The Board met in regular session in the Commission Chambers of the Washoe County Administration Complex, 1001 East Ninth Street, Reno, Nevada. Following the Pledge of Allegiance to the flag of our Country, the Clerk called the roll and the Board conducted the following business:

**1:10 p.m.** Commissioner Galloway arrived during the agenda item.

06-649 **AGENDA**

In response to the call for public comment, Sam Dehne voiced his objection to the two-minute time limit for public comment.

In accordance with the Open Meeting Law, on motion by Commissioner Humke, seconded by Commissioner Weber, which motion duly carried with Commissioner Sferrazza absent, Chairman Larkin ordered that the agenda for the June 20, 2006 meeting be approved with the following changes: **Delete** Item 18, Presentation of a proposal from Washoe Legal Services; and Item 19, Recommendation to approve a Grant Program Contract with Restart Inc.

06-650 **WORK CARD PERMIT APPEAL – DAVID JOHNSTON**

Commissioner Humke moved to go into closed session pursuant to NRS 241.033 for the consideration of the work card appeal of David Johnston. Commissioner Weber seconded the motion.

In response to the call for public comment, Sam Dehne objected to the timing of the closed session. He said it should be at the end of the meeting.

On call for the question, the motion passed on a 4-0 vote, with Commissioner Sferrazza absent.
1:20 p.m. The Board convened in closed session in the Caucus Room to hear testimony as to why the work card should or should not be granted. Commissioner Sferrazza was present for the closed session.

The appellant, David Johnston, was present to offer testimony during the closed session. Also present were Kathie Weiler and Maureene Callis, representatives of the Washoe County Sheriff’s Office.

1:50 p.m. At the conclusion of the closed session, the Board returned to the Chambers and reconvened in open session with all Commissioners present.

Commissioner Humke moved to grant the appeal of David Johnston and to grant the home daycare license to his wife, noting Mr. Johnston would be present in the home. Commissioner Sferrazza seconded the motion.

Commissioner Galloway inquired if the maker of the motion had considered whether there should be a condition on the permit that children should not be under the sole care of Mr. Johnston.

Commissioner Humke declined to pose such a condition. He said when a license for a home daycare was granted, it was required that the applicant be present at all times. He said Mr. Johnston's wife was the applicant in this case. He stated it would not be practical to supervise such a condition; therefore, it would not be enforceable. Commissioner Humke acknowledged Mr. Johnston had problems in his past, and the Commission needed to take him at his word that his life was rehabilitated.

Commissioner Galloway said in this case he would support the motion. He noted the Commission had imposed such conditions on permits in the past.

On call for the question, the motion passed on a 5-0 vote.

06-651 INTRODUCTION OF NEW WASHOE COUNTY EMPLOYEES

Chairman Larkin invited approximately 24 new Washoe County employees to come forward and introduce themselves to the Board. The Board members welcomed the new employees.

06-652 PRESENTATION – EXCELLENCE IN PUBLIC SERVICE CERTIFICATES

County Manager Katy Singlaub presented "Excellence in Public Service Certificates" to four Washoe County employees who completed essential employee development courses.
Commissioner Sferrazza said he had been a friend of the Isaeff family for a long time, and he appreciated Doris Jean Isaeff’s contribution to the community. He presented the resolution to Bill and Beth Isaeff.

Mr. Isaeff thanked the Commission for the resolution honoring his mother.

On motion by Commissioner Sferrazza, seconded by Commissioner Humke, which motion duly carried, it was ordered that the following resolution be adopted and Chairman Larkin be authorized to execute the same:

**RESOLUTION**

WHEREAS, The Washoe County community recently suffered the loss of former long-time Reno resident Doris Jean Isaeff; and

WHEREAS, Doris was born in St. Louis, Missouri in 1927 to Louis and Genevieve Kaufman; and

WHEREAS, Doris moved to Reno in 1957 and over the next 12 years worked as a bookkeeper, photographer and cocktail waitress, leaving Reno in 1969; and

WHEREAS, Doris moved back to Reno in 1979 and for the next 26 years she worked at various jobs and volunteered in service to others; and

WHEREAS, Doris was extremely proud of the time she served as a Foster Grandparent at Smithridge and Bernice Martin Mathews Elementary Schools, where she mentored dozens of children; and

WHEREAS, Doris, together with her Mother, Geri, set up a chapter of the National Council of Senior Citizens in Reno/Sparks and lobbied vigorously for elder rights and health care; and

WHEREAS, Doris also served three terms as a commissioner on the board of the Reno Housing Authority and promoted more housing for low-income people of all ages; now, therefore, be it

**RESOLVED,** That the Washoe County Board of Commissioners, on behalf of the citizens of Washoe County, honor the life of Doris Jean Isaeff, reflect upon her serving the Truckee Meadows community, and offer condolences to her family and friends.
06-654  PROCLAMATION – TOUGH ENOUGH TO WEAR PINK DAY – JUNE 24, 2006

Doug Nicholson, Reno Rodeo Foundation, commented Chairman Larkin took on this project to assist the Reno Rodeo Association, the Susan G. Komen Breast Cancer Foundation, and Wrangler Jeans to get the word out about breast cancer. He thanked the Commission for the honor, and he hoped their efforts would raise the awareness of breast cancer and help stamp out the deadly disease.

On motion by Chairman Larkin, seconded by Commissioner Humke, which motion duly carried, it was ordered that the following proclamation be adopted and the Chairman be authorized to execute the same:

PROCLAMATION

WHEREAS, Breast cancer is a serious and debilitating disease that poses a major health problem and threat to the residents of Washoe County; and

WHEREAS, Breast cancer is a major leading cause of death in the United States, with an estimated 211,240 women having been diagnosed with breast cancer last year; and

WHEREAS, Approximately 570 women are diagnosed with breast cancer each day in the United States, many go undiagnosed because of a lack of education and information regarding the disease; and

WHEREAS, Nationwide approximately 40,000 women will die this year from breast cancer; and

WHEREAS, Breast cancer is one of the most costly health issues in the United States; and

WHEREAS, WRANGLER JEANS, The SUSAN G. KOMEN BREAST CANCER FOUNDATION and the RENO RODEO ASSOCIATION have joined together in the TOUGH ENOUGH TO WEAR PINK program to increase awareness of breast cancer and to raise money to fight the disease; and

WHEREAS, The TOUGH ENOUGH TO WEAR PINK program will have a great impact in reducing the incidence of breast cancer and promoting community awareness; now, therefore, be it

PROCLAIMED, By the Washoe County Board of Commissioners that June 24, 2006 is TOUGH ENOUGH TO WEAR PINK DAY in Washoe County and the Washoe County Commission encourages the citizens of Washoe County to be TOUGH ENOUGH TO WEAR PINK while attending the June 24, 2006 performance of the Reno Rodeo, THE WILDEST, RICHEST RODEO IN THE WEST.
2:15 p.m. Chairman Larkin temporarily left the meeting and Vice Chairman Weber assumed the gavel.

06-655 2005 CERTIFICATE OF ACHIEVEMENT FOR EXCELLENCE IN FINANCIAL REPORTING – COMPTROLLER

Vice Chairman Weber presented the certificate to Kathy Garcia, Comptroller. Ms. Garcia introduced staff members from the Comptroller's Office who played a key role in achieving the award.

In response to the call for public comment, Sam Dehne said the certificate should be given to the citizens; and he asked for further information about it.

2:20 p.m. Chairman Larkin resumed the gavel.

On motion by Commissioner Sferrazza, seconded by Commissioner Humke, which motion duly carried, Chairman Larkin ordered that the receipt of the 24th annual Certificate of Achievement for Excellence in Financial Reporting from the Government Finance Officers Association of the United States and Canada for the County's Comprehensive Annual Financial Report for the year ended June 30, 2005 be acknowledged.

County Manager Katy Singlaub clarified the Certificate of Achievement for Excellence in Financial Reporting indicated that the County met the rigorous standards of the Government Finance Officers Association for that certificate.

2:26 p.m. Commissioner Galloway temporarily left the meeting during public comment.

06-656 PUBLIC COMMENT

Katy Singlaub, County Manager, stated the Open Meeting Law did not require a public body to tolerate comments that were willfully disruptive of the meeting by being irrelevant, repetitious, slanderous, offensive, inflammatory, irrational, or amounting to personal attacks. Ms. Singlaub noted Section 8.05 of the Nevada Open Meeting Law manual stated, “The Chair of a public body may, without the vote of the public body, declare a recess to remove a person who is disrupting the meeting.”

Sam Dehne talked about his ownership of the Gooseberry Mine.

Guy Felton commented on the Open Meeting Law and presented a handout on free speech.

Gary Schmidt discussed the Andrew Lane Fire and read from an article concerning an audit of the Reno Fire Department.
Commissioner Galloway returned to the meeting during the following item.

**COMMISSIONERS'/MANAGER'S ANNOUNCEMENTS**

Commissioner Humke called attention to the Reno Rodeo Foundation and their role in building the Kids Kottage Multipurpose facility. He said the facility would provide emergency care, intervention, counseling, education, and recreation for abused and neglected children. He noted the total contribution from the Reno Rodeo Foundation was $1.2-million. He acknowledged the Reno Rodeo Foundation also contributed over $100,000 to local non-profits and higher education students in Nevada. Commissioner Humke commented on Ordinance No. 1289. He said, unknown to him, the approval caused an increased in the burn permit fee. He remarked the impact of the change had not been measured at this time; however, he would keep a close eye on the number of permits issued. He may move to amend the Ordinance if it impacted homeowners maintaining their properties in a fire-safe manner. Commissioner Humke noted Model Dairy celebrated their 100th anniversary of operation on June 14, 2006 and announced they would donate $60,000 to a literacy campaign to benefit the Washoe County School District. Commissioner Humke announced the Economic Development Authority of Western Nevada (EDAWN) would present a breakfast on June 29th at the Atlantis Casino Resort and Spa, and the speaker's topic would be community growth. Commissioner Humke talked about the value of the round-a-bout as a traffic calming device. He encouraged the public to educate themselves about the concept and uses of the round-a-bout.

Commissioner Weber attended the Reno-Stead Water Reclamation Facility grand opening earlier in the day. She noted her attendance at the North Valley's Neighborhood Advisory Board on June 19th, and she thanked people who participated in their neighborhoods. She described a meeting with Greg Krause, Executive Director of the Regional Transportation Commission (RTC) on June 16, 2006. She said they discussed the traffic issues at Lemmon Valley Drive and Military Road. Commissioner Weber confirmed there would be flag persons in the area during high-traffic times to help with the situation. She acknowledged that the rusty vehicles near Highland Ranch Parkway had been removed.

Chairman Larkin discussed a letter he received from the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) dated June 9, 2006. He requested copies be given to the Commissioners and applicable parties. Chairman Larkin requested a full report from the Nevada Department of Transportation (NDOT) related to the Galena Creek Bridge and an update on the sewer line through Pleasant Valley.

Commissioner Galloway commented there would be one reappointment coming before the Board by June 30th for the Incline Village/Crystal Bay Citizen Advisory Board (CAB), and he discussed the one remaining vacancy for that CAB. He remarked dump fees should be discussed with Waste Management, and he asked the County Manager to bring forward additional information.
Commissioner Sferrazza announced the term of Steve Rogers on the Regional Planning Commission would expire at the end of June. He said an appointment would need to be made before their next meeting on July 12, 2006.

In response to Commissioner Humke, County Manager Katy Singlaub confirmed a summary of the Andrew Lane Fire incident would be provided when the retained consultant completed the investigation. She verified the Risk Manager hired the consultant. She stated staff was in the area the following morning and in the days to follow and made assistance available to eligible citizens. Ms. Singlaub confirmed Commissioner Humke asked for this information on two prior occasions. She acknowledged the Truckee Meadows Fire Protection District, the Reno Fire staff, and the Sheriff's Office participated in discussions in the Chambers on multiple occasions at Commissioner Humke's request and at the request of the Commission. She substantiated the record of those discussions was on file and available to citizens.

Ms. Singlaub commented on a series of productive meetings in Washington D.C. with Senator Harry Reid and representatives of Senator John Ensign and Congressman Jim Gibbons. She noted wildfire risk reduction, the flood project, Incline Lakes project, water issues and concerns were topics of discussion. Ms. Singlaub said she informed Chairman Larkin today that the County received word from the Army Corps of Engineers (Corps) that they were unwilling to provide a reimbursement for the County's purchase of treatment capacity from the Sparks Truckee Meadows Water Reclamation facility in order to support the septic to sewer conversion in Spanish Springs. She said the County was opposed to that action and found nothing in law that would prohibit their reimbursement. She stated Senator Reid was most disturbed to hear about that and would be making it a high priority with the Corps. She stressed the County would resolve the situation and obtain the reimbursement.

06-658 MINUTES

On motion by Commissioner Humke, seconded by Commissioner Weber, which motion duly carried, Chairman Larkin ordered that the minutes of the regular meeting of April 11, 2006, the special meeting of April 17, 2006, and the regular meeting of April 18, 2006 be approved.

06-659 STATUS REPORT – TRUCKEE RIVER FLOOD MANAGEMENT PROJECT

In response to the call for public comment, Sam Dehne stated this item was too involved to be within the consent agenda.

Upon recommendation of Naomi Duerr, Truckee River Flood Management Project Director, on motion by Commissioner Humke, seconded by Commissioner Weber, which motion duly carried, Chairman Larkin ordered that the May Status Report on the Truckee River Flood Management Project be accepted.
06-660  REAPPOINTMENTS – RESIGNATION – BOARD OF 
EQUALIZATION – MANAGER 

In response to the call for public comment, Gary Schmidt supported the 
reappointment of John Krolick to the Board of Equalization (BOE). He said Thomas 
Koziol resigned from the BOE because he was a candidate for Assessor. 

Commissioner Weber stated Steven Sparks lead the BOE in a good 
direction, and she supported his reappointment. 

On motion by Commissioner Humke, seconded by Commissioner Weber, 
which motion duly carried, Chairman Larkin ordered that Mr. Sparks and Mr. Krolick be 
reappointed to the BOE with terms ending June 30, 2010. It was further ordered that the 
resignation of Mr. Koziol from the BOE, effective immediately, be accepted.

06-661  REAPPOINTMENT – LIBRARY BOARD OF TRUSTEES – 
LIBRARY 

On motion by Commissioner Humke, seconded by Commissioner Weber, 
which motion duly carried, Chairman Larkin ordered that Paul Davis be reappointed to 
the Library Board of Trustees with a term from July 1, 2006 through June 30, 2010.

06-662  REAPPOINTMENTS – EAST WASHOE VALLEY CITIZEN 
ADVISORY BOARD 

On motion by Commissioner Humke, seconded by Commissioner Weber, 
which motion duly carried, Chairman Larkin ordered that Gary Houk and Nancy Samon 
be reappointed as At-Large members to the East Washoe Valley Citizen Advisory Board 
(CAB) with terms from July 1, 2006 through June 30, 2008.

06-663  RESIGNATIONS – APPOINTMENTS – GALENA-STEAMBOAT 
CITIZEN ADVISORY BOARD 

On motion by Commissioner Humke, seconded by Commissioner Weber, 
which motion duly carried, Chairman Larkin ordered that the resignations of Floyd 
Rowley and Nancy Blaich be accepted. It was further ordered that the area of 
representation of current member Dennis Wilson be changed from At-Large member for 
Pleasant Valley to Callahan Ranch with the term ending June 30, 2007. It was also 
ordered that Dena Wiggins be appointed as Galena Forest/Southwest Pines member, 
Ginger Pierce be appointed as Pleasant Valley member, and Michael Katz be appointed 
as an At-Large member to the Galena-Steambot Citizen Advisory Board (CAB) with 
terms from July 1, 2006 through June 30, 2008.
06-664  **RESIGNATION – REAPPOINTMENTS – APPOINTMENT – SOUTHWEST TRUCKEE MEADOWS CITIZEN ADVISORY BOARD**

On motion by Commissioner Humke, seconded by Commissioner Weber, which motion duly carried, Chairman Larkin ordered that the resignation of Mary Dugan be accepted. It was further ordered that Eric Scheetz be reappointed as a Mt. Rose member, Robert Wideman be reappointed as a South Hills member, and Patricia Phillips be appointed as a Lakeside/Holcomb member to the Southwest Truckee Meadows Citizen Advisory Board (CAB) with terms from July 1, 2006 through June 30, 2008.

06-665  **APPOINTMENT – SPANISH SPRINGS CITIZEN ADVISORY BOARD**

On motion by Commissioner Humke, seconded by Commissioner Weber, which motion duly carried, Chairman Larkin ordered that Gregory Prough be appointed as an At-Large member to the Spanish Springs Citizen Advisory Board (CAB) with a term from June 20, 2006 through June 30, 2007.

06-666  **CONTRACT – THE MEDIA CENTER – COMMUNITY RELATIONS**

In response to Commissioner Weber, Kathy Carter, Community Relations Director, clarified The Media Center was the business name for Sierra Nevada Community Access Television (SNCAT). Kim Anhalt, Interim Executive Director for SNCAT, went over the replay hours for the Commission meetings. She said the schedule was available at www.SNCAT.org.

In response to the call for public comment, Sam Dehne stated the Airport Authority meetings should be televised. He said the workers at SNCAT should get a pay raise after they learn how to film the citizens appropriately.

Commissioner Humke asked if the County employed the SNCAT staff. County Manager Katy Singlaub said they were not County employees.

Upon recommendation of Ms. Carter, through Ms. Singlaub, on motion by Commissioner Humke, seconded by Commissioner Weber, which motion duly carried, it was ordered that the contract between Washoe County and The Media Center, to support production and broadcasting service for County programming for fiscal year 2006/07 in the amount of $120,000 and for fiscal year 2007/08 in the amount of $123,600, be approved.
Upon recommendation of Marietta Bobba, Senior Services Director, through John Berkich, Assistant County Manager, on motion by Commissioner Humke, seconded by Commissioner Weber, which motion duly carried, it was ordered that an interlocal contract between Washoe County and the Incline Village General Improvement District (IVGID), concerning transportation services for seniors and people with disabilities in the Incline Village area as provided by a grant from the Regional Transportation Commission (RTC) in the amount of $2,000 for fiscal year 2005/06, be retroactively approved.

Commissioner Galloway acknowledged the fundraisers held by the Sheriff's Office, and he talked about the programs the monies would benefit.

In response to the call for public comment, Gary Schmidt requested items 12H(1) through 12H(4) be pulled from the consent agenda to allow him to comment on each item.

Commissioner Sferrazza indicated citizens needed to state a specific reason why an item should be pulled, and the Board would evaluate that. He did not support Mr. Schmidt's request.

Upon recommendation of James Lopey, Assistant Sheriff, through Dennis Balaam, Sheriff, on motion by Commissioner Humke, seconded by Commissioner Weber, which motion duly carried, Chairman Larkin ordered that the following donations and proceeds from fundraisers from fiscal year 2005/06 be accepted with the gratitude of the Board. It was further ordered that the Budget Division be directed to make the following budget adjustments:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Increase Revenues</th>
<th>Increase Expenditures</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>20064-484000 (Reserve Deputy Sheriffs-Donation)</td>
<td>20064-710300 (Reserve Deputy Sheriffs-Operation Supplies)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$125</td>
<td>$125</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20033-484000 (K-9 Program-Donation)</td>
<td>20033-710509 (K-9 Program-Seminars Training)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$1,580</td>
<td>$1,580</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20060-484000 (RAVEN-Donation)</td>
<td>20060-710205 (RAVEN-Repairs &amp; Maintenance)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$29,000</td>
<td>$29,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20236-484000 (CERT-Donation)</td>
<td>20236-710509 (CERT-Seminars Training)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$3,000</td>
<td>$3,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Upon recommendation of Valerie Gilmore, Fiscal Compliance Officer, and Michael Burdett, Buyer, through Dennis Balaam, Sheriff, on motion by Commissioner Humke, seconded by Commissioner Weber, which motion duly carried, Chairman Larkin ordered that a grant award from the United States Department of Justice, Bureau of Justice Assistance, in the amount of $23,840.50 (no County match) be accepted. It was further ordered that the Budget Division be directed to make the following budget adjustments:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Increase Revenues</th>
<th>Increase Expenditures</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10427-431185 [Bulletproof Vest Program-Federal Grants]</td>
<td>$23,840.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10427-710577 [Bulletproof Vest Program-Uniforms]</td>
<td>$23,840.50</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Upon recommendation of Darin Balaam, Lieutenant, through Dennis Balaam, Sheriff, on motion by Commissioner Humke, seconded by Commissioner Weber, which motion duly carried, it was ordered that the Washoe County School Police Department Interlocal Agreement between Washoe County, on behalf of the Washoe County Sheriff's Office (WCSO) and the Washoe County School Police Department (WCSPD), concerning the establishment of a Multi-Jurisdictional SWAT Team, be approved and Chairman Larkin be authorized to execute the same.

In response to Chairman Larkin, County Manager Katy Singlaub explained there was a time when the City of Reno elected to withdraw from the Unified Police Academy. She said they wanted to address curriculum requirements separately. She confirmed the law enforcement agencies had resolved their differences and agreed to a consolidated Northern Nevada Law Enforcement Academy.

Upon recommendation of James Lopey, Assistant Sheriff, through Dennis Balaam, Sheriff, on motion by Commissioner Humke, seconded by Commissioner Weber, which motion duly carried, it was ordered that the Northern Nevada Law Enforcement Academy Interlocal Agreement between Washoe County, the Board of Regents of the Nevada System of Higher Education, the Cities of Reno and Sparks, and the Truckee Meadows Community College, be approved and Chairman Larkin be authorized to execute the same.
CORRECTION OF FACTUAL ERRORS - ASSESSOR

Upon recommendation of Ivy Diezel, Department Systems Support Analyst, on motion by Commissioner Humke, seconded by Commissioner Weber, which motion duly carried, it was ordered that the following Roll Change Requests correcting factual errors and the Order directing the Treasurer to correct the error be approved and Chairman Larkin be authorized to execute the same:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Property Owner</th>
<th>Parcel No.</th>
<th>Amount</th>
<th>Roll</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Charles R. &amp; Lynn M. Hilton TR</td>
<td>049-351-34</td>
<td>-$174.24</td>
<td>2005 Secured</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Andelin Investments LLC</td>
<td>035-572-04</td>
<td>-$173.01</td>
<td>2005 Secured</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leland R. Schlenker ETAL</td>
<td>050-384-12</td>
<td>-$140.56</td>
<td>2005 Secured</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leland R. Schlenker ETAL</td>
<td>050-384-12</td>
<td>-$150.91</td>
<td>2004 Secured</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leland R. Schlenker ETAL</td>
<td>050-384-12</td>
<td>-$149.44</td>
<td>2003 Secured</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paul E. &amp; Joan Scherer TR</td>
<td>122-201-23</td>
<td>$138.93</td>
<td>2005 Secured</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paul E. &amp; Joan Scherer TR</td>
<td>122-201-23</td>
<td>$134.91</td>
<td>2004 Secured</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paul E. &amp; Joan Scherer TR</td>
<td>122-201-23</td>
<td>$135.16</td>
<td>2003 Secured</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18570 Northridge Ave. Real Est. Land Trust</td>
<td>081-210-18</td>
<td>$113.58</td>
<td>2005 Secured</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RPD Development Co. LLC</td>
<td>510-120-34</td>
<td>$112.50</td>
<td>2005 Secured</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RPD Development Co. LLC</td>
<td>510-120-32</td>
<td>$107.37</td>
<td>2005 Secured</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RPD Development Co. LLC</td>
<td>510-120-28</td>
<td>$107.37</td>
<td>2005 Secured</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RPD Development Co. LLC</td>
<td>510-120-27</td>
<td>$105.45</td>
<td>2005 Secured</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RPD Development Co. LLC</td>
<td>510-120-33</td>
<td>$100.60</td>
<td>2005 Secured</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RPD Development Co. LLC</td>
<td>510-120-31</td>
<td>$100.60</td>
<td>2005 Secured</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RPD Development Co. LLC</td>
<td>510-120-29</td>
<td>$100.60</td>
<td>2005 Secured</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RPD Development Co. LLC</td>
<td>510-120-30</td>
<td>$89.37</td>
<td>2005 Secured</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paisleys Plumberry Inc.</td>
<td>012-141-20</td>
<td>$84.16</td>
<td>2005 Secured</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Knox Enterprises LLC</td>
<td>014-191-05</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>2005 Secured</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Donald W. &amp; Jeri L. Starkey</td>
<td>013-041-25</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>2005 Secured</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CASH DONATIONS – WILBUR MAY FOUNDATION – PARKS

County Manager Katy Singlaub acknowledged the generous support of the Wilbur May Foundation. She noted the total support provided to Washoe County since 1983 was over $12-million.

Commissioner Galloway pointed out the Wilbur May Foundation supported the center, the museum, and various programs. He stated $75,000 of the funds would be put toward programs.

Upon recommendation of Rosemarie Entsminger, Fiscal Compliance Officer, through Doug Doolittle, Regional Parks and Open Space Director, on motion by Commissioner Galloway, seconded by Commissioner Weber, which motion duly carried, Chairman Larkin ordered that cash donations from the Wilbur May Foundation in the total amount of $323,457 be accepted with the gratitude of the Board. It was noted...
$250,000 would be used for operational support of the Wilbur D. May Center for fiscal year 2006/07 and $73,457 would be used for programming and facilities improvements.

06-674 APPEARANCE – EMILIO PARGA – THE SOLACE TREE PROGRAM

Emilio Parga, Founder and Executive Director of the Solace Tree Program, gave an overview of the organization. He explained the mission of the program was to provide peer support groups for grieving children, teens, and families and to help them share, normalize, and explore feelings associated with loss due to death. He noted all services were free.

Tammie Anstedt, Program Director, spoke about her work with children who were involved in the Solace Tree Program.

Commissioner Galloway asked how many children needed the extra help the program offered.

Mr. Parga replied the Solace Tree was a resource, and it was available to those children and families that needed it. He said the program endeavored to bring children together to help them through their grief.

Commissioner Galloway inquired if Mr. Parga had applied to the Washoe County Human Services Consortium for funding. Mr. Parga said he had not, and Commissioner Galloway encouraged him to apply.

Commissioner Weber thanked Mr. Parga for the presentation.

County Manager Katy Singlaub commented staff would be in touch with Mr. Parga regarding the Consortium.

3:30 p.m. Commissioner Humke temporarily left the meeting during the following item.

06-675 APPEARANCE – BETH MACMILLAN – ARTOWN

Beth Macmillian, Executive Director of Artown, presented a DVD preview of Artown 2006. She thanked the County for its support of the festival.

3:40 p.m. Commissioner Humke returned to the meeting during the following item.
Mike McQueen, Fire Ecologist, Bureau of Land Management (BLM), Carson City Field Office, discussed areas in Washoe County that were impacted by fires. He gave an overview of the BLM fire program and offered recommendations to increase fire safety.

Gary Schiff, District Ranger, Carson Ranger District, Humboldt-Toiyabe National Forest, went over the map entitled, "Fires along the Sierra Front 1980-2004" and the handout identified as, "Carson Ranger District Fuels Priorities and Activities in Washoe County."

Wayne Keyson, Washoe County Regional Parks and Open Space District Ranger, talked about the relationship between the County and the Nevada Division of Forestry (NDF); and he discussed upcoming projects.

John Copeland, Fire Protection Officer NDF, said his job was to bring in grant money to communities around Northern Nevada. He explained his office joined with communities and Fire Safe Councils to treat individual and groups of properties on private, County, and State lands within Nevada. Mr. Copeland noted $750,000 was brought in for projects within the Sierra Front area. He commented on current and completed projects.

Paul Hefner, Fire Service Coordinator, stated work continued on the Wildfire Hazard Assessment. He noted there were five active Fire Safe Councils in the County. Mr. Hefner remarked staff was in the process of finalizing a group that would bring together those present today to become more efficient in the way fuels money was spent and to protect communities from wildland fire risks.

Chairman Larkin asked if Washoe County was fire safe. Mr. Hefner replied the County was getting safer by the minute, but there was a lot of work to be done. He added it takes partnership to further the work.

Commissioner Galloway inquired if there were any other plan approvals this Commission needed to endorse in order to qualify for the full spectrum of federal and state funding. Mr. Hefner said there were not.

Chairman Larkin thanked the members for the information and said he anticipated an update during the upcoming fire season.
Adrian Freund, Community Development Director, commented the Board approved up to $25,000 in funding for the Truckee Meadows Growth Task Force (Task Force). He explained the funds were in the Community Development budget, and staff requested the funds be held over for this fiscal year to enable the Task Force to complete its work. Mr. Freund confirmed the Task Force agreed to report to the Board regularly, and they would make specific requests to draw from the funding.

Stacey Crowley, Task Force Vice Chairman, presented the "Truckee Meadows Growth Task Force Preliminary Report 2006." She discussed items from the report.

Chairman Larkin asked when the final report would be completed, and Ms. Crowley replied at the end of September or October. She remarked it would dovetail into the Regional Plan update and any State legislation that may occur.

In response to Commissioner Galloway, Ms. Crowley recapped the titles of the different committees involved with the Task Force.

4:00 p.m. Commissioner Weber temporarily left the meeting.

Commissioner Galloway commented the Task Force was created when the Board was asked whether or not to put forward public opinion ballot questions concerning development and natural resources. He questioned if the preliminary recommendation answered the inquiries raised by the proposed ballot questions that were discussed two years ago.

Ms. Crowley responded the mission of the Task Force was to look at how growth could have a positive impact on quality of life. She said they attempted to address that mission in every recommendation. She noted the recommendations regarding natural resources and land came from the committee that had a variety of people involved, and they utilized staff from Washoe County and other agencies.

Commissioner Galloway remarked there was no link in the Regional Plan between the approval of zoning and master plans and the ability to deliver natural resources to those areas. He asked if the Task Force recommended a policy to solve that problem. Ms. Crowley said the Task Force supported the Regional Plan idea of concurrency that would make sure the resources were there when development occurred. Commissioner Galloway hoped Ms. Crowley would be in attendance when the Regional Plan discussion on concurrency took place to see if that content would be adequate in the update.
PROPOSAL - WASHOE LEGAL SERVICES – MANAGER

There was no response to the call for public comment. It was noted this item was pulled during the approval of the agenda.

GRANT PROGRAM CONTRACT – RESTART INC. – MANAGEMENT SERVICES/GRANTS ADMINISTRATOR

There was no response to the call for public comment. It was noted this item was pulled during the approval of the agenda.

06-678 AGREEMENT - LOW INCOME HOUSING TRUST FUNDS WELFARE SET ASIDE – NEVADA HOUSING DIVISION – MANAGEMENT SERVICES/GRANTS ADMINISTRATOR

County Manager Katy Singlaub commented this was a source of funding the County had received for many years.

Upon recommendation of Gabrielle Enfield, Grants Administrator, through John Slaughter, Management Services Director, on motion by Commissioner Galloway, seconded by Commissioner Humke, which motion duly carried with Commissioner Weber temporarily absent, Chairman Larkin ordered that the Low Income Housing Trust Funds Welfare Set Aside from the Nevada Housing Division in the amount of $272,870 be accepted. It was further ordered that the agreement between Washoe County and the State of Nevada Housing Division, concerning the 2006/07 Low Income Housing Trust Funds, be approved and Chairman Larkin be authorized to execute the same. It was noted Washoe County would provide matching funds in the amount of $27,287.

06-679 CORONER'S FACILITY USE CHARGES – OUTSIDE AGENCIES – CORONER

Commissioner Galloway commended Vernon McCarty, Coroner, for his work for Washoe County.

Upon recommendation of Mr. McCarty, through John Berkich, Assistant County Manager, on motion by Commissioner Humke, seconded by Commissioner Galloway, which motion duly carried with Commissioner Weber temporarily absent, Chairman Larkin ordered that the Coroner's facility charges for services to outside agencies be increased to $540 per autopsy and $345 for limited examinations for fiscal year 2006/07.
06-680 AGREEMENT – EL DORADO COUNTY – LABORATORY USE - CORONER

Upon recommendation of Vernon McCarty, Coroner, through John Berkich, Assistant County Manager, on motion by Commissioner Galloway, seconded by Commissioner Humke, which motion duly carried with Commissioner Weber temporarily absent, it was ordered that Agreement No. 488-S0511 Amendment I between Washoe County and El Dorado County, California, concerning use of the Washoe County Coroner's laboratory, be approved and Chairman Larkin be authorized to execute the same.

06-681 AGREEMENT – SIERRA PATHOLOGY ASSOCIATES – AUTOPSY AND HISTOPATHOLOGY SERVICES - CORONER

Upon recommendation of Vernon McCarty, Coroner, through John Berkich, Assistant County Manager, on motion by Commissioner Humke, seconded by Commissioner Galloway, which motion duly carried with Commissioner Weber temporarily absent, it was ordered that an agreement between Washoe County and Sierra Pathology Associates, concerning autopsy and histopathology services for the period of July 1, 2006 through June 30, 2008 in the amount of $507,148, be approved and Chairman Larkin be authorized to execute the same.

06-682 AWARD OF BID – 2006 GUARDRAIL PROJECT - BID NO. PWP-WA-2006-91- PUBLIC WORKS

This was the time to consider award of the bid for the 2006 Guardrail Project for the Public Works Department.

County Manager Katy Singlaub explained this item was offered for bid; however, there were no responses to the advertisement. She said, failing to receive responsible bids, the County published a notice stating no bids were received and the contract may be let without further bidding according to NRS 332.148. Ms. Singlaub stated seven companies were contacted, two companies sent an informal proposal, and the County selected the company with the lower bid.

Commissioner Galloway asked if this was a reasonable amount for the project and how it compared with past experience.

Roger Van Alyne, Deputy Public Works Director, stated the price was good; and there were no issues. He added the price of metal products had stabilized in recent months.

Commissioner Galloway requested the Board return to the item later in the meeting in order to gain the answers to his questions. Chairman Larkin stated the item would be left open.
Later in the meeting, Tom Gadd, Public Works Director, confirmed this was the lowest price the County could obtain; and it was comparable to what was paid in the past.

Commissioner Galloway asked if a joinder could be pursued, and Mr. Gadd said staff could look into that in the future.

In response to the call for public comment, Gary Schmidt thanked Commissioner Galloway for his questions; and he noted there was no contract, no bid, and no description of the work in the staff report.

Upon recommendation of Clara Lawson, Traffic Engineer, through Mr. Gadd, on motion by Commissioner Galloway, seconded by Commissioner Humke, which motion duly carried with Commissioner Weber temporarily absent, it was ordered that Bid No. PWP-WA-2006-91 for the 2006 Guardrail Project for the Public Works Department be awarded to Nevada Barricade & Sign Company in the amount of $150,000. It was further ordered that Chairman Larkin be authorized to execute the contract documents upon presentation.

County Manager Katy Singlaub stated this supported the Washoe County Regional Communications System (WCRCS) and must be compatible with it. She said that was why Alcatel Inc. was the sole source provider. She noted the sole source justification was detailed in the staff report dated May 31, 2006. Ms. Singlaub commented this would provide a link for staff at the Longley Lane facility working in telecommunications and staff at the Emergency Operations Center (EOC) to be able to work on the system remotely.

Commissioner Sferrazza questioned the sole source justification and said other companies could design compatible systems.

Craig Harrison, Telecommunications Manager, explained the sole source was due to the maintenance ability of the technicians to trade parts from one system to another. He said the spare parts would be duplicated if a different microwave system was used, and extra money would have to be spent for the spare parts.

Commissioner Sferrazza asked if the spare parts were more expensive with a competing company. Mr. Harrison replied the parts in the radio system would be different and not interchangeable. He said a second set of spare parts would cost approximately $10,000 to $15,000.
Upon recommendation of Mr. Harrison, through Tom Gadd, Public Works Director, on motion by Commissioner Galloway, seconded by Commissioner Humke, which motion duly carried with Commissioner Sferrazza voting "no" and Commissioner Weber temporarily absent, Chairman Larkin ordered that the purchase of the design, installation, and test of a Microwave communications link between the County Longley Lane facility and the 800MHz Network Operations Center (NOC) located on Spectrum Boulevard from Alcatel Inc. [sole source] in the amount of $101,825 be approved. It was noted the funds were available in Public Works [PW920564], and this was in direct support of the WCRCS.

**06-684 CONTRACT – CARES/SART BUILDING – SHEEHAN VAN WOERT BIGOTTI ARCHITECTS – PUBLIC WORKS**

County Manager Katy Singlaub stated the County received a grant to assist with the construction of this facility. She commented the District Attorney and representatives from Public Works and the Reno Police Department participated in the selection of the architects for this building. She noted the facility would be located adjacent to Northern Nevada Medical Center.

Commissioner Galloway inquired about the amount of County funds that would support the finished product. Ms. Singlaub replied she was not aware of the amount that would be required.

In response to the call for public comment, Gary Schmidt talked about his request for the documentation of this item and said the Board should have proper documentation to consider the item. He asked if the members had the contract.

Melanie Foster, Legal Counsel, clarified what was before the Board was a recommendation to award the contract to the firm listed and to authorize the Chairman to execute those documents when they were drafted and presented. She confirmed that was the standard process for the Board. Ms. Foster said the Commission could discuss whether or not they had seen the contract if they desired. She noted the County had a standard contract that was used for architects.

Commissioner Galloway asked if this would be similar to other professional service design contracts. He requested the contract if it was special or one-of-a-kind; however, he said that would not be necessary if it was a typical contract.

Roger Van Alyne, Deputy Public Works Director, confirmed this was a standard document that staff had been using for professional services over the past two years.

Commissioner Sferrazza asked about the budget and the percentage for the architects' fee. Mr. Van Alyne responded the estimated budget for the project was $986,000. David Solaro, Capital Projects Division Director, stated the percentage for the architects' fee was approximately 10 percent.
Upon recommendation of Mr. Solaro, through Tom Gadd, Public Works Director, on motion by Commissioner Humke, seconded by Commissioner Galloway, which motion duly carried with Commissioner Weber temporarily absent, it was ordered that a professional services contract for design of the District Attorney CARES/SART Building be awarded to the most qualified proposer Sheehan Van Woert Bigotti Architects in the amount of $126,630. It was further ordered that Chairman Larkin be authorized to execute the contract documents upon presentation.

06-685 OVERFILL ADMINISTRATIVE CLERK – COUNTY
COMMISSIONERS POSITION – CLERK

Nancy Parent, Chief Deputy Clerk, explained this was a request to overfill the supervisory position in the Board, Records, and Minutes (BRM) Division of the Clerk’s Office. She said Sharon Gotchy, the current supervisor, would be retiring in January 2007; and she had been with BRM for 17 years. She confirmed it was a detailed job; and, if items were done incorrectly, there would be an impact throughout the organization. Ms. Parent stated it was important to overfill the position and train the person before Ms. Gotchy retires. She noted the request was for 20 weeks at a cost of $23,187, and there was adequate funding in the budget to cover the cost. She added a procedure manual for BRM was in process.

Chairman Larkin asked about the policy in the Clerk’s Office in previous situations. Ms. Parent explained one person retired in 2001, and BRM went through two supervisors before Ms. Gotchy was hired as the supervisor. Ms. Parent said during that period of time, without a qualified supervisor and minimal staff, minutes were six to eight months behind. She stated the office was trying to avoid that again by overfilling the position.

In response to Chairman Larkin, County Manager Katy Singlaub commented 30 percent or more of County staff would be turning over in the next five years. She remarked staff was aware of the situation and was working on workforce planning in every department. She said Human Resources had a Workforce Development Manager that was developing those plans for every department. Ms. Singlaub explained the plans would identify specific job knowledge that needed to be addressed ahead of time with procedure manuals and instructions for how the transfer of knowledge should occur. She added this was a controversial item among staff and not everyone was in agreement about overfilling a position for 20 weeks. She supported the request because the person needed to be adequately trained in order for the work to be done correctly.

Chairman Larkin emphasized the Commission was aware of the policy implications of overfilling positions. He said in no way would this establish a standard for the future, and each situation would be handled on a case-by-case basis. He stated overfilling would have to be fully justified, and any future overfilling of positions would have to come under a broader umbrella of policy.
Amy Harvey, County Clerk, commented if the position were overfilled, it would not be close to the 20-week request because of the lengthy recruitment process. She understood the position of the Board concerning the policy involved. She said the Clerk's Office could get along without overfilling the position; however, that could result in the minutes being delayed, which would impact the entire County.

Commissioner Galloway stated this transition of corporate knowledge would generally impact supervisors. He asked the Manager to examine what part of the 30 percent would be supervisors, and he requested a study be done on the matter. Commissioner Galloway questioned if the County could budget for this situation. He inquired if there was a possibility to promote from within BRM.

Ms. Harvey confirmed there was on-going training within BRM to transfer the knowledge, but it was unknown at this time whether the employees in the office would apply for the position.

Commissioner Galloway stressed the importance of promoting from within. Ms. Singlaub commented every department was charged to work on that. She said the departments were reminded of this through workforce planning and the support provided by Human Resources. She stated the goal was to have adequately trained staff available for every position at the time it was needed.

In response to Commissioner Galloway, Ms. Harvey explained selected staff had been trained to perform the different functions of Ms. Gotchy's position; however, it was not one person being trained in all the tasks.

Chairman Larkin stated he was impressed with the production per employee in the Clerk's Office. He reiterated the Board was not setting a standard for future planning. He said the data that the Manager was developing was necessary to establish an umbrella policy.

Commissioner Humke remarked the staff report carefully set out that the request met the criteria of the Washoe County Code for an overfill position. He said everything was touched upon in the staff report.

In response to the call for public comment, Gary Schmidt remarked the Clerk's staff was amongst the most professional, diligent, competent, polite, and hard working of any department in the County bar none. He strongly supported the approval of the item.

Upon recommendation of Ms. Harvey, on motion by Commissioner Sferrazza, seconded by Commissioner Galloway, which motion duly carried with Commissioner Weber temporarily absent, Chairman Larkin ordered that the overfill of the Administrative Clerk – County Commissioners position for a period of up to 20 weeks be approved, the Budget Division be directed to make the appropriate adjustments, and Human Resources be directed to make the necessary staffing adjustments.
4:45 p.m. The Board recessed.

5:02 p.m. The Board reconvened with all members present.

06-686 NON-COUNTY EMPLOYEE TRAVEL – FAMILY PEACE CENTER – DISTRICT COURT

County Manager Katy Singlaub commented the Family Peace Center was funded in part by a Safe Havens Office On Violence Against Women Grant. She said Toni Angelini worked as a Domestic Violence Victim Advocate for the Reno Police Department, and she was accepted to attend the "Best Practices for Serving Immigrant Survivors" conference. Ms. Angelini serves on the Family Peace Center's Project, Planning, and Development team; therefore, it was appropriate for her travel to be funded from the Family Peace Center.

Commissioner Galloway pointed out the Safe Havens Office On Violence Against Women Grant would cover the cost for Ms. Angelini.

Upon recommendation of Stefanie Waksman, Program Coordinator, through Joey Orduna, Assistant District Court Administrator, Family Court Division, and Ron Longtin, District Court Administrator and Clerk of the Court, on motion by Commissioner Sferrazza, seconded by Commissioner Humke, which motion duly carried, Chairman Larkin ordered that the travel of a non-County employee, Ms. Angelini, concerning training on behalf of the Family Peace Center in an amount not to exceed $2,500 on a retroactive basis to June 12, 2006, be approved.

06-687 AGREEMENT – NATIONAL CENTER FOR STATE COURTS – CONSULTANT SERVICES – DISTRICT COURT

County Manager Katy Singlaub stated the firm that was recommended had completed a similar project for the Eighth Judicial District in Clark County. She commented it would help the State's review of comparable statistics and workloads to have the same methodology used in the Washoe County and Clark County court systems.

Chairman Larkin asked about the date of the analysis that was performed at the Eighth Judicial District in Clark County.

Ron Longtin, District Court Administrator and Clerk of the Court, stated the analysis was completed in November 2005.

Commissioner Galloway inquired if this would include an analysis of space requirements and present recommendations.

Mr. Longtin clarified that was not included in the scope of the study. He said the caseload study would analyze the case weights, and that information would be incorporated into the current case management system.
In response to Commissioner Galloway, Ms. Singlaub commented the work that was done in this project would be important to a court utilization study. She said the workload analysis was a critical factor in the preparation of that information. Ms. Singlaub noted the courts agreed to involve the Finance Department in this process, and that would allow staff the opportunity to review and comment on the methodology. She supported going forward with the caseload study.

Chairman Larkin asked when the study would be completed. Mr. Longtin replied it would begin in August 2006 and be completed in ten months.

Commissioner Sferrazza questioned if this would result in improved assignment of cases concerning the distribution of the workload between judges. Mr. Longtin confirmed that was one aim of the study.

Upon recommendation of Mr. Longtin, through Chief Judge Jerome Polaha, on motion by Commissioner Humke, seconded by Commissioner Weber, which motion duly carried, Chairman Larkin ordered that the proposal on a sole source basis for consultant services between Washoe County (Second Judicial District Court) and the National Center for State Courts, concerning a weighted caseload study of the judiciary and court masters of the Second Judicial District Court for use in determination of judicial workload allocation, judge, and court master need and incorporation into the current case management system, be approved. It was also ordered that Chairman Larkin be authorized to execute an agreement once finalized and approved by Risk Management and the District Attorney’s Office in an amount not to exceed $64,800.

06-688 AGREEMENT – PROJECT CARE – ADULT DRUG COURT LIFE SKILLS SERVICES – DISTRICT COURT

Commissioner Sferrazza inquired about the amount of the agreement last year.

Sheila Leslie, Second Judicial District Court Specialty Courts Coordinator, confirmed the amount was $100,000.

In response to Chairman Larkin, Ms. Leslie clarified the monies came out of the AB 29 funds that were received from the Legislature from the assessment on misdemeanor fines. She stated every offender was required to pay back every penny as part of their treatment, and the project was able to collect 46 percent of that requirement. She noted, if offenders dropped out of the program, the costs they incurred would go to collections but not much was collected. Ms. Leslie said this contract provided an increase in the amount collected from successful participates. She explained the process the Court went through to determine that Life Skills Services were needed in order for Adult Drug Court participants to successfully complete their rehabilitation came from data and the Judge’s experience. She stressed the drug court excelled in the area of collections.
Commissioner Galloway said he was pleased to see that the agreement covered the liability situation, and he asked staff to continue to ensure that all the requirements were met.

Upon recommendation of Ms. Leslie, through Ron Longtin, District Court Administrator and Clerk of the Court, on motion by Commissioner Sferrazza, seconded by Commissioner Humke, which motion duly carried, it was ordered that a professional services agreement between Washoe County (District Court) and Project CARE, a non-profit corporation, concerning Adult Drug Court Life Skills Services for the period of July 1, 2006 through June 30, 2007 in an amount not to exceed $100,000, be approved and Chairman Larkin be authorized to execute the same.

06-689 AGREEMENT – DAVID SPITZER, ESQ. – LEGAL SERVICES IN SPECIALTY COURTS – DISTRICT COURT

County Manager Katy Singlaub noted David Spitzer, Esq. had provided legal services for the Specialty Courts since 1998. She confirmed the agreement was written so that it would be terminated upon the establishment of the Alternate Public Defender's Office and the transfer of that caseload to the new office. She noted it should be the last time the Board would see this agreement.

In response to Commissioner Sferrazza, Sheila Leslie, Second Judicial District Court Specialty Courts Coordinator, verified the cost of the agreement last year was $195,000.

Upon recommendation of Ms. Leslie, through Ron Longtin, District Court Administrator and Clerk of the Court, on motion by Commissioner Sferrazza, seconded by Commissioner Galloway, which motion duly carried, it was ordered that an independent contractor agreement between Washoe County (District Court) and Mr. Spitzer, concerning legal services in Specialty Courts for the period of July 1, 2006 through June 30, 2007 in the amount of $200,000, be approved and Chairman Larkin be authorized to execute the same.

06-690 REPORT – CHARTING OUR COURSE PRIORITIZATION PILOT PROGRAM – FINANCE

John Sherman, Finance Director, reviewed the agenda memorandum dated May 31, 2006 concerning the report on the Charting Our Course Program Prioritization pilot program.

Chairman Larkin asked if there would be a special meeting of the Board to work through the information in detail. Mr. Sherman said it would be advisable to have a workshop to go through the specifics. He verified he sent the report to the Charting Our Course Committee over a month ago and asked them to provide any input or feedback to staff. He noted the next decision time for the Board would be in August or September.
Commissioner Sferrazza asked who did the scoring, and he commented it was subjective. Mr. Sherman stated the Budget Division staff completed the scoring. He said it was concluded by consensus of the analysts and through discussions with departments. Commissioner Sferrazza stated the results supported his original fear that this would not be of great value in terms of allocating budget resources.

County Manager Katy Singlaub commented there was no system that provided a mathematical and objective way to make better decisions on available resources. She said that was why Commissioners made those judgments. She added this program was to assist that process, and it was built upon some of the best practices seen nationally in this effort.

Commissioner Galloway stated he had a problem with certain scores. He said an existing mandated program already satisfied the legal requirements, and it should only be scored at a five (equal to critical importance) when it was in danger of no longer meeting the requirements or of falling short of the minimum public expectation. He offered his suggestions on scoring.

Mr. Sherman acknowledged this was a work in progress.

06-691 RESOLUTION - REFUND OF TAXES – THE BLUFFS APARTMENTS LIMITED PARTNERSHIP – APN 035-640-07 - DISTRICT ATTORNEY

Peter Simeoni, Deputy District Attorney, explained this was a real property tax refund request that came out of the authority for an exemption under NRS 361.082, the low-income housing statute. He noted Terrance Shea, Deputy District Attorney, provided the staff report dated May 24, 2006 and an opinion regarding this issue.

Commissioner Sferrazza inquired why the owners paid tax at all, and why they were getting a refund for a period of time that they did not meet the statutory requirements. He commented they could use the building and the property for any legal use up until the time they were tax exempt. He said it did not have to be a low-income rental property.

Mr. Simeoni explained at the time taxes were due the project had not been completed, and it did not satisfy all the requisite statutory criteria. He acknowledged they sought the refund once they satisfied that statutory criteria for the low-income housing exemption.

Bill Berrum, Treasurer, commented it took time to work through the process; and they could not apply for an exemption until they received a Certificate of Occupancy (C of O) because that was when the Assessor made the determination on the property. He acknowledged this had been in the works for some time, and they paid taxes until they qualified to avoid delinquencies.
Melanie Foster, Assistant District Attorney, interjected the staff report references the particular funding for this project. She stated it was in part a Housing and Urban Development (HUD) project funded with tax-exempt bonds issued by the State Housing Division. Ms. Foster said there was never any option to use it for any other purpose under the regulatory agreement that was in place with the Division. She emphasized that was a condition of the funding from the beginning.

In response to the call for public comment, Gary Schmidt pointed out Mr. Simeoni served as Legal Counsel for the Board of Equalization (BOE); therefore, he should not be presenting the case because it created a conflict.

Mr. Simeoni commented there was a distinction between an exemption and a refund. He acknowledged the BOE dealt with exemptions satisfying certain criteria, but this was a refund matter that came before the Commission.

In response to Commissioner Sferrazza, Mr. Simeoni clarified the requisite statutory criteria was satisfied and the low-income housing project was 100 percent occupied on March 30, 2004.

Upon recommendation of Mr. Shea, through Ms. Foster, as stated in D.A. Opinion No. 6432, on motion by Commissioner Galloway, seconded by Commissioner Sferrazza, which motion duly carried, it was ordered that the following Resolution be adopted and Chairman Larkin be authorized to execute the same:

**RESOLUTION**

Directing the County Treasurer to Refund Taxes

WHEREAS, the Board of Commissioners of Washoe County, pursuant to NRS 354.220-354.240, has the authority to direct the County Treasurer to refund money paid into the County Treasury; and

WHEREAS, The Bluffs Apartments Limited Partnership ("Taxpayer") made application for a partial refund of real property taxes for the 2003-2004 tax year on APN 035-640-07; and

WHEREAS, it appears that the Taxpayer has overpaid taxes for 2003-2004 fiscal year in the amount of $66,850.56; and

WHEREAS, it appears that the taxpayer's application for a partial tax refund was filed by the taxpayer before the Board of Commissioners of Washoe County changed its policy regarding partial tax refunds on September 14, 2004; and

WHEREAS, it is the opinion of the Board of Commissioners of Washoe County that the Taxpayer has just cause for making such application and that the granting of the partial refund would be equitable.
NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS OF WASHOE COUNTY AS FOLLOWS:

1. That the Treasurer of Washoe County is authorized and directed to refund to The Bluffs Apartments, Limited Partnership a total of $66,850.56, which is the amount of the prorated taxes overpaid for the 2003-2004 tax year on APN 035-640-07 plus appropriate interest in accordance with NRS 17.110.

2. The Treasurer of Washoe County is further directed to debit the account of each governmental entity that has shared in the excess of the taxes collected in error for its prorata share of the refund.

06-692 RESOLUTION - REFUND OF TAXES – THE ROMAN CATHOLIC BISHOP OF RENO AND HIS SUCCESSORS – APN 162-010-28 – DISTRICT ATTORNEY

County Manager Katy Singlaub explained this parcel was previously submitted as the Bishop Manogue High School as opposed to being under the ownership of The Roman Catholic Bishop of Reno and His Successors. She said that was where the clarification had to occur.

Chairman Larkin asked if that would make it tax exempt, and Ms. Singlaub agreed. He inquired if it qualified for a tax refund because they paid their taxes.

Peter Simeoni, Deputy District Attorney, confirmed under statute there was a provision for a prorata refund statutory independent authorization for the Board of County Commissioners.

In response to the call for public comment, Gary Schmidt stated there remained a conflict of counsel with Mr. Simeoni representing this case.

In response to Commissioner Sferrazza, Mr. Simeoni referenced the staff report dated May 24, 2006 and explained there was confusion as to who was the legal titleholder of the property. He added the church was clearly exempt under statute.

Commissioner Galloway supported the refund because the entity operated by the Catholic Dioceses would have qualified for an exemption.

Upon recommendation of Terrance Shea, Deputy District Attorney, through Melanie Foster, Assistant District Attorney, as stated in D.A. Opinion No. 6433, on motion by Commissioner Humke, seconded by Commissioner Galloway, which motion duly carried, it was ordered that the following Resolution be adopted and Chairman Larkin be authorized to execute the same:
RESOLUTION
Directing the County Treasurer to Refund Taxes

WHEREAS, the Board of Commissioners of Washoe County, pursuant to NRS 354.220-354.240, has the authority to direct the County Treasurer to refund money paid into the County Treasury; and

WHEREAS, the Catholic Diocese of Reno, Inc. ("Taxpayer") made application for a partial refund of real property taxes for the 2004-2005 tax year on APN 162-010-28; and

WHEREAS, the Taxpayer has overpaid taxes for 2004-2005 fiscal year in the amount of $268,367.60; and

WHEREAS, it appears that the application for a partial tax refund was submitted by the taxpayer before the Board of Commissioners of Washoe County changed its policy regarding partial tax refunds on September 14, 2004; and

WHEREAS, it is the opinion of the Board of Commissioners of Washoe County that the Taxpayer has just cause for making such application and that the granting of the partial refund would be equitable.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS OF WASHOE COUNTY AS FOLLOWS:

1. That the Treasurer of Washoe County is authorized and directed to refund to the Catholic Diocese of Reno a total of $277,255.70, which is the amount of the prorated taxes overpaid for the 2004-2005 tax year on APN 162-010-28 which includes interest in accordance with NRS 17.110 at the rate of $55.90 per day.

2. The Treasurer of Washoe County is further directed to debit the account of each governmental entity that has shared in the excess of the taxes collected in error for its prorata share of the refund.

06-693 ADVISORY QUESTION – INCREASE IN SALES AND USE TAX – MANAGEMENT SERVICES/LEGISLATIVE AFFAIRS

Craig Callahan, Assistant Sheriff, commented on the 2006 Public Safety Advisory Question.

County Manager Katy Singlaub remarked the Cities of Reno and Sparks had reached agreement about the uses of the funds. She said staff was in support of this and was actively involved in the process along with the Sheriff's Office.

Chairman Larkin commented the burden of the sales tax appeared to be a 40/60 split with 60 percent to guests of the area and 40 percent to residents of the area.
Ms. Singlaub clarified it would be 40 percent to residents and 60 percent to tourists and businesses. She stated this was a tangible way to fund the burden for local services.

Commissioner Galloway pointed out the County's financial staff said previously that 15 percent was paid by tourists. He stated, if the Board supported a ballot issue, it did not mean that the Board supported the data.

Commissioner Sferrazza agreed with Commissioner Galloway and voiced concerns about the split. He said residents paid a much higher percentage of the sales tax.

Ms. Singlaub commented this was the most accurate and available data that the City of Reno staff was able to ascertain. She stated the numbers came from the recent Reno-Sparks Convention and Visitors Authority (RSCVA) study and from the Bureau of Business and Economic Research at the University of Nevada, Reno.

In response to Chairman Larkin, Assistant Sheriff Callahan said this would go forward to the Legislature if the voters approved it. Ms. Singlaub clarified the total sales tax would be 7.625 percent. Assistant Sheriff Callahan noted that sales tax would be less than Clark County.

In response to Commissioner Humke, Ms. Singlaub detailed the Washoe County anticipated expenditures for 2007/08, as outlined in the PowerPoint presentation entitled, "2006 Public Safety Advisory Question."

Commissioner Humke inquired about the spending flexibility under this advisory question. Ms. Singlaub explained the proposed list was for first year expenditures. She said the interlocal agreement proposal allocated 40 percent of each year's funding from this source to Washoe County. Ms. Singlaub added each year the Board could discuss how the funds would be applied for the coming year far in advance of the budget. She noted the proposal before the Board was what staff saw as the best way to allocate the funds today.

Chairman Larkin commented the Board was debating a request to place a ballot question on the November ballot that would seek to increase the sales tax by .25 percent to pay for public safety. He confirmed the voters would ultimately decide this. He said in no way was the Commission imposing this on the citizens, but the Board was seeking the input of citizens on whether or not this was something they would wish to accept the burden of in future sales tax.

Ms. Singlaub added what would be in the ballot question explanation was a commitment that these funds would not supplant or replace existing budget dollars in the budgeted programs of the three local entities. She emphasized they would be new dollars.
Commissioner Galloway asked if that statement could be included in the ballot question. He commented it should be asked that the Legislature provide that the funds generated from the increase would not allow a diminution of funds presently spent on public safety items. Commissioner Galloway believed that statement had great influence on whether or not the public would support this. He said he would like to see the number of patrol deputies increased from four to seven.

Ms. Singlaub confirmed that was the proposed language for the ballot question. She acknowledged there was a desire to increase the number of patrol deputies. She said staff would like to work with the Sheriff's Office to clarify that number.

Commissioner Sferrazza asked for a breakdown of the criminal prosecution category. Ms. Singlaub explained that information was detailed down to the dollar; however, it was not included in the PowerPoint presentation as part of the campaign strategy. She commented it was determined through surveys that citizens were less likely to support increased funding for the courts; but, when it was described as prosecution and incarceration, they were more likely to fund the same service.

Commissioner Humke stated he would not want to change any of the allocations because there would be flexibility in future years as part as the budgeting process to determine how the revenue would be spent; the Cities of Reno and Sparks already approved this and any change in the allocation would have to go back to them; and he did not believe it was incumbent upon himself to substitute his judgment on law enforcement for the Sheriff's Office. He said he would support their judgment if they believed the mix was right.

Commissioner Weber supported the advisory question going to a vote of the people. She said public safety was one of the strategic priorities the Board established, and the question should be moved forward. Commissioner Weber favored the idea of putting funds away for another jail expansion because hiring more officers would lead to more arrests.

In response to further discussion by the Commissioners, Ms. Singlaub proposed to bring back a final list of the County's suggested uses of the funds on July 11, 2006.

Melanie Foster, Legal Counsel, verified the item must come back to the Board on July 11, 2006 because there was a detailed statutory process for the formal action to place it on the ballot.

In response to the call for public comment, Juanita Cox inquired why Washoe County deputies were more expensive when compared to the law enforcement officers of Reno and Sparks.

Ms. Singlaub commented the actual cost of each deputy or law enforcement officer was pursuant to the contract labor agreements. She said they would
be budgeted based on the exact costs of those officers in that entity. She confirmed Washoe County officers did not cost appreciably more than other officers.

Assistant Sheriff Callahan remarked this was a proposal, and it would be fine-tuned. He commented the Board, the other entities, the District Courts, the District Attorney, and the Public Defender would have a say in how the money would be spent.

Commissioner Galloway stated his area of expertise included knowledge of what the people were asking for, and they wanted more patrol deputies.

Commissioner Humke moved to approve the request to send this matter to the voters as an advisory question as styled in the staff report dated June 15, 2006. Commissioner Galloway seconded the motion.

In response to Commissioner Galloway, Ms. Foster pointed out the materials provided by the City of Reno included the language that it was not to supplant existing funding.

On call for the question, the motion passed on a 5-0 vote.

06-694 LETTER OF RESPONSE – CITY OF RENO – ADVISORY VOTE – CONSOLIDATION OF LOCAL GOVERNMENT ENTITIES

Chairman Larkin referenced the letters from the Cities of Reno and Sparks regarding their positions on placing an item on the 2006 General Election Ballot for a Countywide advisory vote regarding consolidation of local government entities. He noted the City of Reno was in favor of placing the item on the ballot, and the City of Sparks was opposed to that action.

Commissioner Galloway stated he did not favor consolidation. He said it would be giving a blank check to the Legislature, and he agreed with the letter from the City of Sparks.

Commissioner Sferrazza favored consolidation. He said the County could draft the question to provide different options. He said the question was already framed for the County survey, and this would provide better information because the entire public would vote on it.

Commissioner Weber stated she would not support any kind of consolidation of the local government entities. She said the emphasis should be on improving regional collaboration.

Commissioner Humke said it was an act not worth doing because the City of Sparks was opposed to it. He remarked to have the sales tax issue and an item on consolidation on the ballot at the same time could create confusion to the detriment of the
sales tax for public safety. He stated the entities were making good progress toward consolidation of functional areas, and he gave examples.

Chairman Larkin voiced his concerns about consolidation. He said he would yield to the Sparks City Council who voted unanimously to urge the Commission to not place the item concerning consolidation on the ballot. He supported reinforcing regional collaboration. Chairman Larkin commented this matter could be considered at a later date but not for this election cycle.

Commissioner Sferrazza remarked the Commission would not be taking a position by placing this question on the ballot. He supported the advisory vote because it would be a way for the public to tell the entities what they wanted.

Commissioner Galloway commented it would not work if each entity was not willing, and he believed the City of Sparks knew their electorate.

On motion by Commissioner Weber, seconded by Commissioner Galloway, which motion duly carried with Commissioner Sferrazza voting "no," it was ordered that an advisory ballot question on consolidation not be supported at this time and a letter be prepared for the Chairman's signature.

06-695 LEGISLATIVE ISSUES – LEGISLATIVE AFFAIRS

Chairman Larkin said he presented the letter endorsed by this Commission on June 13, 2006 to the SCR 26 Subcommittee (Subcommittee) at their meeting today. He stated there were no questions by the Subcommittee of any of the purveyors or this Chairman.

County Manager Katy Singlaub reported on the summary actions taken by the Subcommittee on the morning of June 20, 2006. She said, in regard to the role and authority of the new entity, the new entity would have jurisdiction over all existing and future water resources. She stated the Subcommittee voted to have the Regional Water Planning Commission serve as the technical staff of the new water entity. She noted, as to governance, the membership of the new entity would be two representatives from Reno, Sparks, and Washoe County, and one representative from South Truckee Meadows General Improvement District (STMGID), Sun Valley General Improvement District (SVIGID), and the Truckee Meadows Water Reclamation Facility. Ms. Singlaub explained the enabling legislation for this would come through specific legislation and not through an interlocal agreement, so the authority for the entity would be created by legislation. She added all decisions by the new water entity would be by simple majority. She noted a member entity could opt out of a capital improvement project, the new entity would determine purveyor service area boundaries, and the new entity would have private legal counsel. Ms. Singlaub confirmed the effective date of the bill would be January 1, 2008. She stated this was the beginning of the legislative process coming out of the Subcommittee, and those were the elements of a bill draft that would be developed by the Subcommittee. She remarked it did not reflect many of the goals that the Washoe
County Commission had for this process. Ms. Singlaub added Chairman Larkin did a great job conveying the Board's position to the Subcommittee, and the County would remain engaged in this process.

Commissioner Galloway commented he was sorry the Subcommittee was not more open to the ideas the Commission put before them.

06-696 AWARD OF BID – WORKPLACE WELLNESS PROGRAM - BID NO. 2530-06 – RISK MANAGEMENT

This was the time to consider award of the bid for the Washoe County Employee Workplace Wellness Program for the Risk Management Department. The Notice to Bidders for receipt of sealed bids was published in the Reno Gazette-Journal on March 20, 2006. Proof was made that due and legal Notice had been given.

Chairman Larkin asked how the effectiveness of the program would be measured. County Manager Katy Singlaub commented cost of health care per employee would be one of the measures of the effectiveness of the program. She added a second measurement would be a better health care experience for that population of employees that would be involved in disease management.

Chairman Larkin inquired if a tangible metric would be brought to the Board in the course of a year involving a benefit/cost analysis. Ms. Singlaub concurred. She commented the experience nationwide reflected an increase in the use of health care when a wellness program was implemented because people obtained examinations and tests. She said there may be a spike in the beginning; however, there would be better health outcomes. She confirmed staff would report back to the Commission.

Commissioner Galloway asked if predictive modeling and disease management were included in the bid from Washoe Medical Center, Inc.

Jim Jeppson, Risk Manager, explained the subgroup of the Wellness Task Force believed the Washoe Medical Center, Inc. proposal was the most comprehensive and definitive plan that met the specific components of the Request for Proposal (RFP). He said another factor in favor of the Washoe Medical Center, Inc. proposal was that they projected their costs out over the two following years. He said staff was asking for funding for the first year only to allow for testing of the program and to ensure that Washoe Medical Center, Inc. performed. He verified staff would be working closely with them to obtain measurement indicators to evaluate the success of the program.

Commissioner Galloway inquired if an agreement could be obtained that would give the County options to continue the program based on their projections. Mr. Jeppson stated the proposed agreement would do that. He said the agreement provided funding for the first year, but it was a three-year commitment based on the availability of funding from the Board.
Commissioner Sferrazza asked why there was such a difference in price between year one and year three of the contract. Mr. Jeppson noted the biggest jump occurred in the second year when chronic disease management should be in full operation for the targeted group of employees. Commissioner Sferrazza inquired if the County would be paying for 3/4 of a year the first year. Mr. Jeppson said that was correct. He explained the first year Washoe Medical Center, Inc. would provide 12 health fairs and 16 health fairs for years two and three. He commented the cost was reduced from $226,799 to $222,233. Mr. Jeppson confirmed the contract allowed for termination without cause with a 60-day notice. Commissioner Sferrazza asked how the money was paid out, and Mr. Jeppson replied the payments would be made on a monthly schedule.

Bids were received from the following vendors:

- Integrated Health and Wellness
- Saint Mary's Regional Medical Center
- Washoe Medical Center, Inc.

Upon recommendation of Joanne Ray, Human Resources Director, and Mr. Jeppson, through Ms. Singlaub, on motion by Commissioner Humke, seconded by Commissioner Weber, which motion duly carried, it was ordered that Bid No. 2530-06 for the Washoe County Employee Workplace Wellness Program for the Risk Management Department be awarded to Washoe Medical Center, Inc. in the amount of $222,233 for year one of a three-year contract for the period of July 1, 2006 to June 30, 2009. It was further ordered that Chairman Larkin be authorized to execute the same.

**RESOLUTION OF INTENT – GENERAL OBLIGATION (LIMITED TAX) SEWER BONDS – SERIES 2006**

In response to Commissioner Sferrazza, John Sherman, Finance Director, confirmed this would be fully funded outside the general fund.

Upon recommendation of Jerry McKnight, Finance and Customer Service Manager, through Steve Bradhurst, Water Resources Director, on motion by Commissioner Humke, seconded by Commissioner Galloway, which motion duly carried, it was ordered that the following resolution be adopted and Chairman Larkin be authorized to execute the same:

**RESOLUTION NO. 06-697**

A RESOLUTION OF INTENT, PROPOSING THE ISSUANCE OF, AND AUTHORIZING THE PUBLICATION OF NOTICES RELATING TO GENERAL OBLIGATION (LIMITED TAX) SEWER BONDS (ADDITIONALLY SECURED BY PLEDGED REVENUES) SERIES 2006 IN THE MAXIMUM PRINCIPAL AMOUNT OF $4,600,000 FOR THE
WHEREAS, Washoe County in the State of Nevada (the "County" and the "State", respectively) is a county duly organized and created under the provisions of Nevada Revised Statutes ("NRS") Section 243.340; and

WHEREAS, the County now owns and operates a municipal sewer system (the "Sewer System") and municipal water system (the "Water System"; collectively, the "Utility System"); and

WHEREAS, the Board of County Commissioners of the County (the "Board") has determined and hereby declares that the public interest, health and welfare necessitates acquiring, constructing, improving and equipping a sewer project within the County, including, but not limited to facilities pertaining to the Sewer System, including drainage and flood control projects as provided in NRS 244A.0505 (the "Project"); and

WHEREAS, pursuant to NRS 244A.011 through 244A.065, inclusive, and pursuant to chapter 350 of NRS and all laws amendatory thereof which includes the Local Government Securities Laws, being sections 350.500 through 350.720, NRS, and all laws amendatory thereof, the County is authorized to borrow money and to issue general obligation bonds of the County for the purpose of defraying wholly or in part the cost of the Project; and

WHEREAS, the Board proposes to issue up to $4,600,000 of general obligation bonds of the County (the "Bonds") for the Project; and

WHEREAS, such Bonds will be additionally secured by a pledge of net revenues of the Utility System of which the Project is a part (the "Pledged Revenues"); and

WHEREAS, the Board has determined that the "Pledged Revenues" will at least equal the amount required in each year for the payment of interest and principal on the Bonds; and

WHEREAS, the Board proposes to incur this general obligation without an election unless a petition signed by the requisite number of registered voters of the County who together with any corporate petitioners represent the requisite assessed value of the taxable property of the County is presented to the Board requiring the Board to submit to the qualified electors of the County for their approval or disapproval the following proposal:
GENERAL OBLIGATION SEWER BOND
ADDITIONALLY SECURED BY PLEDGED REVENUES PROPOSAL:

Shall the Board of County Commissioners of Washoe County in the State of Nevada, be authorized to incur a general obligation indebtedness on behalf of the County by the issuance at one time, or from time to time, of the County's general obligation (limited tax) sewer bonds, in one series or more, in the aggregate principal amount of not exceeding $4,600,000 for the purpose of financing, wholly or in part, the acquisition, construction, improvement and equipment of sewer projects, including, but not limited to, facilities pertaining to a County sewerage system, including drainage and flood control projects as provided in NRS 244A.0505, the bonds to mature commencing not later than five (5) years from the date or respective dates of the bonds and ending not later than thirty (30) years therefrom, to bear interest at a rate or rates not in excess of the statutory maximum rate in effect at the time bonds are sold, to be payable from general (ad valorem) taxes (except to the extent pledged revenues and other moneys are available therefor), and to be issued and sold at par, or below or above par, and otherwise in such manner, upon such terms and conditions, and with such other detail as the Board may determine, including at its option but not necessarily limited to provisions for the redemption of bonds prior to maturity without or with the payment of a premium?

(“Proposal”); and

WHEREAS, pursuant to NRS 350.011 to 350.0165, inclusive, the Board has submitted the Proposal to the Debt Management Commission of Washoe County (the "Commission"); and

WHEREAS, the Commission has heretofore approved the Proposal; and

WHEREAS, subsection 3 of NRS 350.020 in effect provides that if the payment of a general obligation of the County is additionally secured by a pledge of the net revenues of a project to be financed by its issue, and the governing body (i.e., the Board) determines that the pledged revenues will at least equal the amount required in each year for the payment of interest and principal, the County may incur the general obligation without an election, unless a petition requesting an election signed by 5% of
the registered voters in the County is presented to the Board within 90 days after the publication of a notice of the adoption of this resolution of intent; and

WHEREAS, Subsection 3 of NRS 350.020 also requires that a public hearing be held before the Bonds are issued.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS OF THE COUNTY OF WASHOE, NEVADA:

Section 1. This resolution shall be known as and may be cited by the short title "Resolution of Intent to Issue 2006 Sewer Bonds" (this "Resolution").

Section 2. All action heretofore taken (not inconsistent with the provisions of this Resolution) by the Board and the officers of the Board directed:

(a) Toward the Project to be financed by the Bonds; and
(b) Toward the issuance of the Bonds to defray, in part, the cost thereof, be, and the same hereby is, ratified, approved and confirmed.

Section 3. The County and the officers of the County be, and they hereby are, authorized and directed to publish a notice of the adoption of the resolution of intent relating to the Board's proposal to issue the Bonds in a newspaper of general circulation in the County, at least once, such notice to be published in substantially the form, which was placed on file with the Clerk.

Section 4. The County Clerk is authorized and directed to publish once, at least 10 days before the date of the public hearing described in the following notice, in a newspaper of general circulation in the County a notice of public hearing, at least as large as 5 inches high by 4 inches wide, in substantially the form, which was placed on file with the Clerk.

Section 5. A public hearing on the Bonds is hereby ordered to be held before the Board at the time, date and place specified in the notice set forth in Section 4 hereof, or as otherwise specified by the Director of Finance of the County.

Section 6. The Bonds, in the event no petition is filed during the period allowed by NRS 350.020(3), shall be authorized by an ordinance or ordinances to be effective after the expiration of the above specified period of publication.

Section 7. The authority to issue the Bonds designated in the Proposal set forth in the notice shall be deemed and considered a continuing authority to issue and deliver the Bonds designated in such Proposal at one time or from time to time, in one series or in more than one series, all as ordered by the Board. Neither the partial exercise of the authority so conferred nor the lapse of time shall be considered as exhausting or limiting the full authority so conferred.
Section 8. The officers of the Board be, and they hereby are, authorized and directed to take all action necessary or appropriate to effectuate the provisions of this resolution.

Section 9. All resolutions, or parts thereof, in conflict with the provisions of this resolution, are hereby repealed to the extent only of such inconsistency. This repealer shall not be constructed to revive any resolution, or part thereof, heretofore repealed.

Section 10. If any section, paragraph, clause or other provision of this resolution shall for any reason be held to be invalid or unenforceable, the invalidity or unenforceability of such section, paragraph, clause or other provision shall not affect any of the remaining provisions of this resolution.

Section 11. This resolution shall become effective and be in force immediately upon its adoption.

REPORTS/UPDATES COUNTY COMMISSION MEMBERS

Commissioner Humke announced the Airport Authority would host a breakfast on June 22, 2006 and the Reno-Sparks Convention and Visitors Authority (RSCVA) meeting would follow. He said the District Board of Health would meet on June 22nd. Commissioner Humke reported the Truckee River Flood Project Coordinating Committee would not meet in July; however, they would hold a "Celebrate the Living River" event on July 20th at Cottonwood Park in Sparks. He noted the public was invited to that event.

Chairman Larkin reported Dave Ziegler, the Director of the Regional Planning Governing Board, resigned last week. He commented Chairman Dwight Dortch asked Rosanna Coombes, Senior Planner, to be the Acting Director. He said he would be in attendance at the Airport Authority breakfast.

Commissioner Sferrazza announced he also would attend the Airport Authority breakfast and the RSCVA meeting. He would not be at the Truckee Meadows Water Authority (TMWA) meeting on June 21st, and he asked if anyone could attend in his place. County Manager Katy Singlaub confirmed a staff person would be in attendance.

COMMUNICATIONS AND REPORTS

The following communications and reports were received, duly noted, and ordered placed on file with the Clerk:
COMMUNICATIONS:

06-698  A.  Sun Valley General Improvement District’s Order of Annexation with Exhibit A, Legal Description Sun Valley General Improvement District Addition to the District Service Boundary Portion of APN 502-250-09; Exhibit B, Description of Lands for Ladera Ranch; and Exhibit C, Sun Valley General Improvement District Revised Boundaries.


06-700  C.  Departmental Budget Presentations for Fiscal Year 2006/2007 Budgets. (Presentations were placed in with the backup for May 15, 2006, Board of County Commission Meeting, No. 06-508, Hearing on Tentative Budget, as well as possible changes to adoption of Final Budget, for Fiscal Year 2006/07.)

REPORT – MONTHLY (APRIL 2006)

06-701  A.  Clerk of the Court

REPORTS – QUARTERLY (MARCH 2006)

06-702  A.  Washoe County School District

06-703  B.  Grand View Terrace General Improvement District

REPORTS – BUDGET – 2006/07

06-704  A.  City of Sparks – Final

06-705  B.  City of Sparks – Redevelopment Agency #1 and #2 – Final

06-706  C.  Gerlach General Improvement District – Final

06-707  D.  North Lake Tahoe Fire Protection District – Final

06-708  E.  Palomino General Improvement District – Final

06-709  F.  Reno-Sparks Convention and Visitors Authority – Final

06-710  G.  Sun Valley General Improvement District – Final

*  *  *  *  *  *  *  *  *  *  *
There being no further business to come before the Board, the meeting adjourned at 7:05 pm.

______________________________
ROBERT M. LARKIN, Chairman
Washoe County Commission

ATTEST:

__________________________
AMY HARVEY, County Clerk
and Clerk of the Board of
County Commissioners

Minutes Prepared by
Lori Rowe, Deputy County Clerk