Commissioner Hartung, seconded by Vice Chairperson Weber, which motion duly carried with Chairman Humke absent, it was ordered that Agenda Item 17 be awarded and approved.

AGENDA ITEM 18 – TECHNOLOGY SERVICES/911 EMERGENCY RESPONSE ADVISORY COMMITTEE

Agenda Subject: “Recommendation to approve Agreement for Services between Washoe County, on behalf of the 911 Emergency Response Advisory Committee and Intrado Inc., for the purchase of the Intrado Recording Solution for the three Public Safety Answering Points (“PSAPs”) (Reno, Sparks and Washoe County); [not to exceed $506,800; $8,448 per month for 60 months]; total expenditures to be funded within the adopted operating budgets of the E911 Fund. (All Commission Districts.)”
There was no public comment on this item.

On motion by Commissioner Hartung, seconded by Vice Chairperson Weber, which motion duly carried with Chairman Humke absent, it was ordered that Agenda Item 18 be approved.

14-1074 AGENDA ITEM 19 - MANAGER

Agenda Subject: “Recommendation to appoint an individual to the Airport Noise Advisory Panel, with term to expire December 10, 2016. (All Commission Districts.)”

There was no public comment on this item.

On motion by Commissioner Hartung, seconded by Vice Chairperson Weber, which motion duly carried with Chairman Humke absent, it was ordered that Allayne Donnelly-Everett be appointed to the Airport Noise Advisory Panel with a term to expire December 10, 2016.

14-1075 AGENDA ITEM 20 - MANAGER

Agenda Subject: “Recommendation to approve the submission of the following grants to the State of Nevada Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) program for funding consideration: Rural Washoe Senior Ambassador Program [$168,480, cash match $79,009 Indigent Funds, and in-kind match $6,999]; Sixth Avenue Crossing Improvement Project [$212,500, in-kind match $27,000]; priority ranking for the applications recommended as follows: Rural Washoe Senior Ambassador Program priority one, and the Sixth Avenue Crossing Improvement Project as priority two; and if approved, authorize the Chairman to execute the documents concerning same. (Commission District 5.)”

There was no public comment on this item.

On motion by Commissioner Hartung, seconded by Vice Chairperson Weber, which motion duly carried with Chairman Humke absent, it was ordered that Agenda Item 20 be approved and authorized.

14-1076 AGENDA ITEM 23 – COMMUNITY SERVICES

Agenda Subject: “Recommendation to award Bid No. 2914-15 for five (5) replacement variable frequency drives to the lowest, responsive, responsible bidder, Grove Madsen Industries, 390 East 6th Street, Reno, NV 89512, [net amount $152,781] on behalf of the Central Truckee Meadows Remediation District Program.”

There was no public comment on this item.
On motion by Commissioner Hartung, seconded by Vice Chairperson Weber, which motion duly carried with Chairman Humke absent, it was ordered that Agenda Item 23 be awarded.

14-1077  **AGENDA ITEM 24 – COMMUNITY SERVICES**

**Agenda Subject:** “Recommendation to award a bid and approve the Agreement Form to the lowest responsive, responsible bidder for the South Truckee Meadows Water Reclamation Facility Biosolids Facilities Project recommended [KG Walters Construction Company, Inc., $12,830,000]; and if approved, adopt a Resolution to augment the budget of the Washoe County Water Resources Enterprise Fund; and direct the Comptroller’s Office to make the appropriate adjustments. (Commission District 2.)”

There was no public comment on this item.

On motion by Commissioner Hartung, seconded by Vice Chairperson Weber, which motion duly carried with Chairman Humke absent, it was ordered that Agenda Item 24 be awarded, approved and adopted. The Resolution for same is attached hereto and made a part of the minutes thereof.

14-1078  **AGENDA ITEM 25 - MANAGER**

**Agenda Subject:** “Recommendation to appoint Kevin Schiller as Acting Director of the Washoe County Social Services Department effective December 9, 2014 and possible direction to staff on recruitment for the position of Social Services Director. (All Commission Districts.)”

There was no public comment on this item.

On motion by Commissioner Hartung, seconded by Vice Chairperson Weber, which motion duly carried with Chairman Humke absent, it was ordered to appoint Kevin Schiller as Acting Director of the Washoe County Social Services Department effective December 9, 2014 with direction to staff to move forward with recruitment for the position of Social Services Director and to bring the proposed method of recruitment back to the Board.

14-1079  **AGENDA ITEM 10 – ANIMAL SERVICES**

**Agenda Subject:** “Presentation and update on the Veterinary Clinical Affiliation Agreement between Truckee Meadows Community College and Washoe County Regional Animal Services for the placement of veterinary technician students at the Regional Animal Services facility, acknowledge presentation and update and possible direction to staff on related matters. (All Commission Districts.)”
Kevin Schiller, Assistant County Manager, said this item was brought to the Board in response to a request from Commissioner Hartung. He said Animal Services had a state-of-the-art facility and, as Interim Director of Regional Animal Services, he saw how important the facility was to the community. He said the purpose of the presentation was to highlight the ongoing agreement that Animal Services had with Truckee Meadows Community College (TMCC). He added that there were plans to encourage high school students to get involved in the program in the future. He reported the new Animal Services Director would be coming on board January 12th and said he did not doubt she would support efforts to promote the animal services field. He said Animal Services was a signature service in the community and that the program created efficiencies for the Department by offsetting tasks.

Bobby Smith, Animal Services Supervisor, gave the Board a brief overview of the program. He explained TMCC had been involved with Reno Animal Services prior to the consolidation between Sparks, Reno and Washoe County. He said students were performing all aspects of animal care in the facility and that with their help, Animal Services had a fully functional surgical suite.

Dr. Michele Noreen, Veterinary Technician Program Coordinator, addressed the Board. She said her students went to Regional Animal Services for hands-on experience and she explained a little bit about the program. She said first-year students learned about animal behavior and basic care and second-year students, who held veterinary technician and training certificates from the State Board, were able to do everything that a veterinary technician could do. She said surgeries were performed by licensed veterinarians and that students cared for the animals by providing dental care, spaying and neutering services, and wound care. She said the students were a force multiplier for the facility and that it had been a great partnership.

Commissioner Berkbigler said she thought the partnership between TMCC and Animal Services was wonderful and she thanked them for what they were doing.

Commissioner Hartung echoed Commissioner Berkbigler’s sentiments. He said he supported getting high school kids interested in the program.

There was no public comment on this item.

On motion by Commissioner Hartung, seconded by Commissioner Berkbigler, which motion duly carried with Chairman Humke absent, it was ordered that Agenda Item 10 be acknowledged.

12:20 p.m. The Board recessed.

1:53 p.m. The Board convened as the Truckee Meadows Fire Protection District (TMFPD) and the Sierra Fire Protection District (SFPD) Board of Fire Commissioners with Chairman Humke absent.
3:59 p.m. The Board adjourned as the Truckee Meadows Fire Protection District (TMFPD) and the Sierra Fire Protection District (SFPD) Board of Fire Commissioners.

4:00 p.m. The Board reconvened as the Board of County Commissioners (BCC) with Chairman Humke absent and Vice Chairperson Weber assuming the gavel.

4:02 p.m. The Board recessed.

4:15 p.m. The Board reconvened with Chairman Humke absent and Vice Chairperson Weber assuming the gavel.

14-1080 AGENDA ITEM 26 - MANAGER

Agenda Subject: “Update on medical marijuana establishments in the State of Nevada and Washoe County; and possible direction to staff on matters pertinent to medical marijuana establishments in Washoe County. (All Commission Districts.)

Kevin Schiller, Assistant County Manager, provided an update to the Board regarding medical marijuana. He said the State was notified of the Board’s dispensary allocation request pursuant to the Board’s action on September 12th. He explained dispensary selection was a State process and the County issued provisional certificates, which allowed applicants to apply to the State. He said he would be coming back to the Board at a later date with a proposal for Code changes related to future licensing processes and related fees. He said a process was being developed for the tracking of staff time dedicated to the medical marijuana licensing process.

Mr. Schiller said on November 6th the County received notification of the dispensary rankings from the State, which was detailed in Attachment A of the staff report. He said the report included ten cultivation facilities, five dispensaries and seven production facilities in the County. He said there were no applications for labs in the unincorporated County; however, there were two in Sparks. He pointed out there were a number of facilities on the State report which did not identify the applicants by name. He said that was due to an opinion by the Attorney General, which did not allow for such information to be made public unless the applicants signed waivers. He said applicants would be asked to sign waivers when they came to the County to apply for business licenses; however, the County could not force them to do so.

Mr. Schiller provided the Board with some handouts, which were placed on file with the Clerk. He said the handouts included a copy of some information about the first medical marijuana business license application and a copy of a letter from the State. He said the letter from the State outlined the fact that if any jurisdiction decided that a ranked applicant did not meet business license requirements, the State would not issue any additional dispensary allocations to that jurisdiction.
Mr. Schiller talked about Nevada Administrative Code (NAC) 453A.326, which limited the relocation of an approved medical marijuana vendor to within five miles of its original approved location. He said there had been inquiries about the limitation, so he reached out to the State to see if they would be willing to entertain a regulation change. He said the State indicated a willingness to conduct a public workshop to discuss a possible change to the regulation, but preferred not to move forward with any changes until after the upcoming legislative session.

Mr. Schiller said the County continued to receive inquiries about the competitive process of vendor selection and that those questions were being referred to the State. He stated there was some litigation taking place in Clark County regarding the State process.

Mr. Schiller said there were some bills to watch for in the upcoming legislative session that related to recreational marijuana use and potential statutory restrictions on what the County could do with licensing fees. He said there might also be some bills to watch which would allow local governments to be more flexible in the way they recuperated costs.

Mr. Schiller talked about attending an upcoming conference in Colorado to discuss the licensing process in that State and how they had been impacted. He thought there were lessons to be learned from their experiences, especially in relation to a possible future process for recreational use in Nevada.

Mr. Schiller anticipated coming back before the Board in January to provide another update. He said he made several calls to the State to discuss constituent issues; however, he was taking a conservative approach when dealing with public inquiries in order to protect the County from any liabilities.

Commissioner Berkbigler asked Mr. Schiller to confirm that the County was required to issue licenses to the five dispensaries that received provisional licenses from the State and that the State would not address any issues until after the legislative session. Mr. Schiller confirmed her statements. Commissioner Berkbigler said the State’s position did not serve any positive purpose with regard to the problem with dispensary locations. She explained there were three dispensaries in the Incline Village and Crystal Bay area that were very close to each other and all three business owners had contacted her. She said the residents of Incline Village also expressed their concerns and did not want to be known as the dope center of northern Nevada. She thought it might be a good idea for the County to take a more aggressive approach with the State, like Clark County did, because something needed to be done about it.

Commissioner Jung said she was sorry Commissioner Berkbigler and her constituents felt that way. She asked if Commissioner Berkbigler had taken a tour of any of the dispensaries because she thought they looked like innocent book stores. She said the residents of Incline Village should be grateful because the dispensaries supported the new economic development plan to make Lake Tahoe a medical spa destination. She said
she thought there were many myths and fears about medical marijuana and she expressed her opinion that the Clark County Commission had gone too far. She said she was frustrated that the State Legislature met only once every two years because the State was slow to react in its policy making process.

Commissioner Jung said the County was a model of how to create a process that kept out political decision making. She thought the County should limit its concerns to advising the Legislature on what policies were and were not working. She said she heard a lot of complaints about the State’s decisions, but said picking winners and losers was a difficult position to be in when the stakes were so high. She said the process was a model of supply and demand, which might affect the success of the dispensaries at issue, and talked about working out funding mechanisms. She thought the better companies should be asking what the County’s pet projects were, which she thought might help them mitigate the issues in Incline Village. She said the County should be working to determine what could be codified instead of waiting until July.

Commissioner Hartung said the three dispensaries, one in Crystal Bay and two in Incline Village, were very close to one another and it did not make any sense to him to have three dispensaries at the Lake. He agreed with Commissioner Berkbigler that the County should consider getting more aggressive with the State to see if there was some wiggle room. He talked about the expense of setting up a dispensary and doubted companies would want to invest the money to set up their businesses while waiting for the State to approve relocation outside the current five-mile radius limitation.

Mr. Schiller said a letter was drafted that specifically addressed the Board’s concerns from a more aggressive stance. He said he held off sending the letter until he could confirm direction from the Board, but he saw the letter as a way to continue to reach out to the State. Commissioner Hartung said he understood that the issue was a regulation that could potentially be overturned. Mr. Schiller explained the State would have to initiate a public workshop, which would be a public forum by which a regulation change could move forward towards formal codification.

Commissioner Berkbigler said she toured some dispensaries and she agreed with Commissioner Jung that they were very nice. She expressed frustration with the State Health Department’s interpretation of the law and thought they did not care what constituents had to say. She thought the letter was a good idea and said Mr. Schiller had done a wonderful job. She did not think the people who made the selections looked at where the dispensaries were located and instead only looked at the qualifications and the ratings. She said the law made it very clear the dispensaries were supposed to be dispersed among the population. She said, even though the businesses at the Lake had every right to move forward with their plans, she was not sure if there would be enough business to support all three locations. She thought the citizens of Incline Village and Crystal Bay had a right to have serious concerns. She thought the County had done a great job showing they knew how to follow the rules, but she was dissatisfied with the rules themselves, because they did not match the law. She said she thought the intention of the law was that these types of decisions would be made at the County level. She said
the State was doing a disservice to the companies who wanted to be in a competitive market as well as to the citizens of the County who would not have the benefit of diverse locations.

Commissioner Berkbigler moved to accept the report with direction to Mr. Schiller and his team to continue to try to resolve the issues and concerns, while keeping the Board informed. The motion was seconded by Commissioner Jung.

Commissioner Hartung wondered how aggressive the Board should direct staff to be on the issue. He asked if they should ask staff to have a conversation with the State with a request for a solution, or if staff should be more aggressive in stating that the State violated the spirit of the law. He said he was also under the impression the County would get to choose where the five dispensaries would be located.

Commissioner Berkbigler said she did not want to get into a lawsuit but she thought the County legislative team should work aggressively with legislators to express the Board’s frustrations and concerns.

Commissioner Hartung said he was just trying to identify the level of activity the Board wanted to pursue and he thought it was important to articulate that. He did not want to see companies invest millions of dollars and then be forced to move by the State.

Commissioner Jung said she did not share that view. She said the reason the State did not put a limit on the number of production or grow facilities was that there were people who were going to thrive and people who were going to fail. She said that when the County went to the Legislature, she thought a good talking point would be that the County followed the letter of the law. She did not think the County should get in the way of the bigger issues the State had to deal with, and instead should use the fact that the County was being compliant as leverage. She thought that could be a good strategy instead of going to battle and the County should demonstrate partnership.

Commissioner Hartung talked about the importance of location to any business. He said, from a free-market standpoint, it was ingenuous to dictate location and he would like to allow business owners to choose where their markets would be. He agreed the County should not go to battle with the State and the County could work to convince Legislators to make changes sooner rather than later.

There was no public comment on this item.

On call for the question, the motion passed on a vote of 4-0, with Chairman Humke absent.

Commissioner Hartung asked if the direction to staff was clear. Mr. Schiller said the direction was very clear and staff was working to schedule meetings
with Legislators in January. He said he wanted to commend staff for their hard work on a process that had sometimes been frustrating and difficult.

Vice Chairperson Weber asked whether Board members would have the ability to share input during the meetings that were being scheduled with Legislators. Mr. Schiller said the initial meetings would be discussions based on lists of Bill Draft Requests (BDRs) and as that evolved the Board would get legislative updates on a regular basis. He said there might be times when staff would seek Board direction as to how to move forward and what positions to take on certain issues. Vice Chairperson Weber said she just wanted to be sure that the Board knew who was going to be doing what.

14-1081 AGENDA ITEM 21 – COMMUNITY SERVICES

Agenda Subject: “Presentation regarding proposed utility permit application that can be used on smart devices such as cell phones and tablets. (All Commission Districts.)

Don Jeppson, Director of Building & Safety, talked about the proposed utility permit application process to be used on smart devices and provided a visual presentation to the Board. He gave some background information about the utility permit process and said, although they expected to see approximately 2,000 water heater replacements each year, there were only about 100 water heater permits being issued annually. He said contractors complained about the time it took to obtain permits, as well as the time they spent waiting for final inspections. He said even when contractors applied for permits they often did not follow through to the final inspections. He said the new technology would allow permits to be applied for through devices, such as cell phones and tablets. He said the proposal included a change to the audit process which would allow contractors the opportunity to reduce the number of physical inspections by establishing a certain level of competency. He said a similar process had been attempted in Las Vegas, but it failed because every audit inspection failed. Mr. Jeppson thought his proposal offered a unique twist, which he thought would help its success.

Mr. Jeppson went through the process step-by-step while viewing the slides in the presentation. He said the twist he was referring to involved the addition of a checklist. He said the checklist could either be electronic or on paper, but would include all the necessary installation requirements. He said it would also include a feature that would give contractors other helpful information, such as photo examples and links to web sites. He explained the process would allow contractors to upload photos of their work and would require the signature of both the installer and the homeowner upon completion of a project. He said once the checklist was submitted the permit would go into a permit pool to either be approved or selected for physical inspection. He explained that if a contractor routinely passed inspections, the system would allow more of his installations to pass without physical inspections. He said a failure to pass would trigger more physical inspections.
Commissioner Hartung said he loved the concept of the process for water heaters and asked if installers would have the ability to take photos with their phones and send them in so that physical inspections could be eliminated. Mr. Jeppson said there were some problems with that idea because there would be no way to confirm that the photos were taken at the specific installation sites. He said he would rather rely on checklists which would have to be reviewed with homeowners. Commissioner Hartung said if photos of the installations were attached to permit files and there was an issue, such as a fire, the photos could be used as evidence. Mr. Jeppson thought the checklist would achieve the same thing, but said the uploading of photos could be added as an optional function. Commissioner Hartung asked if the process could include permits for other types of installations, such as furnaces and re-roofs. Mr. Jeppson said yes, and indicated the Department would be saving money by reducing the number of physical inspections. He thought the new process would be an advantage to contractors who did quality work and would result in more monitoring for those who did not. He said the process could also be used by contractors to evaluate the success of individual installers.

Commissioner Hartung asked if the process could be used for fence installations. Mr. Jeppson said yes and that it might be expanded for uses beyond building permits. Commissioner Hartung asked if it could be used for the installation of pellet stoves and Mr. Jeppson said yes, but that would require some coordination with the Health District. Commissioner Hartung said he thought it was a great idea and could save the County a lot of money.

Commissioner Hartung asked Mr. Jeppson if there was a provisional patent on the application. Mr. Jeppson said they did not have a patent. He said the model of the program was created in-house through some freeware. He said the advantage of the freeware was that end users could test it before it went into hard production.

Commissioner Berkbigler asked if there had been any feedback from contractors about the new process. Mr. Jeppson said there was great feedback and the only barrier was waiting for the new regional permit system to go online, which would happen in January, 2016. He said they received a favorable response from Clark County as well.

Vice Chairperson Weber said she thought the Board should be supportive of the proposed process, especially since contractors were in favor of it. She asked if contractors were already using a checklist and if they would be provided with a paper checklist if they did not want to use the electronic version. Mr. Jeppson said there was no checklist available yet, but he thought checklists could be made available on the website so homeowners could see what County inspectors would be looking for. He said they could also incorporate videos, photographs, guide sheets and Code sections.

There was no public comment on this item.
On motion by Commissioner Berkbigler, seconded by Commissioner Jung, which motion duly carried with Chairman Humke absent, it was ordered that Agenda Item 21 be accepted and acknowledged.

14-1082 **AGENDA ITEM 22 – COMMUNITY SERVICES**

**Agenda Subject:** “Recommendation to approve the First Amendment to Land Lease Agreement and Memorandum of Lease between Washoe County and American Tower, LLC, regarding a cellular antenna site located on a small portion of property located on the Washoe County Golf Course (Maintenance Shop area) APN 019-140-12, Reno, Nevada, [$200,000] in exchange for a forty year right to use the site for cellular connectivity purposes; approve the use of proceeds for Washoe Golf Course land improvements; and direct the Comptroller’s Office to make all necessary budget adjustments. (Commission District 1.)

Commissioner Hartung said he had no problem with leasing County land, but wondered if there was any way to know if the land would still be a golf course in 40 years. He was not sure the County should be locking future generations into an agreement.

Wendy Pitts, Property Program Manager, said the golf course was deed restricted and the County would not be able to change it to anything else without the land owner’s approval. She said there had been many land improvements made to the golf course, so it was unlikely the County would try to change its use for that reason. She said the current license agreement was for 25 years and as part of the negotiated buyout there was a request to extend it. She said there was doubt that the land-based technology at issue would even be valid in 40 years, so they spent a lot of time working on obsolescence language, which would require American Tower, LLC (ATC) to remove the tower when it was no longer valid. She said the agreement did not allow ATC to go outside the special use permit without going back to the Governing Board. She said they did everything they could to keep the agreement tight and contained, while still generating revenue on a passive asset. She explained ATC’s original offer was for 28 percent of their remaining lease term, which was $80,000 and it took two years of bargaining to raise it to $200,000. She said the 40-year term was what ATC asked for in return.

Commissioner Hartung commended Ms. Pitt’s negotiating skills and agreed the technology would probably not exist in 40 years, but he continued to be uncomfortable with the idea of a 40 year lease.

Vice Chairperson Weber asked why there was an increased expense listed as a fiscal impact on the staff report. Ms. Pitts said the intent was to use the money to make improvements to the golf course. She said state law required that when the County transferred an asset in any way the money would have to go to the general fund, but with the approval of the staff report, the money would be driven back to the golf course fund. She said there was a netting deterioration issue that was causing some risk management
claims and this was a way to fund that maintenance. She explained she was trying to facilitate the amendment to the agreement on behalf of the Regional Parks and Open Space Commission who had approved the plan twice.

Vice Chairperson Weber said she just wanted to point out the netting problem had been an issue for a long time and that the money would be used for that purpose.

There was no public comment on this item.

On motion by Commissioner Berkbigler, seconded by Commissioner Jung, which motion duly carried with Chairman Humke absent and Commissioner Hartung voting “no”, it was ordered that Agenda Item 22 be approved and directed.

5:18 p.m. The Board recessed.

5:37 p.m. The Board reconvened with Chairman Humke present and assuming the gavel.

14-1083 AGENDA ITEM 30 - MANAGERS

Agenda Subject: “Discussion and possible action to establish a process to fill a vacant Reno Justice Court Department, Department 3, including soliciting applicants, screening applicants (including possibly appointing a subcommittee of the Board) and to establish the process and timeframe for appointment. (All Commission Districts.)”

John Slaughter, County Manager, reminded the Board that Reno Justice of the Peace Jack (JOP) Schroeder announced his retirement in February of 2014 and that the Department 3 bench remained vacant since that time. He said the Board reviewed the issue on May 27, 2014 and deferred it to a later date for further discussion. He noted the Board was statutorily required to either appoint a person to fill the vacancy or provide, by Resolution, an election procedure to fill the vacancy for the remainder of the unexpired term. He said the Manager’s office was prepared to offer some suggestions for the solicitation and processing of applications.

Chairman Humke said the question before the Board was whether to seek a process to fill the vacancy now or at some point in the near future, and how to carry out that process.

Commissioner Hartung said he wrote to Chief Justice of the Peace Scott Pearson and that Judge Pearson indicated he was available if the Board wanted him to participate in the discussion by telephone. Commissioner Hartung said when the Board members were elected to office they agreed to be good stewards of public assets and consequently they had a responsibility to taxpayers to save as much money as they could. He said he liked to think the reason the Board had not filled the vacancy thus far was
because it resulted in substantive savings for the taxpayer. He said the savings included more than the cost for the JOP; it also included the Bailiff, the secretary and the ancillary staff. He said County savings amounted to approximately $500,000 per year and there were also savings to the State in terms of training costs. He wondered if the Board should rush into a decision because there was evidence that the caseload did not warrant it. He thought it was incumbent upon the Board to give it some time and allow the new Secretary of State to be seated first. He talked about the Frequently Asked Questions (FAQ) section of the Nevada Judiciary website where he found information about a Commission on Judicial Selection. He thought the Board needed to be very deliberate with their decision, especially since Chief Judge Pearson made it clear that caseload was not an issue. He asked staff to get Judge Pearson on the phone so he could join the discussion.

Commissioner Berkbigler pointed out the Board received letters from two other JOPs that disagreed with Judge Pearson’s position and she thought they should be taken into consideration. She said the two other JOPs were present at the meeting and she thought they were qualified to speak about the issue.

Nancy Parent, County Clerk, mentioned that references were made to several letters, none of which had been placed on file with the Clerk. She asked Paul Lipparelli, Legal Counsel, if those letters needed to be part of the record. Mr. Lipparelli said if the letters were used or relied upon by the Board in its decision making process, they should be made part of the record. Letters from Judge Patricia Lynch, Commissioner Hartung and Judge Scott Pearson were placed on file with the Clerk.

Chief Judge Scott Pearson joined the meeting by telephone and Chairman Humke asked him for his input. Judge Pearson said he did not intend to give public comment or advocate for any one position and that he was available to answer any questions the Board had about the workload of the court.

Commissioner Hartung asked Judge Pearson if the court had the workload to substantiate the addition of another JOP or if he thought the Board could wait for a short period of time until the new Board and the new Secretary of State were seated. He reiterated that he wanted to save taxpayer money because he thought it was incumbent upon the Board to render any savings possible.

Judge Pearson said the letter he wrote was supported by the majority of the bench and that it detailed the case assignments for the Reno Justice Court as well as for similar courts. He said he could show that Reno Justice Court had an average caseload and he felt there was no direct need for additional judicial support. He explained that the court was handling 30 percent less cases than at its peak, and consequently, courtrooms were not being fully utilized. He said senior judges could sit in when there was a need.

Commissioner Hartung thanked Judge Pearson and asked him to comment about a possible consolidation with the City of Reno. Judge Pearson said the County Commission, the Reno City Council, and Nevada Legislators had all passed Resolutions
supporting the consolidation of the Reno Justice Court and the Reno Municipal Court. He said there were at least two studies conducted that supported the consolidation of the two courts and the law allowed for the Justice Court to handle the Municipal Court caseload by agreement of the County Commission and the City Council. He explained that in most Nevada cities the two courts were combined and, though there would be some duplication of positions in a consolidation effort, some savings could be realized if there were vacancies.

Commissioner Hartung asked Judge Pearson what would happen if there was too much labor and ancillary staff. Judge Pearson said that during his time as Chief Judge he met with his Court Administrator regularly to go over caseload numbers and to manage the calendar. He said when he noticed caseloads were decreasing he directed his Court Administrator to hold positions open when they became vacant. He said if the court had a sixth JOP and that JOP dictated a significant amount, he had no doubt there would be a need for an additional secretary and another Bailiff. He said there was also a potential need for additional support staff if the new JOP started any new programs. He said he was not advocating one way or the other and did not want the Board to rely on his advice as to when they should fill the position, but was just responding to the question about caseload as the person who was responsible for managing it and as a representative of the majority opinion of the court.

Commissioner Hartung asked the Judge if the courts had a full schedule and were operating five days a week. Judge Pearson said Fridays had not been fully utilized since the recession and that it was difficult to justify another judicial position.

Chairman Humke stated he felt this was unfinished business. He said he did not think the guidance from the Secretary of State’s office would change. He said the statute was clear and the position was supposed to be filled. He asked Judge Pete Sferrazza and Judge Patricia Lynch if they wished to make any statements.

Pete Sferrazza, Justice of the Peace, said he did not support or oppose filling the judicial position. He said, despite what Judge Pearson said, there was an agreement amongst the JOPs that the position would not be filled through January. He said there was an additional agreement that the JOPs would not take a position on the filling of the vacancy and pointed out that Judge Pearson’s letter appeared to express a personal position. He said he agreed with Chairman Humke that the position was not a new one and that State law required it to be filled. He said he and Judge Lynch did not think there would be a need for another secretary or Bailiff if the judicial position were filled, because the JOPs primary function would be to rotate and fill in for courtroom dark days. He said dark days were routinely scheduled when a JOP was absent and caused delays. He talked about the specialty courts Judge Pearson created during his time in office and how that placed additional constraints on staff time. He informed the Board that since Judge Schroeder retired there had been a caseload per judge increase of 20 percent. He said since he and Judge Lynch could commit to the Board that there would be no need for an additional secretary of Bailiff, the only cost would be the salary for the JOP. He said there would be a savings of $300,000 per year by not filling those other
positions. He said he appreciated the Board’s consideration and would work with any decision they made.

Patricia Lynch, Justice of the Peace, said she was appearing to support the position that Judge Sferrazza stated. She was concerned that the letter Judge Pearson sent to the Board indicated there were no delays, which she said was not true. She said the court used to have a backup judge to handle dockets when a judge could not be there and that was not happening anymore. She said she wanted the Board to have all the facts.

Chairman Humke talked about the possibility of consolidation and asked about the starting salaries for Reno Justice Court and Reno Municipal Court judges. Judge Sferrazza thought Reno Municipal Court judges earned about $157,000 and Reno Justice Court judges earned about $147,000. Judge Pearson agreed with those figures. Chairman Humke pointed out the salaries were disparate, so he was not sure it was a great time to merge courts. He said the judiciary would have to agree to the decision and the County Commission and City Council would have to be satisfied with it as well.

Judge Sferrazza said he was not opposed to consolidation and supported Judge Pearson on that issue, but he thought it would require intensive negotiations between the County and the City. He thought it should be addressed in the legislature and said Judge Pearson had a proposal to do it by Interlocal Agreement, which was an option to consider.

Commissioner Hartung said he was not attempting to derail the process or increase anyone’s workload. He thought taxpayers had an expectation that the Board would save money wherever they could. He suggested waiting until the new year when the new Board members would be seated. He said he did not have a problem following the mandate, but wanted the Board to take the time to contemplate the decision carefully, while saving taxpayers money. He thought it was incumbent upon the Board to act responsibly.

Chairman Humke asked if the Court wished to reduce its yearly budget by $500,000. Judge Sferrazza said there was a consensus that some of the positions that were budgeted for would not be filled and he agreed with Commissioner Hartung that the County had enjoyed some savings. He said the bottom line was that dark days could be eliminated by filling the judicial position and the law required the County to fill it. He said it could not be left open indefinitely.

Commissioner Jung said she would like to hear from the other two Justice Court judges. She asked who the Chief Judge was and how he was selected. Judge Sferrazza said the Reno Justice Court judges elected a Chief Judge in November of each year. He explained Judge Pearson was the current Chief Judge and Judge David Clifton would take over as Chief Judge in January. Commissioner Jung asked what the Chief Judge’s responsibilities were. Judge Sferrazza said the Chief Judge had the responsibility to administer the court in terms of scheduling and that there was a Court Administrator who handled the day-to-day operations of the court. Commissioner Jung said it might be
a good idea to wait until January so the Board could hear from all the judges; however, she said she had been admonished by the Secretary of State for not filling the judicial position. She said the Secretary of State did not think the Board was following the law and did not like the idea that the issue had been tabled for so long. She said she understood Commissioner Hartung’s position about saving money, but she also understood the Board was required to comply with statute. She said she was willing to table it, but thought a decision should be made sooner rather than later.

Commissioner Hartung agreed with Commissioner Jung. He said he thought the decision should be contemplated carefully and said the Board should appoint a commission which would narrow the selection. He requested that the Board table the item until the new Board was seated, and until they could have a conversation with the new Chief Judge.

Chairman Humke moved to take action to establish a process to fill a vacant Reno Justice Court Department 3 seat, including soliciting applicants, screening applicants (including possibly appointing a subcommittee of the Board) and establishing the process and timeframe for appointment. He said he wanted the process started immediately and to make it happen with all due speed. Commissioner Weber seconded the motion.

Commissioner Hartung stated he would not be supporting the motion and said he thought the process could be done more comfortably in January. Chairman Humke said this was unfinished business and he felt confident in the Board’s ability to make the appointment.

Commissioner Hartung asked Chairman Humke if it was appropriate for the Chairman to be a part of the process since he was a judge-elect and reiterated his position that the issue should be tabled.

Chairman Humke said his two terms did not overlap. He asked Paul Lipparelli, Legal counsel, to give an opinion on the matter. Mr. Lipparelli said he thought there might be some judicial canons that would apply to a judge-elect, but he was not aware of any mandate that would require Chairman Humke to resign from his legislative branch position nor to decline to perform any duties he had as Commissioner, unless they were in clear conflict with judicial canons.

Commissioner Weber said Commission members had a duty to do their job all the way through to the very end. She said she believed this Board made the decision to put the position on hold after much discussion and she thought it best that the same Board get the job done by the end of the year.

Chairman Humke said he did not think he should be a member of any subcommittee that might be formed for the judicial selection.
Mr. Slaughter, asked for clarification on the motion because he did not hear specific direction about soliciting applicants, screening applicants, the possibility of appointing a subcommittee and the establishment of a process and timeframe. Chairman Humke said that was the intent. He said he divided the issue into two parts, because further discussion would not be necessary if the main issue was not passed by the Board.

There was no public comment on this item.

On call for the question, Chairman Humke, Commissioner Weber and Commissioner Jung voted “yes”, Commissioner Hartung voted “no”, and Commissioner Berkbigler chose to abstain.

Commissioner Berkbigler explained her decision to abstain from taking a position on the vote was because she did not feel strongly one way or the other. She asked Mr. Lipparelli if her abstention was acceptable. Mr. Lipparelli advised her to vote unless there was a disabling conflict or some other serious impediment, because it could be seen as a derogation of duty if she did not.

On second call for the question, the motion passed on a vote of 3 to 2 with Commissioner Hartung and Commissioner Berkbigler voting “no”.

Commissioner Jung commented that, because this was the Board’s last meeting for the year, the decision would be pushed to the new Commission. She thought the decision to start the process would satisfy the new Secretary of State and Attorney General. She thought the decision had been delayed long enough.

Commissioner Berkbigler pointed out that the selection process would not be completed by the end of the year if the Board set up a subcommittee to review the applications. She thought the Board members should review the applications themselves.

Chairman Humke asked Mr. Slaughter if it was possible for the Board to have additional meetings in December in order to discuss this item as well as Agenda Item 31. Mr. Slaughter said there were dates in December that could accommodate the calling of a special meeting, but he thought there were problems with the idea of using a subcommittee for the selection process because of the three-day noticing requirement. He reiterated his earlier questions, about soliciting and screening applicants, the possibility of appointing a subcommittee and establishing a process and timeframe. He said those questions still needed to be answered. Chairman Humke said he understood it would speed up the process if the Board did not use a subcommittee and thought the appointment could be done with the addition of one more meeting before the end of the year. Mr. Slaughter said staff determined the best date for an additional meeting would be December 30th.

Chairman Humke said he felt strongly the Board should hold a special meeting on December 30th to accommodate this item and also Agenda Item 31 because
he wanted the new assembly member to have at least a month to prepare before the next legislative session.

Commissioner Jung said she voted under the impression that this issue would be taken up in January and before the new Board members. She said she understood the desire to accelerate the appointment of the new Assemblyperson, but did not want to rush the decision about the JOP. She said she was in favor of getting the process started for the selection of the new JOP, but had made it clear in her earlier statement that her decision to vote yes was with the understanding this was the last meeting of the year and that the matter would not be heard again until January.

Chairman Humke said he saw an opportunity to make the processes parallel and discuss that with staff. Commissioner Jung said she had no understanding of that and requested a re-vote so she could vote no.

Mr. Lipparelli said the motion that passed was to proceed with an appointment of a Justice of the Peace, but no specifics were decided with regard to timing or process. He said that perhaps another vote on timing and process would give the Board an opportunity to decide when that would happen, which would not necessitate going back to the previous vote.

Commissioner Hartung said he would like to see a call for applicants and the creation of a subcommittee to help narrow the choices before it came back to the Board for contemplation. He was not sure if he would be available for a meeting on December 30th.

Commissioner Berkbigler moved to start the process by opening up the application period and moving forward with a process that would result in the JOP being chosen in either the first or second meeting in January, which would allow the new JOP to begin in February. Commissioner Jung seconded the motion.

Mr. Slaughter said he thought it would be a good idea to select a specific date in January and stated that the first regular meeting would be on January 13th. Commissioner Berkbigler amended her motion to add that the item would come back to the Board on January 13th. The seconder agreed with the amendment to the motion.

Commissioner Hartung said he would agree with Commissioner Berkbigler’s motion if she changed the date to the last meeting in January to give the Board more time. Chairman Humke stated that motion had already been made and the seconder agreed. He said Commissioner Hartung could amend the motion further if he wanted to do so.

Chairman Humke asked Commissioner Berkbigler to confirm that her motion did not include the creation of a subcommittee and Commissioner Berkbigler stated that was correct. He also confirmed with her that the proposed date for the issue to come back to the Board was January 13th. She explained that she chose that date because
she wanted to give the two new Commissioners ample opportunity to review all of the applications and give the new JOP time to receive the appropriate training before he or she took the bench in February.

Mr. Slaughter said the process, as he understood it, would not include pre-screening of applications, which meant that if there were a large number of applicants, they would all be brought to the Board on January 13th.

Chairman Humke talked about the appointment process in the City of Reno where everyone on the Board stated a preference. Commissioner Berkbigler suggested each Board member take the opportunity to look through every application and choose their top picks to narrow the field.

Chairman Humke thought the screening process, which would allow the Board members to state their preferences, might create the need for an additional Board meeting and suggested that could happen on December 30th.

Mr. Lipparelli said he heard a motion for the Board to act on January 13th and also direction to give notice that the County would be accepting applications, which had been seconded and was on the table for a vote, but he said there were a couple of open ends to deal with. He said it would be a good idea to add a deadline for the submission of applications to the motion. He also suggested the Board establish a screening process as to whether every applicant would be considered at the January 13th meeting or if there would be a nomination process. He said it would be important that the process was open and that nominations went to the Clerk for timely publication. He said if those things were established there would be something for the Board to do at their January 13th meeting, such as making the decision as to how many applicants to interview. He said what was most important was for the Board to give clear direction to staff.

Chairman Humke said what he heard Mr. Lipparelli say did not necessarily generate the need for an additional meeting. Mr. Lipparelli replied, unlike the County Manager search, the Board would not be inviting applicants from across the Country for a chance to be interviewed because applicants were required to be Nevada licensed attorneys and reside in the township. Chairman Humke said he understood Mr. Lipparelli’s advice was to set a due date for applications at a minimum.

Commissioner Jung said she thought the Manager’s office could handle the process. She asked the Board to agree on a date for the applications and call for the vote.

Commissioner Hartung asked Mr. Lipparelli what would happen if the Board was unable to fill the seat by January 13th. Mr. Lipparelli said there was no mandate specifying a date so it could be postponed to another day. He said the motion on the table might be interpreted to say that the applications were due on January 13th and he asked the Board for clarification.
Commissioner Berkbigler amended her motion to say that the Board would leave it to the Manager and his staff to determine the date the applications would open and close. The seconder agreed.

On call for the question, the motion passed on a vote of 4 to 1, with Commissioner Hartung voting “no”.

Judge Pearson thanked the Board for their careful consideration and thanked the two outgoing Commission members for the wonderful relationship they had with the Court. He explained he did not have a personal position on the matter of the appointment of the new JOP, but felt it was his obligation to inform them about caseloads.

Judge Sferrazza also expressed gratitude for the Board’s time and consideration.

**PUBLIC HEARINGS**

**14-1084 AGENDA ITEM 28 – COMMUNITY SERVICES**

**Agenda Subject:** “Master Plan Amendment Case Number MPA14-004 (Pyramid / La Posada Commercial) – To consider a request to amend the Spanish Springs Area Plan, previously adopted by the Washoe County Planning Commission, to (1) eliminate the limitation [found in Policy SS.17.2.c] of the Spanish Springs Area Plan for the allowed amount of commercial land use acreage and; (2) change the Master Plan Category on two parcels from Suburban Residential (SR) to Commercial (C). To reflect changes requested within this application and to maintain currency of general area plan data, administrative changes to the Spanish Springs Area Plan are proposed. These administrative changes include a revised map series with updated parcel base and updated applicable text, and other matters properly relating thereto without prejudice to the final dispensation of the proposed amendments. The subject property is located at the southeast corner of Pyramid Highway and La Posada Drive, (Spanish Springs), and is within a portion of Section 35, T21N, R20E, MDM, Washoe County, NV. (APNs: 534-091-06 and 534-091-07); and if approved, authorize the Chair to sign a Resolution to adopt the amendments to the Spanish Springs Area Plan after a determination of conformance with the Truckee Meadows Regional Plan by the Truckee Meadows Regional Planning Commission. (Commission District 4.)”

The Chairman opened the public hearing by calling on anyone wishing to speak for or against Master Plan Amendment Case Number MPA14-004 (Pyramid/La Posada Commercial).

Bill Whitney, Planning and Development Director, explained that Agenda Item 27 and Agenda Item 28 were related and, as a matter of the process of approval,
Agenda Item 28 should be heard first. John Slaughter, County Manager, suggested opening the two items simultaneously and Chairman Humke agreed.

There was no public comment on this item.

On motion by Commissioner Hartung, seconded by Commissioner Berkbigler, which motion duly carried, it was ordered that Agenda Item 28 be approved and authorized. The Resolution for same is attached hereto and made a part of the minutes thereof.

14-1085 AGENDA ITEM 27 – COMMUNITY SERVICES

Agenda Subject: “Public hearing to affirm the findings of the Planning Commission, and adopt Regulatory Zone Amendment Case Number RZA14-007 (Pyramid/La Posada Commercial) to consider a request to amend the regulatory zone on two parcels from Parks and Recreation (PR) to Neighborhood Commercial (NC). To reflect changes requested within this application and to maintain currency of general area plan data, administrative changes to the Spanish Springs Area Plan are proposed. These administrative changes include a revised map series with updated parcel base and updated applicable text, and other matters properly relating thereto without prejudice to the final dispensation of the proposed amendments. The subject property is located at the southeast corner of Pyramid Highway and La Posada Drive, (Spanish Springs), and is within a portion of Section 35, T21N, R20E, MDM, Washoe County, NV. (APNs: 534-091-06 and 534-091-07); and if approved, authorize the Chair to sign a Resolution to adopt the amendments to the Spanish Springs Area Plan after adoption of Master Plan Amendment Case Number MPA14-004. (Commission District 4.)”

The Chairman opened the public hearing by calling on anyone wishing to speak for or against Regulatory Zone Amendment Case Number RZA14-007 (Pyramid/LaPosada Commercial). There being no response, the hearing was closed.

There were no public comments on this item.

On motion by Commissioner Hartung, seconded by Commissioner Weber, which motion duly carried, it was ordered that Agenda Item 27 be affirmed, adopted, approved and authorized. The Resolution for same is attached hereto and made a part of the minutes thereof.

14-1086 AGENDA ITEM 29 – COMMUNITY SERVICES

Agenda Subject: “Public hearing and possible adoption of Regulatory Zone Amendment Case Number RZA14-004 (Autumn Trails) - To amend the Regulatory Zone designation on ±6 acres of a ±47.6 acre parcel from a regulatory zone of Low Density Suburban (LDS) to Medium Density Suburban (MDS), to affirm the findings of fact of the Washoe County Planning Commission, to adopt Spanish
Springs Regulatory Zone Map as included at Exhibit A to the staff report and to direct the Director of the Planning and Development Division to sign and certify the amended Spanish Springs Regulatory Zone Map. (APN: 534-420-08); and if approved, authorize the Chair to sign a Resolution to adopt the amendment to the Spanish Springs Regulatory Zone Amendment Case Number RZA14-004. (Commission District 4.)"

The Chairman opened the public hearing by calling on anyone wishing to speak for or against Regulatory Zone Amendment Case Number RZA14-004 (Autumn Trails). There being no response, the hearing was closed.

Commissioner Hartung asked Trevor Lloyd, Senior Planner, if the units would be served by the sanitary sewer system or by septic tanks. Mr. Lloyd replied they would be on the sewer system.

On motion by Commissioner Hartung, seconded by Commissioner Jung, which motion duly carried, it was ordered that Agenda Item 29 be adopted, affirmed, directed and authorized. The Resolution for same is attached hereto and made a part of the minutes thereof.

14-1087 AGENDA ITEM 31 - MANAGER

Agenda Subject: “Discussion and possible action to establish a process to fill a vacant Assembly Seat - Washoe County District 24, including soliciting applicants, screening applicants and to establish the process and timeframe for appointment. (All Commission Districts.)”

Chairman Humke confirmed with the other Board members that they were in favor of having a meeting on December 30th to appoint someone to the Assembly seat. He said he thought Agenda Items 30 and 31 were parallel items.

Commissioner Berkbigler made a motion to open the application process for one week, starting on Wednesday, December 10th until Tuesday, December 16th.

John Slaughter, County Manager, clarified that Commissioner Berkbigler’s proposal was to open the process for one week, with applications due at 5:00 p.m. on December 16th. Commissioner Berkbigler affirmed that statement.

Commissioner Berkbigler further added to her motion that the County should be clear about application requirements. She said Board members would review the applications and submit their top picks to the Clerk and the Manager so that the public hearing could be properly noticed. She said the Board would be taking a vote at the meeting. Commissioner Jung seconded the motion.

Mr. Slaughter said he wanted to further clarify the process. He stated the application process would open December 10th, with a deadline at 5:00 p.m. on
December 16th. He said packets would be available for Board member review on December 17th. He said he understood the Board would get back to the Manager, through the Clerk, with the top five candidates by the staff proposed date of December 19th. He said the Manager’s Office would then publish the names of the candidates because the posting deadline would be 9:00 a.m. on December 24th.

Commissioner Berkbigrler questioned the Manager about the length of time the Board would have to review the applications and when their choices would be due to the Manager.

Nancy Parent, County Clerk, stated she understood the Board members would be giving their top five choices to the Manager or the Clerk by December 19th so the agenda notice could be published on December 24th for the December 30th meeting.

Commissioner Hartung said he thought that was a very tight schedule and said it would be difficult for Board members to find time to interview applicants. He suggested allowing more time for the Board to return their choices. He said if they had until Monday, December 22nd to get their choices back to staff, that would give them more time.

In response to Commissioner Hartung’s request, Mr. Slaughter said they could allow the Board until December 22nd to get their choices back to the Manager’s office. He said that would result in a very quick turn-around time to get the agenda published, but with the full list of names they could make that work. Chairman Humke asked if this would be the only agenda item on the December 30th meeting. Mr. Slaughter replied it was the only item he knew about, but he would give direction to staff not to include any items from other departments.

Commissioner Hartung agreed with Mr. Slaughter’s proposal and said it would allow the Board to properly vet all of the applicants. He asked if the Manager’s office already had appropriate applications ready to go and Mr. Slaughter said they did.

Mr. Slaughter stressed to the public that the deadline to get applications in to the County would be December 16th at 5:00 p.m.

Paul Lipparelli, Legal Counsel, said once the applications were submitted, they were public records. Chairman Humke asked if there would be a screening process to disqualify any applicants that did not meet requirements and Mr. Slaughter said there would be.

Chairman Humke wondered about publishing the applications. Paul Lipparelli, Legal Counsel, said once the applications were submitted they would become public record. Chairman Humke asked if screenings would take place to disqualify applicants if they did not meet requirements. Mr. Slaughter affirmed there would be a screening process. He said the Registrar of Voters would assist in that process since that was their area of expertise.
In response to the call for public comment, Margaret Flint said she wanted to know if the applications would be an online process or a hard copy process.

Mr. Slaughter said he was informed the applications would be available online, but would have to be submitted as hard copies.

On call for the question, the motion passed on a vote of 5-0.

14-1088 AGENDA ITEM 32

Agenda Subject: “Discussion and possible direction to staff regarding whether Washoe County Commissioners should receive the same sick leave benefits as other elected county officials in Washoe County, and if directed, request through the County Manager’s Office to the County Clerk, pursuant to Washoe County Code 2.030, to initiate proceedings to amend Washoe County Code (Chapter 5) related to the creation of an ordinance for the accrual and use of sick leave, and payment for unused sick leave by County Commissioners; and direct the Clerk to submit the request to the District Attorney for preparation of a proposed ordinance pursuant to Washoe County Code 2.040— Requested by Commissioner Weber. (All Commission Districts.)

Chairman Humke said this was essentially a proposition to determine if there was sufficient desire to cause an Ordinance to be drafted.

Commissioner Weber said this agenda item had caused a lot of havoc. She explained this item was unfinished business that had been discussed among various staff members for a long time. She explained the District Attorney’s office had released an opinion on the matter the previous week and she alone asked for the item to be placed on the agenda. She apologized to Chairman Humke for his name being associated with it, but she felt that she followed proper procedures.

Chairman Humke said the agenda item indicated that it was prospective in application and he did not see anything that would make it retrospective in action. He noted there was no staff report on the item.

Commissioner Weber said she thought the Reno Gazette Journal (RGJ) had been unfair in their opinion. Chairman Humke asked her if she intended to withdraw the item. Commissioner Weber stated her concern about whether a withdrawal of the item would have an effect on a future Board’s ability to bring the item back for discussion.

Paul Lipparelli, Legal Counsel, explained the process for amending the Code. He said the District Attorney’s (DA) opinion was that if the Board wished to create a sick leave benefit for itself, it would have to do so pursuant to an Ordinance. He apologized if the DA opinion did not clarify the issue. He said this was the first time the issue had ever been placed on an agenda for consideration, which was what drove the DA’s interest in advising the Board about potential legal problems. He suggested that if
there was an interest in placing this subject matter on a future agenda, the DA’s office could do a better job of analyzing the legal information to give the Board the information it needed.

Commissioner Weber asked if she could withdraw the item.

Mr. Lipparelli said the Board was not compelled to act on the item and if the requesting Commissioner no longer wanted to proceed with it, she could suggest that it be withdrawn.

Commissioner Weber requested to withdraw the item.

There was no public comment or action on this item.

14-1089 AGENDA ITEM 33– CLOSED SESSION

Agenda Subject: “Possible Closed Session for the purpose of discussing labor negotiations with Washoe County, Truckee Meadows Fire Protection District and/or Sierra Fire Protection District per NRS 288.220.”

There was no need for a closed session.

14-1090 AGENDA ITEM 35 – PUBLIC COMMENT

Agenda Subject: “Public Comment. Comment heard under this item will be limited to three minutes per person and may pertain to matters both on and off the Commission agenda. The Commission will also hear public comment during individual action items, with comment limited to three minutes per person. Comments are to be made to the Commission as a whole.”

There was no response to the call for public comment.

COMMUNICATIONS

The following communications and reports were received, duly noted, and placed on file with the Clerk:

AGREEMENTS

14-1091 An Agreement between the County of Washoe, through the Social Services Department and The Children’s Cabinet, A Nevada non-profit corporation, for the period of October 1, 2010 through September 30, 2011.
MONTHLY FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

14-1092 Monthly statement of Washoe County Treasurer for Month Ending October 31, 2014.

QUARTERLY FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

14-1093 Washoe County Sheriff - First Quarter Report of Civil Fees and Commissions for fiscal year 2014/2015.
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* * * * * * * * * *
7:41 p.m. There being no further business to discuss, on motion by Commissioner Berkbigler, seconded by Commissioner Jung, which motion duly carried, the meeting was adjourned.

_____________________________
DAVID HUMKE, Chairman
Washoe County Commission

ATTEST:

__________________________
NANCY PARENT, County Clerk and
Clerk of the Board of County Commissioners

Minutes Prepared by:
Cathy Smith, Deputy County Clerk
RESOLUTION OF SURPLUS AND NOTICE OF INTENT TO TRANSFER

A RESOLUTION DECLARING WASHOE COUNTY'S INTENT TO DECLARE AS SURPLUS A SLIVER PARCEL KNOWN AS APN 007-111-12, SUBJECT TO THE PROVISIONS OF NEVADA REVISED STATUTES 244.281; AND ALL OTHER MATTERS PROPERLY RELATED THERETO

WHEREAS, Washoe County owns a certain sliver parcel of real property in Washoe County located at 0 Codel Way, known as Assessor’s Parcel Number 007-111-12, subject parcel is approximately 1,200 square feet in size, and more specifically described as:

All that certain real property situate in the City of Reno, County of Washoe, State of Nevada, described as follows:

Beginning at a point on the East line of Ralston Street 65 feet Northerly from the Southwest corner of Lot 1 in Block 12 of University Terrace Addition, Reno, Nevada, according to the amended plat thereof, filed in the office of the County Recorder of Washoe County, Nevada, on December 30, 1926; thence Easterly and parallel with the South line of said Lot 1 to its intersection with the Southerly and Westerly line of Codel Way; thence Northwesterly along said Codel Way to its intersection with the Easterly line of Ralston Street; thence Southerly along the Easterly line of Ralston Street 33.35 feet to the point of beginning; said premises being a portion of Lot 1 Block 12, of the University Terrace Addition, according to the map as mentioned above. The above Legal Description was taken from Prior Document NO. 50707 of Deed Records, Washoe County, Nevada.

WHEREAS, Nevada Revised Statutes, 244.281 (e) authorizes conveyance of land, when the Board of County Commission determines “(II) Parcel that, as a result of its size, is too small to establish and economically viable use by anyone other than the person who owns real property adjacent to the real property for sale; and it is in the best interest of the county and its residents, the Board may formally adopt a Resolution so stating; and

WHEREAS, Upon adoption of the resolution, the Chair or authorized representative of the board shall direct staff to return at a future meeting to allow for any objections to this action; and

WHEREAS, Washoe County has received a letter requesting conveyance of the “subject sliver parcel” to the adjacent property owner for the sum of Two Hundred Dollars ($200.00); and
WHEREAS Subject to NRS 244.281 (e), the Board of County Commissioner's hereby finds that it is an appropriate process to follow; and

NOW, THEREFORE, be it so resolved by the Washoe County Board of Commissioners as follows:

1. On the basis of the recitals stated above the Board of County Commissioners ("the Board") of Washoe County hereby declares it is in the best interests of Washoe County and its residents for Washoe County to convey the subject "sliver parcel" to the adjacent property owner, Mr. James Brown and hereby declares its intent to do so.

2. The proposed conveyance shall be made at the next scheduled meeting by the Board of County Commissioner's after allowing for any objections, and payment Washoe County, of the proposed amount.

3. The conveyance will transfer the subject "sliver parcel", as is where is, and further encumbered by any and all easements and without any water rights or any commitment thereof.

ADOPTED this 9th day of Dec., 2014 by the following vote:

AYES: Humke, Weber, Jung, Berkbis, & Hartung

NAYS: None

ABSENT: None

ABSTAIN: None

David Humke, Chairman

Nancy Parent, County Clerk
INTERLOCAL AGREEMENT BETWEEN WASHOE COUNTY AND THE TRUCKEE MEADOWS WATER AUTHORITY TO PROVIDE OPERATIONS AND MAINTENANCE SERVICES FOR THE GOLDEN VALLEY ARTIFICIAL RECHARGE PROGRAM

This Agreement is dated for identification purposes as of the 31st day of December, 2014, notwithstanding the date of the last-executed signature below, by and between Washoe County, a political subdivision of the State of Nevada (hereinafter “County”) and the Truckee Meadows Water Authority, a Joint Powers Authority created pursuant to NRS Chapter 277 among Reno, Sparks and Washoe County, Nevada (hereinafter “TMWA”).

RECITALS

WHEREAS, Washoe County and the Truckee Meadows Water Authority are public agencies authorized by chapter 277 of the Nevada Revised Statutes to enter into interlocal and cooperative agreements with each other for the performance of governmental functions.

WHEREAS, in response to declining water levels and water quality issues, Golden Valley residents requested that the County implement a groundwater injection program to seek to stabilize the declining groundwater levels through the injection of surface water into the Golden Valley aquifer. The aquifer is relied upon by local residents as their primary source of water for domestic wells.

WHEREAS, in 2002, the County adopted by ordinance the Golden Valley Recharge Program (“Golden Valley Recharge Program”), which program is funded through collections from parcels in the program area under Washoe County Ordinance 1470. The County owns and operates four injection wells, monitoring wells, valves, mains and related facilities which the County operates in conjunction with the Golden Valley Recharge Program and which are more particularly depicted in Exhibit “A” attached hereto (“GV Recharge Facilities”).

WHEREAS, on or about January 29, 2010, the County and TMWA entered into that certain Interlocal Agreement Governing the Merger of the Washoe County Department of Water Resources Water Utility Into the Truckee Meadows Water Authority (“Merger Agreement”) pursuant to which the parties agreed to merge the Washoe County water utility into TMWA. The Golden Valley Recharge Program is not included as part of the Merger Agreement transaction.

WHEREAS, in connection with the Merger Agreement, a number of County employees with water operations expertise will become employees of TMWA on the closing date of the Merger.

WHEREAS, the County desires TMWA to provide certain labor and services to assist in the County’s operation of the Golden Valley Recharge Program, including: i) providing labor and assisting in the operations and maintenance of the injection wells and related water system facilities used by County in the Golden Valley Recharge Program;
and ii) providing quarterly water quality sampling, water level monitoring, and annual Nevada Department of Environmental Protection ("NDEP") permit compliance reporting on behalf of County in connection with the Golden Valley Recharge Program, on the terms and conditions stated herein.

NOW THEREFORE, it is agreed as follows:

1. **Routine Maintenance and Monitoring Services.** TMWA agrees to provide the following services to County (collectively, the "Services") during the term of this Agreement: i) to perform regularly scheduled and routine maintenance of the GV Recharge Facilities ("Maintenance Services"), in accordance with the plan of operations and budget (the "Operations Plan") developed and mutually agreed in advance by County and TMWA; ii) to provide water level monitoring, quarterly water quality sampling, and annual Nevada Department of Environmental Protection permit compliance reporting on behalf of County in connection with the Golden Valley Recharge Program ("Monitoring Services"), in accordance with the Operations Plan; and iii) extraordinary repairs, maintenance or emergency repairs of the GV Recharge Facilities as requested from time to time by the County ("Extraordinary Repair Services") and agreed to by TMWA. In connection with the performance of the Services, TMWA may engage such employees, agents, contractors and consultants as it shall deem necessary or convenient from time to time.

1.1 **Compensation for Services.** County shall reimburse TMWA for the Service Costs incurred during the term of this Agreement. Costs associated with providing the Services (collectively, the "Service Costs"), including without limitation all costs and expenses for labor, equipment, materials, license and permit fees, laboratory fees, and reasonable fees for general overhead and/or administrative costs, will be tracked by project code by TMWA in addition to cost to deliver water as defined in Section 2.2. TMWA shall invoice County on a quarterly basis for the Service Costs, and County agrees to pay such invoices within thirty (30) days of the date of the invoice. TMWA will provide an estimate of the Service Costs to County for each fiscal year for inclusion in County's budget beginning July 1st.

1.2 **Access.** County represents and warrants that it has secured, or will secure prior to the performance of the Services, such easements, licenses or other rights of access to the GV Recharge Facilities sufficient to allow TMWA to perform the Services. County agrees to provide TMWA with evidence of such access rights upon request.

2. **Water Resources and Delivery of Water.** For purposes of this Agreement, the term "GV Water Resources" shall mean the water rights committed by and owned or leased by the County to the Golden Valley Recharge Program, including i) 43.97 acre feet of Truckee River water rights purchased by the County from Golden Valley Recharge Program funds and committed by the County to the Golden Valley Recharge Program; ii) 75 acre feet of Truckee River water rights "leased" by County from the Washoe County Community Services Department (Regional Parks and Open Space) for the benefit of the Golden Valley Recharge Program; and iii) such other water rights acquired and committed by the County to the Golden Valley Recharge Program in the future. The County shall provide written notification of any changes, substitutions,
deletions, or acquisitions to GV Water Resources within 30 days of such event.

2.1. TMWA shall treat and deliver water to the County through existing points of connection between the GV Recharge Facilities and TMWA’s system in an aggregate quantity consistent with past practices up to, but not in excess of, the GV Water Resources less the return flow requirement, at such rate and times of delivery determined by TMWA which will not adversely affect delivery to full service customers. County shall use the water delivered for groundwater recharge in the Golden Valley Recharge Program.

2.2 Delivery of water provided under this Agreement shall be under and in accordance with TMWA’s Rate Schedule Interruptible Water Service (“IWS”). Delivery of water under IWS is subject to interruptions or curtailments as set forth in TMWA Rules, including due to various operating conditions associated with protecting the overall integrity of the water system (such as the need to maintain drought reserves, insufficient water availability, or lack of available capacity); repairing, constructing, or maintaining facilities on TMWA’s system; or other conditions and circumstances outside of TMWA’s direct ability to control. Delivery of water will be available as long as, in TMWA’s judgment, the required water deliveries can be provided without jeopardizing the integrity of the TMWA system, or affecting TMWA’s ability to modify, expand or repair the TMWA system as necessary to provide adequate deliveries of water to existing or future customers. TMWA may interrupt or curtail deliveries as provided herein and in TMWA Rules upon 24 hours’ notice to County.

2.3 TMWA shall bill County directly and County will pay for the delivery of water service under this Agreement in accordance with TMWA’s rules and customary billing practices.

3. Administration of Golden Valley Recharge Program. County shall remain solely responsible for operating and administering the Golden Valley Recharge Program, including computation and preparation of user charges, responding to homeowner and/or media inquiries and issues, billing and collection of bills from Golden Valley residents, maintaining books and records, and all other costs and liabilities associated with the program, including utility costs, water supply, etc. TMWA shall have no responsibility or liability with respect to the administration of the Golden Valley Recharge Program.

4. Cooperation and Future Planning. TMWA and County agree to participate and cooperate in the development of a recommended study for the Golden Valley Recharge Program which seeks to address long term sustainability of groundwater recharge issues in Golden Valley, including future operations, financial planning, water resources, future capital and O&M expenditures, operational responsibilities, respond to homeowner inquiries and issues, and program participant obligations.

5. Term and Termination. Either Party may terminate this Agreement upon one hundred eighty (180) day advance written notice to the other. This Agreement shall automatically terminate concurrently with any termination of the Golden Valley Recharge Program, should the County termination such program for any reason. In the event the County fails to appropriate or budget funds for the purposes as specified in this
Agreement, County shall notify TMWA in writing and the Agreement will terminate on the date specified in the notice. The Parties understand that this funding out provision is required under NRS 244.320 and NRS 354.626. If this Agreement is terminated, County shall, no later than fifteen (15) days following the date of termination, pay TMWA for all amounts due under Section 2 through the date of termination.

6. **Entire Understanding of the Parties.** This Agreement and TMWA Rules (as applicable to the delivery of water) contain all the obligations and agreements of the parties with respect to the subject matter hereof, and oral or written obligations not contained herein shall have no force or effect to alter any term or condition of this Agreement, unless modified in accordance with Paragraph 5.

7. **Amendment.** This Agreement may be amended or modified only by the mutual written agreement of the County and TMWA and ratification by their respective governing boards.

8. **Ratification By Governing Boards.** This Agreement is contingent upon ratification by official action of the governing body of the parties hereto, and shall be effective on the closing date of the Merger.

9. **Severability.** If any provision of this Agreement is held illegal or unenforceable in a judicial proceeding, such provision shall be severed and shall be inoperative, and, provided that the fundamental terms and conditions of this Agreement (including, without limitation, Section 1) remain legal and enforceable, the remainder of this Agreement shall remain operative and binding on the Parties.

10. **Waiver.** A waiver of any breach of any provision of this Agreement by any party shall not be construed to be a waiver of any preceding or succeeding breach.

11. **Governing Law.** This Agreement shall be governed, interpreted and construed in accordance with the laws of the State of Nevada.

12. **Indemnification**

12.1 Each Party agrees to be responsible for any liability or loss that may be incurred as a result of any claim, demand, cost, or judgment made against that Party arising from any negligent act or negligent failure to act by any of that Party’s employees, agents in connection with the performance of obligations assumed pursuant to this Agreement.

12.2 Each Party further agrees, to the extent allowed by law pursuant to Chapter 41 of the Nevada Revised Statutes, to hold harmless, indemnify and defend the other from all losses, liabilities or expenses of any nature to the person or property of another, to which the indemnified party may be subjected as a result of any claim, demand, action or cause of action rising out of the negligent acts, errors or omissions on the part of employees or agents of the indemnifying party in relation to this Agreement.
13. **No Third Party Beneficiaries.** Nothing in this Agreement, express or implied, is intended to or shall confer upon any other person other than the parties any right, benefit or remedy of any nature whatsoever under or by reason of this Agreement.

[REMAINDER OF PAGE INTENTIONALLY BLANK]
IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the Parties have set their hands with the intent to be bound.

TRUCKEE MEADOWS WATER AUTHORITY, a Joint Powers Authority created pursuant to NRS Chapter 277,

Dated **Nov 19**, 2014

By: [Signature]

Dated **12-9**, 2014

WASHOE COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS

By: [Signature]

David Humke, Chairman

ATTEST:

[Signature]

Washoe County Clerk
Exhibit “A”
GV Recharge Facilities
INTERLOCAL AGREEMENT BETWEEN WASHOE COUNTY AND THE TRUCKEE MEADOWS WATER AUTHORITY TO EACH PROVIDE SERVICES DEEMED NECESSARY TO FURTHER THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE WATER UTILITY MERGER

This Agreement is dated for identification purposes as of the 31st day of December, 2014, notwithstanding the date of the last-executed signature below, by and between Washoe County, a political subdivision of the State of Nevada (hereinafter “County”) and the Truckee Meadows Water Authority, a Joint Powers Authority created pursuant to NRS Chapter 277 among Reno, Sparks and Washoe County, Nevada (hereinafter “TMWA”).

RECITALS

WHEREAS, Washoe County and the Truckee Meadows Water Authority are public agencies authorized by chapter 277 of the Nevada Revised Statutes to enter into interlocal and cooperative agreements with each other for the performance of governmental functions;

WHEREAS, on or about January 29, 2010, the County and TMWA entered into that certain Interlocal Agreement Governing the Merger of the Washoe County Department of Water Resources Water Utility Into the Truckee Meadows Water Authority (“Merger Agreement”) pursuant to which the parties agreed to merge the Washoe County water utility into TMWA.

WHEREAS, in connection with the Merger Agreement, a number of County employees with water operations expertise will become employees of TMWA on the closing date of the Merger.

WHEREAS, the County will continue to provide wastewater utility services and will have employees with expertise in water resource management after the closing date of the Merger.

WHEREAS, NRS Chapter 277 provides that any one or more public agencies may contract with any one or more public agencies to perform any governmental service, activity or undertaking which any public agency, entering into the contract, is authorized to perform.

WHEREAS, the County desires TMWA to provide certain labor and services and TMWA desires County to provide certain labor and services, on the terms and conditions stated herein.

NOW THEREFORE, it is agreed as follows:

1. **TMWA Services.** TMWA agrees to provide the following services to County (collectively, the “**TMWA Services**”) during the term of this Agreement as reasonably requested from time to time by the County and agreed to by TMWA:
i) hydrogeological services in connection with Washoe County’s Golden Valley Recharge program (“Hydrogeological Services”); and

ii) reading the County’s reclaim water meters at monthly intervals, as requested by County, and County’s non-potable water service meters in the Wadsworth water system, and submitting such meter read data to County and providing on a monthly basis potable water usage meter data for TMWA customers who are also County sewer customers (collectively “Meter Read Services”). County accepts as standard 1 error per 1,000 meters read for TMWA caused errors and accepts as standard 10 estimates per 1,000 meters for estimates.

In connection with the performance of the TMWA Services, TMWA may engage such employees, agents, contractors and consultants as it shall deem necessary or convenient from time to time. Additional water related administrative services may be requested by County and performed by TMWA at TMWA’s discretion on such terms mutually agreeable.

1.1 Compensation for Services. County shall reimburse TMWA for the costs incurred with providing the TMWA Services, including without limitation all costs and expenses for labor, equipment, materials, license and permit fees, laboratory fees, and reasonable fees for general overhead and/or administrative costs. TMWA will track such fees by project code.

1.2 Payment. TMWA shall invoice County on a quarterly basis for these TMWA Services rendered during the previous quarter. County agrees to pay such invoices within thirty (30) days of the date of the invoice. In the event that County disputes part or all of an invoice, County will pay the undisputed amount and provide prompt written notice to TMWA stating the reasons for disputing all or part of an invoice.

2. County Services. County agrees to provide the following services to TMWA (collectively, the “County Services”) during the term of this Agreement:

i) provide TMWA access to and data (in electronic or other format requested by TMWA, to the extent feasible) related to County GIS mapping system, improvement plans, facility as-built records, maintenance records, reports, models, working notes and drawings, billing records, and customer records;

ii) provide services to permit and facilitate the transportation, disposal and processing of clean in place waste discharge from the Longley Lane Water treatment plant into the South Truckee Meadows Wastewater Reclamation Facility (STMWRF) consistent with historic practices by County prior to the Merger;

; and

iii) water rights administration and support services, including assisting in preparing and submitting reports, extensions, and permit compliance information with the Nevada State Engineer relative to water rights administered by the County water utility prior to the merger with TMWA (“Water Right Administration”).
In connection with the performance of the County Services, the County may engage such employees, agents, contractors and consultants as it shall deem necessary or convenient from time to time. Additional water related administrative services may be requested by TMWA and performed by the County at the County’s discretion on such terms mutually agreeable.

2.1 **Compensation for Services.** TMWA shall reimburse the County for the costs incurred with providing the County Services, including without limitation all costs and expenses for labor, equipment, materials, license and permit fees, laboratory fees, and reasonable fees for general overhead and/or administrative costs. The County will track such fees by project code.

2.2 **Payment.** The County shall invoice TMWA on a quarterly basis for these County Services rendered during the previous quarter. TMWA agrees to pay such invoices within thirty (30) days of the date of the invoice. In the event that TMWA disputes part or all of an invoice, TMWA will pay the undisputed amount and provide prompt written notice to the County stating the reasons for disputing all or part of an invoice.

3. **Term and Termination.**

3.1 **Term.** The term of this Agreement shall commence on the effective date (as set forth in Section 7) and unless otherwise terminated as provided herein, shall terminate on the date which is five (5) years after the effective date. The term may be extended by mutual agreement of the parties.

3.2 **Termination for Convenience.** Either Party may terminate this Agreement upon one hundred eighty (180) day advance written notice to the other. Such termination shall be effected by written notice to the other party specifying the extent and effective date of the termination.

3.3 **Termination for Cause.** Either party may, upon fifteen (15) days written notice of default to the other party, terminate this Agreement if the other party: i) fails to timely perform any of the services specified in this Agreement or fails to timely make the payments required of such party under this Agreement; and ii) fails to timely cure such default within such fifteen (15) day period.

3.4 **Funding Out Clause.** In the event TMWA or County fails to appropriate or budget funds for the purposes as specified in this Agreement, such party shall notify the other in writing and the Agreement will terminate on the date specified in the notice. The Parties understand that this funding out provision is required under NRS 244.320 and NRS 354.626. If this Agreement is so terminated, the parties shall, no later than fifteen (15) days following the date of termination, pay for all amounts due under Section 2 through the date of termination.

4. **Workers Compensation.** Contractors performing any services shall be required to provide certificates of insurance to the agency for whom they are performing
the work. All parties to this agreement are responsible for providing workers' compensation coverage for their respective employees.

5. **Entire Understanding of the Parties.** This Agreement contains all the obligations and agreements of the parties with respect to the subject matter hereof, and oral or written obligations not contained herein shall have no force or effect to alter any term or condition of this Agreement, unless modified in accordance with Paragraph 6.

6. **Amendment.** This Agreement may be amended or modified only by the mutual written agreement of the County and TMWA and ratification by their respective governing boards.

7. **Ratification By Governing Boards.** This Agreement is contingent upon ratification by official action of the governing body of the parties hereto, and shall be effective on the closing date of the Merger.

8. **Severability.** If any provision of this Agreement is held illegal or unenforceable in a judicial proceeding, such provision shall be severed and shall be inoperative, and, provided that the fundamental terms and conditions of this Agreement (including, without limitation, Section 1) remain legal and enforceable, the remainder of this Agreement shall remain operative and binding on the Parties.

9. **Waiver.** A waiver of any breach of any provision of this Agreement by any party shall not be construed to be a waiver of any preceding or succeeding breach.

10. **Governing Law.** This Agreement shall be governed, interpreted and construed in accordance with the laws of the State of Nevada.

11. **Indemnification**

11.1 Each Party agrees to be responsible for any liability or loss that may be incurred as a result of any claim, demand, cost, or judgment made against that Party arising from any negligent act or negligent failure to act by any of that Party’s employees, agents in connection with the performance of obligations assumed pursuant to this Agreement.

11.2 Each Party further agrees, to the extent allowed by law pursuant to Chapter 41 of the Nevada Revised Statutes, to hold harmless, indemnify and defend the other from all losses, liabilities or expenses of any nature to the person or property of another, to which the indemnified party may be subjected as a result of any claim, demand, action or cause of action rising out of the negligent acts, errors or omissions on the part of employees or agents of the indemnifying party in relation to this Agreement.

12. **No Third Party Beneficiaries.** Nothing in this Agreement, express or implied, is intended to or shall confer upon any other person other than the parties any right, benefit or remedy of any nature whatsoever under or by reason of this Agreement.
13. **Dispute Resolution.** The parties hereby acknowledge and agree that this Agreement shall be governed, construed and enforced under the laws of the State of Nevada. The parties hereby consent to exclusive venue in Washoe County, Nevada. Any dispute under this Agreement shall be submitted first to mutually agreeable informal dispute resolution before instituting any legal action. Should either party hereto institute a legal action or dispute resolution proceeding of any kind to enforce any provision hereof or for damages by reason of an alleged breach of any provision of this Agreement, neither party shall be entitled to recover any amount as a reasonable attorney’s fee, for any reason, even if said party is deemed to be the prevailing party in such action or proceeding. Costs of suit may be awarded as allowed by law.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the Parties have set their hands with the intent to be bound.

Dated **12-17**, 2014

By: 

Dated **12-9**, 2014

WASHOE COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS

By: 

David Humke, Chairman

ATTEST:

Washoe County Clerk
RESOLUTION – Authorizing the Grant of Public Money to a Nonprofit Organization Created for Religious, Charitable or Educational Purposes

WHEREAS, NRS 244.1505 provides that a Board of County Commissioners may expend money for any purpose which will provide a substantial benefit to the inhabitants of the County and that a board may make a grant of money to a nonprofit organization created for religious, charitable or educational purposes to be expended for a selected purpose; and

WHEREAS, the Board of Commissioners of Washoe County has determined that $27,000 in funding is needed to address the basic health care needs of the uninsured and underinsured of Incline Village; now, therefore, be it

RESOLVED, by the Board of Commissioners of Washoe County that:

1. The Board hereby grants to Incline Village Community Hospital Foundation, a nonprofit organization created for religious, charitable or educational purposes, a grant for fiscal year 2014-2015 in the amount of $27,000 (Community Support).

2. The Board finds that in making this grant a substantial benefit will be provided to the inhabitants of the County by providing basic health care to low income, uninsured, and underinsured clients living in the Incline Village area.

3. The maximum amount to be expended from the grant and the conditions and limitations upon the grant are as set forth in the Grant Program Contract, which Contract is attached hereto and incorporated herein by reference.

Adopted this 9th Day of December 2014

[Signature]
David Humke, Chairman
Washoe County Commission

ATTEST:
[Signature]
County Clerk
RESOLUTION – Authorizing the Grant of Public Money to a Nonprofit Organization Created for Religious, Charitable or Educational Purposes

WHEREAS, NRS 244.1505 provides that a Board of County Commissioners may expend money for any purpose which will provide a substantial benefit to the inhabitants of the County and that a board may make a grant of money to a nonprofit organization created for religious, charitable or educational purposes to be expended for a selected purpose; and

WHEREAS, the Board of Commissioners of Washoe County has determined that $27,000 in funding is needed to address the basic health care needs of the uninsured and underinsured of Incline Village; now, therefore, be it

RESOLVED, by the Board of Commissioners of Washoe County that:

1. The Board hereby grants to Incline Village Community Hospital Foundation, a nonprofit organization created for religious, charitable or educational purposes, a grant for fiscal year 2014-2015 in the amount of $27,000 (Community Support).

2. The Board finds that in making this grant a substantial benefit will be provided to the inhabitants of the County by providing basic health care to low income, uninsured, and underinsured clients living in the Incline Village area.

3. The maximum amount to be expended from the grant and the conditions and limitations upon the grant are as set forth in the Grant Program Contract, which Contract is attached hereto and incorporated herein by reference.

Adopted this 9th Day of December 2014

[Signature]
David Humke, Chairman
Washoe County Commission

[Signature]
County Clerk
RESOLUTION: Authorizing the Grant of Public Money to a Nonprofit Organization Created for Religious, Charitable or Educational Purposes

WHEREAS, NRS 244.1505 provides that a Board of County Commissioners may expend money for any purpose which will provide a substantial benefit to the inhabitants of the County and that a board may make a grant of money to a nonprofit organization created for religious, charitable or educational purposes to be expended for a selected purpose; and

WHEREAS, the Board of Commissioners of Washoe County has determined that $41,300 in funding is needed to assist in providing support for economic development efforts including Marketing and Attraction, Retention/Expansion & Workforce Development, Entrepreneurial Growth; now, therefore, be it

RESOLVED, by the Board of Commissioners of Washoe County that:

1. The Board hereby grants to Economic Development Authority of Western Nevada (EDAWN), a nonprofit organization created for religious, charitable or educational purposes, a grant for fiscal year 2014-2015 in the amount of $41,300 (Community Support).

2. The Board finds that in making this grant a substantial benefit will be provided to the inhabitants of the County by providing economic development services including actively provided companies with relocation, expansion or retention assistance.

3. The maximum amount to be expended from the grant and the conditions and limitations upon the grant are as set forth in the Grant Program Contract, which is attached hereto and incorporated herein by reference.

Adopted this 9th Day of December 2014

David Humke, Chairman
Washoe County Commission

ATTEST:

County Clerk
RESOLUTION: Authorizing the Grant of Public Money to a Nonprofit Organization Created for Religious, Charitable or Educational Purposes

WHEREAS, NRS 244.1505 provides that a Board of County Commissioners may expend money for any purpose which will provide a substantial benefit to the inhabitants of the County and that a board may make a grant of money to a nonprofit organization created for religious, charitable or educational purposes to be expended for a selected purpose; and

WHEREAS, the Board of Commissioners of Washoe County has determined that $41,300 in funding is needed to assist in providing support for economic development efforts including Marketing and Attraction, Retention/Expansion & Workforce Development, Entrepreneurial Growth; now, therefore, be it

RESOLVED, by the Board of Commissioners of Washoe County that:

1. The Board hereby grants to Economic Development Authority of Western Nevada (EDAWN), a nonprofit organization created for religious, charitable or educational purposes, a grant for fiscal year 2014-2015 in the amount of $41,300 (Community Support).

2. The Board finds that in making this grant a substantial benefit will be provided to the inhabitants of the County by providing economic development services including actively provided companies with relocation, expansion or retention assistance.

3. The maximum amount to be expended from the grant and the conditions and limitations upon the grant are as set forth in the Grant Program Contract, which is attached hereto and incorporated herein by reference.

Adopted this 9th Day of December 2014

[Signature]
David Humke, Chairman
Washoe County Commission

[Signature]
County Clerk
**RESOLUTION** – Authorizing the Grant of Public Money to a Nonprofit Organization Created for Religious, Charitable or Educational Purposes

WHEREAS, NRS 244.1505 provides that a Board of County Commissioners may expend money for any purpose which will provide a substantial benefit to the inhabitants of the County and that a board may make a grant of money to a nonprofit organization created for religious, charitable or educational purposes to be expended for a selected purpose; and

WHEREAS, the Board of Commissioners of Washoe County has determined that $10,000 in funding is needed to assist in providing services to encourage fair housing standards; now, therefore, be it

RESOLVED, by the Board of Commissioners of Washoe County that:

1. The Board hereby grants to Silver State Fair Housing Council, a nonprofit organization created for religious, charitable or educational purposes, a grant for fiscal year 2014-2015 in the amount of $10,000 (Community Support).

2. The Board finds that in making this grant a substantial benefit will be provided to the inhabitants of the County by providing informational materials and education to increase community awareness of housing discrimination, Fair Housing Act protections, and fair housing rights and responsibilities.

3. The maximum amount to be expended from the grant and the conditions and limitations upon the grant are as set forth in the Grant Program Contract, which is attached hereto and incorporated herein by reference.

Adopted this 9th Day of December 2014

David Humke, Chairman
Washoe County Commission

AT TEST:

County Clerk
RESOLUTION – Authorizing the Grant of Public Money to a Nonprofit Organization Created for Religious, Charitable or Educational Purposes

WHEREAS, NRS 244.1505 provides that a Board of County Commissioners may expend money for any purpose which will provide a substantial benefit to the inhabitants of the County and that a board may make a grant of money to a nonprofit organization created for religious, charitable or educational purposes to be expended for a selected purpose; and

WHEREAS, the Board of Commissioners of Washoe County has determined that $10,000 in funding is needed to assist in providing services to encourage fair housing standards; now, therefore, be it

RESOLVED, by the Board of Commissioners of Washoe County that:

1. The Board hereby grants to Silver State Fair Housing Council, a nonprofit organization created for religious, charitable or educational purposes, a grant for fiscal year 2014-2015 in the amount of $10,000 (Community Support).

2. The Board finds that in making this grant a substantial benefit will be provided to the inhabitants of the County by providing informational materials and education to increase community awareness of housing discrimination, Fair Housing Act protections, and fair housing rights and responsibilities.

3. The maximum amount to be expended from the grant and the conditions and limitations upon the grant are as set forth in the Grant Program Contract, which is attached hereto and incorporated herein by reference.

Adopted this 9th Day of December 2014

David Humke, Chairman
Washoe County Commission

APPROVED:

County Clerk
FORENSIC SUPPORT SERVICES AGREEMENT
BETWEEN
WASHOE COUNTY ON BEHALF OF
THE WASHOE COUNTY SHERIFF'S OFFICE
AND
THE WALKER RIVER PAIUTE TRIBE ON BEHALF OF
THE WALKER RIVER POLICE DEPARTMENT

THIS AGREEMENT is made and entered by and between WASHOE COUNTY, on behalf of the WASHOE COUNTY SHERIFF'S OFFICE, hereinafter referred to collectively as WASHOE, and the WALKER RIVER PAIUTE TRIBE on behalf of the WALKER RIVER POLICE DEPARTMENT, hereinafter referred to as USER.

WITNESSETH:

WHEREAS, pursuant to NRS 277.180, WASHOE and USER may, in the performance of their functions, use each other's facilities and services, with the consent of the other party and subject to such terms and conditions as the parties may agree upon; and

WHEREAS, USER desires to acquire the assistance of WASHOE in providing USER the use of WASHOE'S Forensic Support Facilities and Services;

WHEREAS, WASHOE desires to provide its assistance to USER in regard to the use of WASHOE'S Forensic Support Facilities and Services;

NOW, THEREFORE, based on the foregoing premises and the following covenants, terms and conditions, the parties hereto do hereby agree as follows:

1. SERVICES PROVIDED: Unless otherwise provided and/or amended by written agreement of the parties hereto, WASHOE shall provide for USER forensic analyses within the existing capabilities of WASHOE as set forth in Exhibit A attached hereto and hereby incorporated by reference. In this regard, it is the understanding and intent of the parties, as the capabilities of WASHOE change over time, to execute written amendments to this Agreement indicating any services no longer provided as well as to identify any new services to be provided and the cost thereof.

   WASHOE staff shall be available, upon reasonable notice should USER require said staff, to participate in legal proceedings (discovery practice or court appearances) to testify as to any testing and certification services performed for USER pursuant to this Agreement.

   A. For fiscal year 2014/2015 USER shall pay to WASHOE a fee of $3,000, which fee shall entitle USER to the use of the forensic laboratory services currently within the capability of WASHOE as such are more fully set forth in Exhibit A attached hereto and hereby incorporated by reference. The annual fee shall be due and payable in full within 30 days of USER'S receipt of billing by WASHOE.
B. In the event, during any fiscal year covered by this Agreement, WASHOE shall increase its forensic laboratory services beyond those set-forth in Exhibit A, the parties agree to negotiate, in good faith, an increase in the annual fee charged by WASHOE to USER to compensate WASHOE for the provision of the additional forensic laboratory services to USER. Any such agreement shall be memorialized by a written addendum to this Agreement signed by both of the parties hereto. Any increase in the annual fee shall be due and payable in full within 30 days after execution of said addendum.

C. In the event that the governing body of the county fails to obligate any funds necessary to carry out the duties created hereunder beyond the county’s then current fiscal year, this agreement shall terminate without charge, penalty, or sanction.

D. During the term of this Agreement, in the event that WASHOE shall be required to engage the consultation services of any outside forensic laboratory or specialist in order to provide USER with any additional expertise or equipment outside of the current capability of WASHOE to perform for USER, USER shall reimburse WASHOE in full for any costs or fees incurred as a result of said consultation. Any such consultation fees shall be due and payable in full within 30 days of USER’S receipt of billing by WASHOE for any such consultation services.

E. In the event that USER shall require the testimony of any of the staff of WASHOE in any legal proceedings in order to testify as to any testing or certification performed for USER pursuant to this Agreement, USER agrees to reimburse WASHOE for any compensation, benefits, travel and per diem costs incurred by WASHOE in providing said staff at the time, place and for the purposes required to assist USER. Any such reimbursement shall be due and payable in full within 30 days of USER’S receipt of billing by WASHOE for any such staff services.

2. INDEMNIFICATION: USER agrees to indemnify, defend and hold harmless WASHOE, its officers, employees, and agents, from and against any and all claims, demands, or actions by any person or entity which arise or result from any act or omission to act on the part of any officers, employees, or agents of USER in connection with the services to be provided pursuant to this Agreement.

WASHOE agrees to indemnify, defend and hold harmless USER, its officers, employees, and agents, from and against any and all claims, demands, or actions by any person or entity which arise or result from any act or omission to act on the part of any officers, employees, and agents of WASHOE in connection with the services to be provided pursuant to this Agreement.

The parties do not waive and intend to assert any liability limitations available under law, including but not limited to those defenses available under chapter 41 of Nevada Revised Statutes in all cases.

3. MODIFICATION: Any modification or amendment to this Agreement, in order to be binding upon the parties, must be in writing and be signed by both of the parties hereto.

4. EFFECTIVE DATE AND RENEWAL:

A. This Agreement shall be effective as of July 1, 2014 and terminate as of June 30, 2015.
B. Either party to this Agreement may terminate this Agreement at any time of the term of the Agreement by giving the other party to this Agreement 180 days prior written notice.

C. This Agreement may be renewed by the parties for any subsequent fiscal year by virtue of the parties’ execution of a renewal agreement setting for the fiscal year for which the renewal shall be effective, the services to be rendered, and the costs and fees to be incurred by USER to WASHOE. Any such renewal shall be contingent upon the parties agreeing to the annual fee to be charged by WASHOE to USER.

DATE: 6-16-14

BY: "Signature"
WASHOE COUNTY SHERIFF

DATE: Dec 9, 2014

BY: "Signature"
CHAIRMAN

DATE: December 9, 2014

ATTEST: "Signature"
WASHOE COUNTY CLERK

USER

DATE: 6-16-14

BY: "Signature"
Exhibit A

Full Services Provided Under the Forensic Science Division Contract

Forensic services within the existing capabilities of the laboratory will include the following:

Arson (Ignitable Liquids)

Controlled Substances

- Qualitative analysis and identification of substances controlled under the Federal Controlled Substances Act (CSA) or the Nevada Administrative Code (NAC).
  - Up to five different items can be submitted in a case. For cases involving more than five items, contact the District Attorney handling the case and the Laboratory prior to submission.
  - Evidence will be accepted in cases that are being actively prosecuted or cases for which the Laboratory results will be used at a Grand Jury, to substantiate charges that will be filed or are pending, to obtain a warrant, in an on-going investigation or for officer safety.
- Only selected items from those submitted will be analyzed.
  - Multiple items that are visually similar in appearance and packaging only will be tested when the total net weight could substantiate a trafficking charge. This analysis may be deferred until the case is set for District Court.
  - When multiple substances likely to contain a Schedule I compound are submitted, one of each type of substance will be analyzed.
  - When a Schedule I compound is identified, substances likely to contain a compound in a lower schedule will not be analyzed.
  - Items bearing or containing residue only and paraphernalia items only will be tested when no other controlled substance evidence exists or when other items do not contain a controlled substance.
- Pharmaceutical preparations (tablets or capsules)
  - Visual examination only with comparison to a database when a scheduled controlled substance (except marijuana) is identified in a non-pharmaceutical preparation.
  - Analysis and identification of any controlled substance in one dosage unit of the pharmaceutical preparation when no other controlled substance evidence is present (except marijuana).
  - Visual examination only for pharmaceutical preparations consistent with preparations containing a prescription only or over-the-counter compound.

Additional controlled substance exhibits may be analyzed on a case by case basis. If services beyond those listed above are needed, please contact the division for consultation prior to submitting the evidence.

Shoeprint and Tire track Comparison

Firearms/Toolmark Examinations

- Distance determination
• Comparative analysis (bullets, cartridge cases, toolmarks etc.)
• Weapon function test
• Serial number restoration

Crime Scene Investigation
• Homicide
• Attempted homicide
• Officer involved shootings
• Questionable deaths with detective on scene
• Kidnapping
• Child abuse
• Sexual assault with substantial bodily injury or unknown suspect
• Battery with a deadly weapon with substantial bodily injury
• Armed robbery with substantial bodily injury
• Bank robbery with substantial bodily injury
• Robbery, strong armed, with substantial bodily injury
• Fatal traffic accidents when vehicular homicide is suspected
• Homicide autopsy
• Does not include response to non-major crimes such as burglary, recovered stolen vehicle, evidence collection from officer at hospital, consensual sexual cases, single vehicle fatality or response to collect a piece of evidence in the absence of a CSI officer

Latent Print Processing
• Appropriate chemical or powder processing of submitted items
• Development and submission of latent prints of value
• WIN/AFIS (Western Identification Network/Automated Fingerprint Identification System)
• 10-print or suspect comparison

Photo Laboratory Services
• Creation of CDs from scene photos taken by FIS
• CDs created from previously processed 35mm negatives

Primary Examination
• Presumptive and confirmatory stain characterization
  o Semen (presence of sperm cells)
  o Seminal fluid (absence of sperm cells)
  o Saliva
  o Blood including human blood
• Determination of human vs animal hair and suitability of hair for DNA testing

DNA Analysis
• Homicide (up to 15 evidentiary samples and 10 reference samples)
• Sexual crimes (with presence of sperm up to 3 evidentiary samples and 5 reference samples)
• Sexual crimes (absence of sperm up to 5 evidentiary samples and 5 reference samples)
• Crimes against a person (up to 4 evidentiary samples and 5 reference samples)
• Property crimes (up to 2 evidentiary samples and 5 reference samples)
DNA samples in addition to above limits can be processed at $250.00 per hour

Forensic services within the existing capabilities of the laboratory do NOT include the following:

- DNA analysis on weapons violations, possession of stolen property, found property, vandalism, controlled substances, and larceny unless extenuating circumstances are approved by the Laboratory Director or DNA Supervising Criminalist.

- DNA analysis of convicted offenders samples per NRS 176.0913. The funding for this analysis is provided through the $150.00 fee collection per NRS 176.0915 and grant funding.

- While the laboratory will continue to analyze liquids and solids for the presence of controlled substances, current personnel do not have the experience in the analysis of clandestine laboratories and therefore will not be able to provide detailed testimony on Clandestine Manufacture of Drugs. This inability is limited to drug analysis, not crime scene response.

- Analysis of the contents of syringes; unless extenuating circumstances exist as approved by the Laboratory Director.

- Analysis of non-controlled substances

- Trace evidence analysis: fibers, glass, paint, hair, chemical unknowns, physical match and explosive materials

- Document examination such as handwriting comparisons

Note: The IBIS (Integrated Ballistic Identification System) (firearms database) is included for all agencies. This is not a service that is charged for.

This scope of work does not include Toxicology services. Toxicology will continue to be billed on a per test basis. If you have any questions regarding Toxicology services, contact the Forensic Science Division.
RESOLUTION NO.____

A RESOLUTION DIRECTING THE COUNTY TREASURER TO GIVE NOTICE OF THE SALE OF PROPERTIES SUBJECT TO THE LIEN OF A DELINQUENT ASSESSMENT; RATIFYING ALL ACTIONS PREVIOUSLY TAKEN; AND PROVIDING OTHER MATTERS PROPERLY RELATING THERETO.

WHEREAS, the Board of Commissioners (the "Board") of the County of Washoe (the "County"), State of Nevada, pursuant to different ordinances heretofore duly passed and adopted, created County improvement districts as more particularly described at Exhibit A attached hereto and incorporated herein (the "Districts"), and ordered the acquisition of improvements within said Districts, and determined to defray a portion of the entire cost and expense of such improvements by special assessments, according to benefits, against the benefited lots, tracts and parcels of land ("properties") in the respective Districts; and

WHEREAS, the Board has by their respective ordinances levied assessments against the properties; and

WHEREAS, the Board has directed and hereby reaffirm their direction to the County Treasurer to collect and enforce the assessments in the Districts in the manner provided by Nevada's Consolidated Local Improvements Law, NRS Chapter 271; and

WHEREAS, the assessment installments on certain properties in the Districts are delinquent, as identified in Exhibit A attached hereto ("delinquent properties"), and remain delinquent after delivery by certified mail, return receipt requested, of a ten day delinquency notice to each delinquent property owner; and

WHEREAS, the Board desires that the County Treasurer proceed with a notice of the sale of the delinquent properties as provided in NRS 271.545 and sell the delinquent properties pursuant to NRS 271.540 to NRS 271.630.
NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS OF THE COUNTY OF WASHOE, STATE OF NEVADA AS FOLLOWS:

1. The Board hereby exercises its option to cause the either the delinquent installment or the whole amount of the unpaid principal of the assessments on the delinquent properties to become due and payable as provided in NRS 271.410.

2. The Board hereby ratifies all action previously taken by the County Treasurer and directs the County Treasurer to give notice of the sale of the delinquent properties and to sell the delinquent properties pursuant to NRS 271.540 to NRS 271.630. The sale shall take place at 11:00 a.m. on January 22, 2015, in the Washoe County Central Conference Room, 1001 E 9th St Building C, Reno Nevada, which the Board hereby finds a convenient location within the County.

3. If some irregularity or circumstance arises before the sale of any delinquent property such that in the opinion of the Washoe County Treasurer the public interest would best be served by withdrawing such a delinquent property from sale, the Washoe County Treasurer is hereby expressly authorized to make such a withdrawal. The Treasurer shall report to the Board in writing concerning his decision to make such a withdrawal and shall state the reasons for the decision.

4. The County Treasurer shall give the notice as provided in NRS 271.545, by publication in the Reno Gazette Journal, a newspaper of general circulation in the County, and such notice to be published at least once a week, for three consecutive publications, by three weekly insertions, the first such publication to be at least 15 days prior to the day of sale. It shall not be necessary that the notice be published on the same day of the week, but not less than 14 days shall intervene between the first publication and the last publication. Such service by publication shall be verified by the affidavit of the publisher and filed with the County Treasurer. The County Treasurer or his designee shall also give written notice of sale by mailing a copy of such notice, by first-class mail, postage prepaid, at least 20 days prior to the day of sale, to the last known owner or owners of all properties subject to sale or other designated person at his or her last-known address or addresses; and to any person or governmental entity that appeared in the records of the County to have a lien or other interest in the delinquent property.
Proof of such mailing shall be made by the affidavit of the County Treasurer or his designee and such proof shall be filed with the County Treasurer. Proof of the publication and proof of the mailing shall be maintained in the permanent records of the office of the County Treasurer until all special assessments and special assessment bonds issued (if such special assessment bonds have been or are hereafter issued) shall have been paid in full, both principal and interest, until any period of redemption has expired or property sold for an assessment, or until any claim is barred by an appropriate statute of limitations, whichever occurs last.

5. The officers of the County are hereby authorized to take all action necessary to effectuate the provisions of this Resolution.

6. The resolution is effective on passage and approval.

ADOPTED this 9th Day of December 2014, by the following vote:

AYES: Humke, Weber, Jung, Berkbigler & Hartung

NAYS: NONE

ABSENT: NONE

ABSTAIN: NONE

[Signature]
Chairman
Washoe County Commission

[Signature]
County Clerk
EXHIBIT A

Delinquent Parcels – by Assessment District - as of 11/19/2014

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>WCAD #</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>District</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>Cold Springs Sewer – <strong>District 5</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 parcel</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>556-051-07</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>WCAD #</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>District</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>Arrowcreek Water – <strong>District 2</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 parcel</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>152-862-05</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>WCAD #</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>District</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>Spanish Springs Valley Ranch Rd Imp – <strong>District 5</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 parcel</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>076-310-48</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>076-310-49</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total Parcels 4
A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY TREASURER TO TRANSFER TO OTHER GOVERNMENTAL ENTITIES, REAL PROPERTY HELD IN TRUST DUE TO PROPERTY TAX DELINQUENCY AND OTHER MATTERS PROPERLY RELATED THERETO.

WHEREAS, after the expiration of the period of redemption for tax delinquent parcels of real property, the county tax receiver is required to execute and deliver deeds conveying title to such property to the county treasurer in trust for the use and benefit of the state and county, (NRS 361.585); and

WHEREAS, upon the order of the board of county commissioners entered upon the record of its proceedings, such tax delinquent parcels held in trust by the Treasurer may be conveyed in the manner required by state law after proper notice is given, (NRS 361.595); and

WHEREAS, attached to this resolution and incorporated herein is Exhibit A, a list of tax delinquent parcels held by the county treasurer in trust that the board of county commissioners desires to have conveyed to other governments as more specifically set forth in Exhibit A; and

WHEREAS, pursuant to NRS 361.603 local governments or the University System are authorized to acquire property held in trust by the county to serve a public purpose in return for the payment of the delinquent taxes; and

WHEREAS, pursuant to NRS 361.603 no delinquent taxes need be paid for property transferred to a local government for street, sewer or drainage uses, for use in a program for the rehabilitation of abandoned residential properties established by the local government pursuant to chapter 279B of NRS, or for use as open-space real property as designated in a city, county or regional comprehensive plan.

NOW THEREFORE BE IT HEREBY RESOLVED by the Washoe County Board of County Commissioners as follows:

1. The Washoe County Board of County Commissioners finds that transferring the parcels listed in Exhibit “A” would serve the public purposes stated in the exhibit.

2. The Washoe County Treasurer is hereby ordered pursuant to NRS 361.603 to transfer the parcels listed in Exhibit “A”, item #1 and #2 to the governmental unit(s) for the purposes stated in Exhibit “A” in the manner required by state law after proper legal notice has been given.

3. If some irregularity or circumstance arises before the transfer of any certain parcel listed in the exhibits to this resolution such that in the opinion of the Washoe County Treasurer the public interest would best be served by withdrawing such a parcel from a sale or transfer, the Washoe County Treasurer is hereby expressly authorized to make such a withdrawal on behalf of the county. The Treasurer shall report to the board in writing his or her decision to make such a withdrawal and shall state the
reasons for the decision. The board may thereafter permit the parcel to remain in trust for the benefit of the state and county or may again order it be sold or transferred.

ADOPTED this 9th day of Dec., 2014 by the following vote:

AYES: Humke, Weber, Jung, Berkbiesler, & Blasing

NAYS: None

ABSENT: None

ABSTAIN: None

Chairman
Washoe County Commission

ATTEST:

County Clerk
EXHIBIT “A”

2014 Tax Delinquent Parcels to be withheld
From Sale to the general public

1. The City of Reno has requested acquisition of one parcel for street use

   APN          BACK TAX
   003-340-04   $25.16

2. The Truckee Meadows Water Authority has requested acquisition of two parcels for utility/water use:

   APN          BACK TAX
   051-562-03   $50.25
   051-592-10   $11,756.92
RESOLUTION NO. ______

A RESOLUTION OF INTENT, PROPOSING THE ISSUANCE OF, AND AUTHORIZING THE PUBLICATION OF NOTICES RELATING TO GENERAL OBLIGATION (LIMITED TAX) BUILDING BONDS (ADDITIONALLY SECURED BY PLEDGED REVENUES) SERIES 2015 IN THE MAXIMUM PRINCIPAL AMOUNT OF $12,000,000 FOR THE PURPOSE OF FINANCING THE BUILDING PROJECTS, INCLUDING THE MEDICAL EXAMINER'S BUILDING; PROVIDING THE MANNER, FORM AND CONTENTS OF THE NOTICES THEREOF; PROVIDING OTHER MATTERS PROPERLY RELATED THERETO; AND PROVIDING THE EFFECTIVE DATE HEREOF.

WHEREAS, Washoe County in the State of Nevada (the "County" and the "State", respectively) is a county duly organized and created under the provisions of Nevada Revised Statutes ("NRS") 243.340; and

WHEREAS, the Board of County Commissioners of the County (the "Board") has determined and hereby declares that the public interest, health and welfare necessitates acquiring, constructing, improving and equipping of building projects, including, but not limited to facilities, structures, fixtures, furniture and equipment for a building for the Medical Examiner, and all appurtenances and incidentals necessary, useful or desirable for any such facilities as set forth in NRS 244A.019 (the "Project"); and

WHEREAS, pursuant to NRS 244A.011 through 244A.065, inclusive, and pursuant to chapter 350 of NRS and all laws amendatory thereof which includes the Local Government Securities Laws, being NRS 350.500 through 350.720, and all laws amendatory thereof, the County is authorized to borrow money and to issue general obligation bonds of the County for the purpose of defraying wholly or in part the cost of the Project; and

WHEREAS, the Board proposes to issue up to $12,000,000 of general obligation building bonds of the County (the "Bonds") for the Project; and

WHEREAS, such Bonds will be additionally secured by a pledge of 15% of all income and revenue derived by and distributed to the County pursuant to NRS 360.698 (the "Pledged Revenues"); and
WHEREAS, based on a revenue study previously presented to the Board, the Board has determined that the "Pledged Revenues" will at least equal the amount required in each year for the payment of interest and principal on the Bonds; and

WHEREAS, the Board proposes to incur this general obligation without an election unless a petition signed by the requisite number of registered voters of the County is presented to the Board requiring the Board to submit to the qualified electors of the County for their approval or disapproval the following proposal:

GENERAL OBLIGATION BUILDING BONDS ADDITIONALLY SECURED BY PLEDGED REVENUES PROPOSAL:

Shall the Board of County Commissioners of Washoe County in the State of Nevada, be authorized to incur a general obligation indebtedness on behalf of the County by the issuance at one time, or from time to time, of the County’s general obligation (limited tax) building bonds, in one series or more, in the aggregate principal amount of not exceeding $12,000,000 for the purpose of financing, wholly or in part, the acquisition, construction, improvement and equipment of building projects as defined in NRS 244A.019, the bonds to mature commencing not later than five (5) years from the date or respective dates of the bonds and ending not later than thirty (30) years therefrom, to bear interest at a rate or rates not in excess of the statutory maximum rate in effect at the time bonds are sold, to be payable from general (ad valorem) taxes (except to the extent pledged revenues and other moneys are available therefor), and to be issued and sold at par, or below or above par, and otherwise in such manner, upon such terms and conditions, and with such other detail as the Board may determine, including at its option but not necessarily limited to provisions for the redemption of bonds prior to maturity without or with the payment of a premium?

(the "Proposal"); and
WHEREAS, pursuant to NRS 350.011 to 350.0165, inclusive, the Board has submitted the Proposal to the Debt Management Commission of Washoe County (the "Commission"); and

WHEREAS, the Commission has heretofore approved the Proposal; and

WHEREAS, NRS 350.020(3) in effect provides that if the payment of a general obligation of the County is additionally secured by pledged revenues, and the governing body (i.e., the Board) determines that the pledged revenues will at least equal the amount required in each year for the payment of interest and principal, the County may incur the general obligation without an election, unless a petition requesting an election signed by 5% of the registered voters in the County is presented to the Board within 90 days after the publication of a notice of the adoption of this resolution of intent; and

WHEREAS, NRS 350.020(3) also requires that a public hearing be held before the Bonds are issued.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS OF THE COUNTY OF WASHOE, NEVADA:

Section 1. This resolution shall be known as and may be cited by the short title "Resolution of Intent to Issue 2015 Building Bonds" (this "Resolution").

Section 2. All action heretofore taken (not inconsistent with the provisions of this Resolution) by the Board and the officers of the Board directed:

(a) Toward the Project to be financed by the Bonds; and

(b) Toward the issuance of the Bonds to defray, in part, the cost thereof, be, and the same hereby is, ratified, approved and confirmed.

Section 3. The County and the officers of the County be, and they hereby are, authorized and directed to publish a notice of the adoption of the resolution of intent relating to the Board's proposal to issue the Bonds in a newspaper of general circulation in the County, at least once, such notice to be published in substantially the following form:
NOTICE OF THE INTENT OF THE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS OF WASHOE COUNTY, NEVADA, TO ISSUE GENERAL OBLIGATION (LIMITED TAX) BUILDING BONDS OF THE COUNTY ADDITIONALLY SECURED BY PLEDGED REVENUES

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that the Board of the County Commissioners of Washoe County (the "Board" and the "County"), Nevada, by a resolution, passed, adopted and approved on December 9, 2014, and designated in Section 1 thereof by the short title "Resolution of Intent to Issue 2015 Building Bonds" has proposed the issuance of the County's General Obligation (Limited Tax) Building Bonds (Additionally Secured by Pledged Revenues) (the "Bonds") designated below, as follows:

GENERAL OBLIGATION BUILDING BONDS ADDITIONALLY SECURED BY PLEDGED REVENUES PROPOSAL:

Shall the Board of County Commissioners of Washoe County in the State of Nevada, be authorized to incur a general obligation indebtedness on behalf of the County by the issuance at one time, or from time to time, of the County's general obligation (limited tax) building bonds, in one series or more, in the aggregate principal amount of not exceeding $12,000,000 for the purpose of financing, wholly or in part, the acquisition, construction, improvement and equipment of building projects as defined in NRS 244A.019, the bonds to mature commencing not later than five (5) years from the date or respective dates of the bonds and ending not later than thirty (30) years therefrom, to bear interest at a rate or rates not in excess of the statutory maximum rate in effect at the time bonds are sold, to be payable from general (ad valorem) taxes (except to the extent pledged revenues and other moneys are available therefor), and to be issued and sold at par, or below or above par, and otherwise in such manner, upon such terms and conditions, and with such other detail as the Board may determine, including at its option but not necessarily limited to provisions for the redemption of bonds prior to maturity without or with the payment of a premium?

(the "Proposal"); and
The above Proposal was approved by the Debt Management Commission of Washoe County, Nevada.

The Board has determined that the Bonds to be issued for the purpose of financing the acquisition, construction, improvement and equipment of building projects, including, but not limited to facilities, structures, fixtures, furniture and equipment for a building for the Medical Examiner, and all appurtenances and incidentals necessary, useful or desirable for any such facilities as set forth in NRS 244A.019 (as further described in the above Proposal) will be additionally secured by a pledge of the "Pledged Revenues," which include the pledge of 15% of all income and revenue derived by and distributed to the County pursuant to NRS 360.698. The Board has, in addition, determined, based upon a revenue study, that the Pledged Revenues will at least equal the amount required in each year for the payment of the interest on and the principal of the Bonds.

Based upon this determination, the Board intends to incur this general obligation as set forth above without an election as provided in NRS 350.020(3), unless within ninety (90) days after the publication of this notice a petition requesting an election is presented to the Board signed by not fewer than five percent (5%) of the registered voters of the County. The number of registered voters is to be determined as of the close of registration for the last preceding general election.

At a meeting or meetings of the Board, the Board shall proceed to adopt an ordinance or ordinances authorizing the issuance of the Bonds which shall be effective not earlier than ninety (90) days after the publication of this notice. Such ordinance or ordinances authorizing the issuance of the Bonds will be effective unless prior to 5:00 p.m. on March 12, 2015, a petition is presented to the Board asking for an election upon the question of whether or not the proposed general obligations shall be incurred. The petition for an election herein referred to may be presented to the Board at any time prior to the expiration of ninety (90) days after the publication of this notice. In the event such petition is presented, no such ordinance or ordinances shall be effective except pursuant to an election called and held for such purpose and carried by a majority of the votes cast. In the event no such petition is presented, the Bonds will be authorized as described above. The ordinance or ordinances authorizing the Bonds will, in addition, contain provisions for additionally securing the payment of the general obligations by pledging the Pledged Revenues designated above and in the Proposal to the payment of the Bonds.

The authority to issue the Bonds if conferred at an election or if conferred by the fact no petition is presented to the Board requesting such an election within ninety (90) days of the date of publication hereof shall be deemed to be a continuing authority and the Board shall be authorized to sell the Bonds at such time or times and upon such terms and conditions as it deems proper in accordance with the provisions of the Proposal and the laws of the State of Nevada.
All persons interested are hereby advised that further information regarding the project to be financed by the Bonds, the Bonds and the Pledged Revenues, and all proceedings in the premises, are on file in the office of the County Clerk, 1001 East Ninth Street, Building A, Reno, Nevada, and can be seen and examined by the interested persons during the regular office hours of the Clerk.

The determination by the Board that the Pledged Revenues will at least equal the amount required in each year for the payment of interest and principal on the Bonds becomes conclusive on the last day for filing the petition, i.e., on March 12, 2015 at 5:00 p.m.

BY ORDER of the Board of County Commissioners of Washoe County, Nevada.

DATED this December 9, 2014.

PUBLICATION DATE: December 12, 2014

/s/ Nancy Parent
County Clerk

(End of Form of Notice)
Section 4    The County Clerk is authorized and directed to publish once, at least 10 days before the date of the public hearing described in the following notice, in a newspaper of general circulation in the County a notice of public hearing, at least as large as 5 inches high by 4 inches wide, in substantially the following form:
NOTICE OF PUBLIC HEARING ON THE INTENT OF THE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS OF WASHOE COUNTY, NEVADA, TO ISSUE GENERAL OBLIGATION (LIMITED TAX) BUILDING BONDS (ADDITIONALLY SECURED BY PLEDGED REVENUES).

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that the Board of County Commissioners (the "Board") of Washoe County (the "County"), Nevada, by a resolution, passed, adopted, signed and approved on December 9, 2014, and designated in Section 1 thereof by the short title "Resolution of Intent to Issue 2015 Building Bonds" has proposed the issuance of the County's General Obligation (Limited Tax) Building Bonds (Additionally Secured by Pledged Revenues) (the "Bonds") designated below, as follows:

GENERAL OBLIGATION BUILDING BONDS
ADDITIONALLY SECURED BY PLEDGED REVENUES PROPOSAL:

Shall the Board of County Commissioners of Washoe County in the State of Nevada, be authorized to incur a general obligation indebtedness on behalf of the County by the issuance at one time, or from time to time, of the County's general obligation (limited tax) building bonds, in one series or more, in the aggregate principal amount of not exceeding $12,000,000 for the purpose of financing, wholly or in part, the acquisition, construction, improvement and equipment of building projects as defined in NRS 244A.019, the bonds to mature commencing not later than five (5) years from the date or respective dates of the bonds and ending not later than thirty (30) years therefrom, to bear interest at a rate or rates not in excess of the statutory maximum rate in effect at the time bonds are sold, to be payable from general (ad valorem) taxes (except to the extent pledged revenues and other moneys are available therefor), and to be issued and sold at par, or below or above par, and otherwise in such manner, upon such terms and conditions, and with such other detail as the Board may determine, including at its option but not necessarily limited to provisions for the redemption of bonds prior to maturity without or with the payment of a premium?

(the "Proposal"); and
The above Proposal was previously approved by the Debt Management Commission of Washoe County, Nevada.

The County has determined that the Bonds to be issued for the purpose of financing the acquisition, construction, improvement and equipment of building projects, including, but not limited to facilities, structures, fixtures, furniture and equipment for a building for the Medical Examiner, and all appurtenances and incidentals necessary, useful or desirable for any such facilities as set forth in NRS 244A.019 (as further described in the above Proposal) will be additionally secured by a pledge of the "Pledged Revenues," which include the pledge of 15% of all income and revenue derived by and distributed to the County pursuant to NRS 360.698. The Board has, in addition, determined, based upon a revenue study, that the Pledged Revenues will at least equal the amount required in each year for the payment of the interest on and the principal of the Bonds.

All persons interested are hereby advised that the Board will hold a public hearing on the Proposal on January 13, 2015, at 6:00 p.m., at the Washoe County Administration Complex, 1001 East Ninth Street, Reno, Nevada. All persons are invited to attend and to be heard regarding the Proposal. Further information regarding the Proposal, the Project to be financed by the Bonds, the Bonds and the Pledged Revenues, and all proceedings, are on file in the Office of the County Clerk, 1001 East Ninth Street, Building A, Reno, Nevada, and can be seen and examined by the interested persons during the regular office hours of the County.

BY ORDER of the Board of County Commissioners, Washoe County, Nevada.

DATED this December 9, 2014.

PUBLICATION DATE: December 12, 2014.

/s/ Nancy Parent
County Clerk, Washoe County, Nevada

(End of Form of Notice of Public Hearing)
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Section 5. A public hearing on the Bonds is hereby ordered to be held before the Board at the time, date and place specified in the notice set forth in Section 4 hereof, or as otherwise specified by the Comptroller of the County.

Section 6. The Bonds, in the event no petition is filed during the period allowed by NRS 350.020(3), shall be authorized by an ordinance or ordinances to be effective after the expiration of the above specified period of publication.

Section 7. The authority to issue the Bonds designated in the Proposal set forth in the notice shall be deemed and considered a continuing authority to issue and deliver the Bonds designated in such Proposal at one time or from time to time, in one series or in more than one series, all as ordered by the Board. Neither the partial exercise of the authority so conferred nor the lapse of time shall be considered as exhausting or limiting the full authority so conferred.

Section 8. The officers of the Board be, and they hereby are, authorized and directed to take all action necessary or appropriate to effectuate the provisions of this resolution.

Section 9. All resolutions, or parts thereof, in conflict with the provisions of this resolution, are hereby repealed to the extent only of such inconsistency. This repealer shall not be constructed to revive any resolution, or part thereof, heretofore repealed.

Section 10. If any section, paragraph, clause or other provision of this resolution shall for any reason be held to be invalid or unenforceable, the invalidity or unenforceability of such section, paragraph, clause or other provision shall not affect any of the remaining provisions of this resolution.
Section 11. This resolution shall become effective and be in force immediately upon its adoption.

PASSED AND APPROVED this December 9, 2014.

(SEAL)

[Signature]
Chairman
Board of County Commissioners

[Signature]
County Clerk

Attest:
STATE OF NEVADA
COUNTY OF WASHOE

I am the duly chosen, qualified and acting Clerk of Washoe County (the "County"),
Nevada (the "County"), do hereby certify:

1. The foregoing pages constitute a true, correct and compared copy of a
resolution of the Board of County Commissioners (the "Board") adopted at a regular meeting of the
Board held on December 9, 2014, and the original of such resolution has been approved and
authenticated by the signature of the Chairman of the Board and myself as Clerk, and has been
recorded in the minute book of the Board kept for that purpose in my office, which record has been
duly signed by such officers and properly sealed.

2. The members of the Board voted on the resolution as follows:

Those Voting Aye: Marsha Berkbilger
                  David Humke
                  Kitty Jung
                  Vaughn Hartung
                  Bonnie Weber

Those Voting Nay: Berkbilger Jung
                 Hartung Weber

Those Absent: David Humke

3. All members of the Board were given due and proper notice of such meeting.

4. Public notice of such meeting was given and such meeting was held and
conducted in full compliance with the provisions of NRS 241.020. A copy of the notice of meeting
and excerpts from the agenda for the meeting relating to the Resolution, as posted no later than 9:00
a.m. on the third working day prior to the meeting, on the County's website, on the official website of
the State of Nevada pursuant to NRS 232.2175, and at the following locations:

(i) Washoe County Administration Complex
    1001 East Ninth Street, Building A
    Reno, Nevada
ii) Washoe County Courthouse  
Second Judicial District Court  
75 Court Street  
Reno, Nevada

(iii) Washoe County Central Library  
301 South Center Street  
Reno, Nevada

(iv) Sparks Justice Court  
1675 East Prater Way  
Sparks, Nevada

is attached as Exhibit A.

5. Prior to 9:00 a.m. at least 3 working days before such meeting, such notice was mailed to each person, if any, who has requested notices of meetings of the Board in compliance with NRS 241.020(3)(b) by United States Mail, or if feasible and agreed to by the requestor, by electronic mail.

6. A copy of the Affidavit of Publication of the Notice of Intent is attached as Exhibit B. A copy of the Affidavit of Publication of the Notice of Public Hearing is attached as Exhibit C.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand this December 9, 2019.

[Signature]
County Clerk
EXHIBIT A

(Attach Copy of Notice of Meeting)
EXHIBIT B

(Attach Affidavit of Publication of Notice of Resolution of Intent)
EXHIBIT C

(Attach Affidavit of Publication of Notice of Hearing)
RESOLUTION

TO AUGMENT THE BUDGET OF THE WASHOE COUNTY WATER RESOURCES ENTERPRISE FUND

WHEREAS, Washoe County owns and operates the South Truckee Meadows Water Reclamation Facility (STMWRF), which provides sewer treatment to approximately 13,000 homes and businesses within southern Washoe County, including portions of the City of Reno; and

WHEREAS, new facilities need to be constructed to treat all the sludge presently generated at STMWRF, plus additional capacity to accommodate a 20-year growth forecast, and

WHEREAS, the Water Resources five year Capital Improvement Program (CIP) approved by the Board of County Commissioners includes $8,757,000 for the solids management facility project ($4,507,000 in FY15 and $4,250,000 in FY16); and

WHEREAS, the County’s fiscal year 2015 budget agrees with the approved CIP, but only reflects the first year of expected financial outlay ($4,507,000); and

WHEREAS, NRS 354.626 requires budget appropriations to be available for total project costs to enter into a contract; and

WHEREAS, the awarded bid amount of $12,830,000 exceeds CIP estimates and budget appropriations in fiscal year 2015; and

WHEREAS, rate revenue and developer connection fee cash currently exist and have been reserved for the purpose of funding the solids management facility project; and

WHEREAS, remaining sewer operating and connection fee cash balances subsequent to completing the solids management facility project will be sufficient for future operating and known development-related infrastructure needs; and

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, by the Board of Commissioners of the County of Washoe, State of Nevada:

Section 1. That the budget of the Washoe County Water Resources Enterprise Fund be adjusted as follows:

**Water Resources Enterprise Fund**

**Increase in User Rate Funded Capital Budget**

| WR495112-781080 | Construction Contracts | $4,012,120 |

**Increase in Developer Connection Fee Funded Capital Budget**

| 668600-781080 | Construction Contracts | $4,310,880 |
Section 2. This Resolution shall be effective on passage and approval.

Section 3. The County Clerk is hereby directed to distribute copies of the Resolution to the Comptroller's Office.

Adopted this ______ day of ______, 2014.

[Signature]

Chairman,
Washoe County Commission

[Seal]

[Signature]

County Clerk
RESOLUTION
ADOPTING THE AMENDMENT TO THE SPANISH SPRINGS AREA PLAN
(MPA14-004, PYRAMID / LA POSADA COMMERCIAL), A PART OF THE WASHOE COUNTY
MASTER PLAN

WHEREAS,

A. Pyramid Urban Achievers applied to the Washoe County Planning Commission for amendments to the Spanish Springs Area Plan to, (1) eliminate the limitation [found in Policy SS.17.2.c] of the Spanish Springs Area Plan for the allowed amount of commercial land use acreage and; (2) change the Master Plan Category on two parcels from Suburban Residential (SR) to Commercial (C) (APNs: 534-091-06 and 534-091-07); and make the appropriate changes on all Spanish Springs Area Plan maps related thereto as set forth in Exhibits A and B attached hereto;

B. On November 13, 2014, the Washoe County Planning Commission held a duly noticed public hearing, determined that it had given reasoned consideration to the information it had received from staff, the applicant and from public comment regarding the proposed Master Plan amendment, approved the request for adoption; and, in connection therewith, made the following findings as required by the Spanish Springs Area Plan, and Washoe County Code 110.812.15:

1. The amendment will further implement and preserve the Vision and Character Statement.
2. The amendment conforms to all applicable policies of the Spanish Springs Area Plan and the Washoe County Master Plan.
3. The amendment will not conflict with the public’s health, safety or welfare.
4. A feasibility study has been conducted, commissioned and paid for by the applicant, relative to municipal water, sewer and storm water that clearly identifies the improvements likely to be required to support the intensification, and those improvements have been determined to be in substantial compliance with all applicable existing facilities and resource plans for Spanish Springs by the Department of Water Resources. The Department of Water Resources will establish and maintain the standards and methodologies for these feasibility studies.
5. A traffic analysis has been conducted that clearly identifies the impact to the adopted level of service within the [unincorporated] Spanish Springs Hydrographic Basin and the improvements likely to be required to
maintain/achieve the adopted level of service. This finding may be waived by the Department of Public Works for projects that are determined to have minimal impacts. The Department of Public Works may request any information it deems necessary to make this determination.

6. A market analysis has been conducted that clearly establishes a community serving trade area, provides convincing evidence of a need to increase the inventory of community-serving commercial land use opportunities, and demonstrates no negative impact on the qualitative jobs/housing balance in the Spanish Springs planning area (i.e. the relationship between anticipated employment types/wages and housing costs).

7. The proposed amendment is in substantial compliance with the policies and action programs of the Master Plan.

8. The proposed amendment will not result in land uses which are incompatible with (existing or planned) adjacent land uses, and will not adversely impact the public health, safety or welfare.

9. The proposed amendment identifies and responds to changed conditions or further studies that have occurred since the plan was adopted by the Board of County Commissioners, and the requested amendment represents a more desirable utilization of land.

10. There are or are planned to be adequate transportation, recreation, utility and other facilities to accommodate the uses and densities permitted by the proposed amendment.

11. The proposed amendment promotes the desired pattern for the orderly physical growth of the County and guides the development of the County based on the projected population growth with the least amount of natural resource impairment and the efficient expenditure of funds for public services.

12. The proposed amendment will not affect the location, purpose and mission of the military installation.

C. The adopted master plan amendments were referred to this Board pursuant to NRS 278.220 and WCC 110.820.30 to affirm, reverse, or modify the findings of the Planning Commission and adopt such parts thereof as may practicably be applied to the development of the county;

D. This Board held a duly noticed public hearing on December 9, 2014 to consider adopting the Master Plan Amendments and desires to adopt them as specified in Attachment A to this Resolution; and

E. Under NRS 278.0282, before this adoption can become effective, this Board must submit the proposed amendment to the Regional Planning Commission and receive a final
determination that the proposed amendment conforms to the Truckee Meadows Regional Plan; and

F. The Truckee Meadows Regional Planning Commission found the Master Plan Amendment request to be in conformance with the Truckee Meadows Regional Plan on January 28, 2015;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED,

1. That this Board of County Commissioners affirms the findings of the Planning Commission and hereby ADOPTS the amendments to the Spanish Springs Area Plan MPA 14-004 (Pyramid / La Posada Commercial), as provided in the maps, and documents attached hereto.

2. This Resolution shall forthwith be filed with the Regional Planning Commission as an application for processing and a determination under NRS 278.0282 and the rules of the Regional Planning Governing Board. All decisions to seek reconsideration, appeal or judicial review must be referred to this Board for a decision. If a final determination is received from the Regional Planning Commission or Regional Planning Governing Board or a court of competent jurisdiction that these Master Plan Amendments conform to the Truckee Meadows Regional Plan, this Resolution shall, without further review or action by the Board, be executed by the Board Chairman and immediately filed with the County Clerk under NRS 278.0235 as a final action and the Director of the Planning and Development Division shall take all actions necessary to implement it. Otherwise, this Resolution shall have no effect.

ADOPTED on December 9, 2014, to be effective only as stated above.

WASHOE COUNTY BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS

By: ________________________
Chairman

ATTEST:

Nancy Parent, County Clerk
EXHIBIT B
FOR MPA14-004 BCC RESOLUTION
PROPOSED SPANISH SPRINGS AREA PLAN TEXT REVISION

Spanish Springs Area Plan policy SS.17.2 (C):

For industrial land use intensifications, the overall percentage of industrial regulatory zone acreage will not exceed 9.86 percent of the Suburban Character Management Area.
January 29, 2015

Kimberly H. Robinson
Executive Director of Regional Planning, and
Clerk of the Regional Planning Commission
1105 Terminal Way, Suite 316
Reno, Nevada 89502

Dear Ms. Robinson:

On January 28, 2015, the Regional Planning Commission (RPC) held a public hearing and determined that the following matter conforms with the comprehensive Regional Plan:

Regional Plan Conformance Review – Washoe County Master Plan amendment, Pyramid/La Posada Commercial (CR14-015) - a master plan amendment changing the Spanish Springs Area Plan to (1) eliminate the limitation found in Policy SS.17.2.2 of the Spanish Springs Area Plan for the allowed amount of commercial land use acreage and; (2) change the Master Plan Category on two parcels (APNs 534-091-06 and 534-091-07) from Suburban Residential (SR) to Commercial (C) and make the appropriate changes on all Spanish Springs Area Plan maps and applicable text related thereto. The subject property is located at the southeast corner of Pyramid Highway and La Posada Drive (APNs 534-091-06 and 534-091-07).

This letter has been filed with the Clerk of the Regional Planning Commission on this date and constitutes notice of final action under NRS 278.0235, “Actions against Agency: Commencement,” unless a petition for review is timely filed by a person seeking review of the RPC action or determination pursuant to section I.3 of the Regional Planning Governing Board’s Regulations on Procedure.

Please do not hesitate to contact me at 775-321-8397 if you have any questions on this matter.

Sincerely,

Lauren Barrera
Regional Planner I

cc: File CR14-015
    Fred Turner, City of Reno
Armando Ornelas, City of Sparks
Bill Whitney, Washoe County
Beverly Beatty-Bonadom, City of Reno
Debra Goodwin, RTC
Roger Pelham, Washoe County
WHEREAS,

A. Pyramid Urban Achievers applied to the Washoe County Planning Commission to amend the regulatory zone on two parcels of land (APN: 534-091-06 & 07) located in the Spanish Springs Area Plan from Parks and Recreation (PR) to Neighborhood Commercial (NC) as set forth in Exhibit A attached hereto;

B. On November 13, 2014, the Washoe County Planning Commission held a duly noticed public hearing, determined that it had given reasoned consideration to the information it had received from staff, the applicant and from public comment regarding the proposed Master Plan amendment, approved the request for adoption; and, in connection therewith, made the following findings as required by Washoe County Development Code Section 110.821.15 and the findings as listed and in accordance with the Spanish Springs Area Plan:

1. The proposed amendment is in substantial compliance with the policies and action programs of the Master Plan and the Regulatory Zone Map.

2. The proposed amendment will provide for land uses compatible with (existing or planned) adjacent land uses, and will not adversely impact the public health, safety or welfare.

3. The proposed amendment responds to changed conditions or further studies that have occurred since the plan was adopted by the Board of County Commissioners, and the requested amendment represents a more desirable utilization of land.

4. There are or are planned to be adequate transportation, recreation, utility, and other facilities to accommodate the uses and densities permitted by the proposed amendment.

5. The proposed amendment will not adversely affect the implementation of the policies and action programs of the Washoe County Master Plan.

6. The proposed amendment will promote the desired pattern for the orderly physical growth of the County and guides development of the County based on the projected population growth with the least amount of natural resource impairment and the efficient expenditure of funds for public services.

7. The proposed amendment will not affect the location, purpose and mission of the military installation.

8. A feasibility study has been conducted, commissioned and paid for by the applicant, relative to municipal water, sewer and storm water that clearly identifies the improvements likely to be required to support the intensification, and those
improvements have been determined to be in substantial compliance with all applicable existing facilities and resource plans for Spanish Springs by the Department of Water Resources. The Department of Water Resources will establish and maintain the standards and methodologies for these feasibility studies.

9. A traffic analysis has been conducted that clearly identifies the impact to the adopted level of service within the [unincorporated] Spanish Springs Hydrographic Basin and the improvements likely to be required to maintain/achieve the adopted level of service. This finding may be waived by the Department of Public Works for projects that are determined to have minimal impacts. The Department of Public Works may request any information it deems necessary to make this determination.

10. For proposals to establish or intensify commercial land uses, a market analysis has been conducted that clearly establishes a community serving trade area, provides convincing evidence of a need to increase the inventory of community-serving commercial land use opportunities, and demonstrates no negative impact on the qualitative jobs/housing balance in the Spanish Springs planning area (i.e. the relationship between anticipated employment types/wages and housing costs).

C. The amendments to the Spanish Springs Area Plan Regulatory Zone Map were referred to this Board pursuant to WCC 110.821.30 to adopt, adopt with modification or deny the findings of the Planning Commission and adopt such parts thereof as may practicably be applied to the development of the county;

D. This Board held a duly noticed public hearing on December 9, 2014 to consider adopting the Regulatory Zone Amendment and desires to adopt them as specified in Exhibit A to this Resolution; and

E. This action will become effective after adoption of Master Plan Amendment Case Number MPA14-004;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED,

1. That this Board of County Commissioners affirms the findings of the Planning Commission and hereby ADOPTS the amendments to the Spanish Springs Area Plan Regulatory Zone Map (Regulatory Zone Amendment Case Number RZA14-007), as provided in the map, and documents attached hereto.

ADOPTED on December 9, 2014, to be effective only as stated above.

WASHOE COUNTY BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS

By: [Signature]
Chairman

ATTEST: [Signature]
Nancy Parent, County Clerk
RESOLUTION
ADOPTING THE AMENDMENT TO THE SPANISH SPRINGS REGULATORY ZONE MAP
(RZA14-004 – Autumn Trails)

WHEREAS,

A. Pinnacle Land Holdings, LLC., applied to the Washoe County Planning Commission to amend the regulatory zone on 6 acres of a 47.6 acre parcel of land (APN: 534-420-08) located in the Spanish Springs Area Plan from Low Density Suburban (LDS) to Medium Density Suburban (MDS) as set forth in Exhibit A attached hereto;

B. On November 13, 2014, the Washoe County Planning Commission held a duly noticed public hearing, determined that it had given reasoned consideration to the information it had received from staff, the applicant and from public comment regarding the proposed Regulatory Zone Amendment, approved the request for adoption; and, in connection therewith, made the following findings as required by Washoe County Development Code Section 110.821.15 and the findings as listed and in accordance with the Spanish Springs Area Plan:

1. The proposed amendment is in substantial compliance with the policies and action programs of the Master Plan and the Regulatory Zone Map.

2. The proposed amendment will provide for land uses compatible with (existing or planned) adjacent land uses, and will not adversely impact the public health, safety or welfare.

3. The proposed amendment responds to changed conditions or further studies that have occurred since the plan was adopted by the Board of County Commissioners, and the requested amendment represents a more desirable utilization of land.

4. There are or are planned to be adequate transportation, recreation, utility, and other facilities to accommodate the uses and densities permitted by the proposed amendment.

5. The proposed amendment will not adversely affect the implementation of the policies and action programs of the Washoe County Master Plan.

6. The proposed amendment will promote the desired pattern for the orderly physical growth of the County and guides development of the County based on the projected population growth with the least amount of natural resource impairment and the efficient expenditure of funds for public services.

7. The proposed amendment will not affect the location, purpose and mission of a military installation.
C. The amendment to the Spanish Springs Area Plan Regulatory Zone Map was referred to this Board pursuant to WCC 110.821.30 to adopt, adopt with modification or deny the findings of the Planning Commission and adopt such parts thereof as may practicably be applied to the development of the county;

D. This Board held a duly noticed public hearing on December 9, 2014 to consider adopting the Regulatory Zone Amendment and desires to adopt them as specified in Exhibit A to this Resolution;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED,

1. That this Board of County Commissioners affirms the findings of the Planning Commission and hereby ADOPTS the amendment to the Spanish Springs Area Plan Regulatory Zone Map (Regulatory Zone Amendment Case Number RZA14-004), as provided in the map, and documents attached hereto.

ADOPTED on December 9, 2014, to be effective only as stated above.

WASHOE COUNTY BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS

By: __________________________
   Chairman

ATTEST:

______________________________
Nancy Parent, County Clerk