PRESENT:
Steve Bradhurst, Chairman
Grant Sims, Vice Chairman
Joanne Bond, Commissioner
Mike Mouliot, Commissioner
Jim Shaw, Commissioner
Betty Lewis, Chief Deputy County Clerk
John MacIntyre, County Manager
Madelyn Shipman, Legal Counsel

The Board met in regular session in the Commission Chambers of the Washoe County Administration Complex, 1001 East Ninth Street, Reno, Nevada. Following the pledge of allegiance to the flag of our Country, the Clerk called the roll and the Board conducted the following business:

AGENDA
In accordance with the Open Meeting Law, on motion by Commissioner Bond, seconded by Commissioner Shaw, which motion duly carried, Chairman Bradhurst ordered that the agenda for the May 14, 1996, meeting be approved with the following amendments: Delete: 9.B., Designation of General Services Director as County's Energy Retrofit Coordinator and Authorization to advertise Request for Proposals to continue energy use retrofit program; 9.O.(1), Acquisition of well sites from Ward A. Peterson and North Valleys Development Company; 9.P.(1), Award of Bid No. 1919-96 for sewer line cleaning; and 13.H., Water Service Agreement with Sierra Pacific Power Company.

PUBLIC COMMENTS
Don Kornreich, Incline Village resident, stated that it is very important that the Lake Tahoe basin be able to raise significant funds in the future and suggested that if any of the Commissioners have any influence with anyone on the TRPA Governing Board, they get in touch with them.

MINUTES
On motion by Commissioner Shaw, seconded by Commissioner Mouliot, which motion duly carried, Chairman Bradhurst ordered that the minutes of the Board of County Commissioners' meetings of March 26, April 1 and April 9, 1996, be approved.

96-373 RECONSIDERATION OF STREET NAME CHANGE - A PORTION OF SKY CANYON DRIVE TO JUNIPER CANYON DRIVE & A PORTION OF SKY CANYON DRIVE TO WANDERING TREE WAY
County Manager John MacIntyre provided background information regarding the request to reconsider the renaming of Sky Canyon Drive that was approved by the Board on August 8, 1995 (see 95-740). He further stated that pursuant to questions raised at Caucus, the road is a private road and that, in accordance with an interlocal agreement between the County and the Cities of Reno and Sparks, the Regional Street Naming Committee does review the names of both public and private roads because of duplication of names and the issue of emergency response.

Bob Katai, Department of Development Review, stated that the written policy, which is part of the interlocal agreement, is that
when a street takes a bend of more than 45 and begins going in a new direction, it should get a new name; that Sky Canyon has several turns changing its direction, therefore violating the policy; that the portion of the road to the immediate east of Bacon Rind Road never was officially named Sky Canyon; and that when this was brought to staff's attention, the Street Naming Coordinator presented it to the Street Naming Committee, who made a recommendation that the Board approved last August. He then answered numerous questions of the Board regarding number of property owners affected, confusion regarding the address numbers, and the fact that there are exceptions to the policy such as McCarran Boulevard.

Commissioner Bond stated that she drove this road and that it meanders all over the place. She further stated that she does not understand how changing the name would solve the problems.

Jim Kelly, attorney representing Kurt Neuffer, the property owner who requested the reconsideration, provided historical information about the road, which started as a 66-foot wide easement, and stated that if Mr. Neuffer is allowed to put up the sign, for which he had to obtain a permit from the County, clearly indicating where Sky Canyon Drive starts, the confusion would be cleared up and the problems eliminated.

In response to Commissioner Bond, Mr. Neuffer stated that Sky Canyon Drive cannot be found from Bacon Rind Road because it's a hill; that no one can even tell there are homes back in there without going around the hill, especially considering that these are 40-acre parcels; and that the name Sky Canyon does show on maps. Mr. Neuffer further stated that all of the roads off of Bacon Rind, such as Curnow, Axe Handle, Winnemucca Ranch Road, etc., are the same in that they all wander all around.

Following further discussion, on motion by Commissioner Mouliot, seconded by Commissioner Sims, which motion duly carried, Chairman Bradhurst ordered that the previous action changing a portion of Sky Canyon Drive to Juniper Canyon Drive and another portion of Sky Canyon Drive to Wandering Tree Way be rescinded and that the road be named Sky Canyon Drive beginning at Bacon Rind Road.

96-374 STREET NAME CHANGE - AMIGO COURT TO BLUE SKIES COURT

Commissioner Bond asked whether residents are fully aware of the impacts of changing a street name and that they will have to change all their addresses, identification, etc. Commissioner Shaw asked if street name changes are discussed at the Citizen Advisory Board meetings. Bob Katai, Department of Development Review, advised that these are only considered by the Regional Street Naming Committee but that affected property owners are notified and their input is solicited prior to the committee making their recommendation to the Board.

Upon recommendation of Margaret Spicher, Regional Street Naming Committee, on motion by Commissioner Bond, seconded by Commissioner Shaw, which motion duly carried, Chairman Bradhurst ordered that the request to change the name of Amigo Court, located west off of Blue Skies Drive, to Blue Skies Court be approved effective November 14, 1996.

96-375 STREET NAME CHANGE - LA MAY LANE (2 words) to LAMAY LANE (1 word)

Upon recommendation of Margaret Spicher, Regional Street Naming Committee, on motion by Commissioner Sims, seconded by Commissioner Mouliot, which motion duly carried, Chairman Bradhurst ordered that the request to change the name of La May Lane (2 words), located west off of Dryden Drive, to Lamay Lane (1 word) be approved effective immediately.

96-376 STREET NAME REQUEST - VOLUNTEER COURT

Upon recommendation of Margaret Spicher, Regional Street Naming Committee, on motion by Commissioner Bond, seconded by Commissioner Shaw, which motion duly carried, Chairman Bradhurst ordered that the request to name an existing easement located north off of South Avenue as Volunteer Court be approved effective immediately.

96-377 STREET NAME CHANGE - SOUTH TIMBERLINE DRIVE TO BORDEAUX DRIVE
Upon recommendation of Margaret Spicher, Regional Street Naming Committee, on motion by Commissioner Sims, seconded by Commissioner Shaw, which motion duly carried, Chairman Bradhurst ordered that the request to change the name of South Timberline Drive, located south off of the Mount Rose Highway, to Bordeaux Drive be approved effective November 14, 1996.

96-378 DISCUSSION REGARDING ADVISORY QUESTION ON NOVEMBER BALLOT FOR INCLINE VILLAGE AND CRYSTAL BAY - 0.3% REAL ESTATE TRANSFER TAX

Don Kornreich, Incline Village resident, submitted a request that the Board approve placing an advisory question on the November, 1996, election ballot concerning whether or not Incline Village/Crystal Bay residents would approve an additional 0.3% real estate transfer tax for that area as a way of generating approximately $600,000 per year to be used in the Incline Village/Crystal Bay area only. He named several projects that the funds would be used for, such as defensible space buffer zones for fire protection, local transportation system to reduce the volume of traffic on the streets and improve air quality, erosion control projects to improve the water quality of Lake Tahoe, social programs for the residents, etc. Mr. Kornreich further stated that although he does not have much support from the business community, he believes the residents would support this tax.

Chairman Bradhurst advised that the Incline Village/Crystal Bay Citizen Advisory Board is not in favor of placing this tax question on the ballot and they feel other alternatives, such as a basin impact fee, should be investigated. He also expressed concern that this could become a very divisive issue.

In response to Board questions, Legal Counsel Madelyn Shipman advised that this ballot question would be purely advisory; and that if the voters do approve it, then the legislature, who must enact the tax, knows what the people want, but it doesn't guarantee anything.

Chairman Bradhurst stated that he does not have a problem with putting this on the ballot as an advisory question but cautioned that all of the information concerning what the total transfer tax would be if this were successful, that the money would be dedicated for specific uses in the Incline Village/Crystal Bay area only, and what the funds would be used for should be made available to the community.

Ms. Shipman advised that the wording of the ballot question, and the explanation of the question, must include specific detail as to exactly what the funds would be spent on, i.e., specific types of projects that would create a distinction between Incline Village/Crystal Bay and other parts of the County, which would make this a unique tax that the people wish to place on themselves. She further stated that the question must also include the pros and cons, or arguments for and against passage; and that the Board must approve the final wording of the question.

Chairman Bradhurst suggested that the focus of this could be to provide supplemental funds to help meet the air quality and water quality goals of the Tahoe Regional Planning Agency. Mr. Kornreich stated that he would have no objection to eliminating the social services programs and limiting the use of the funds to TRPA air quality and water quality issues.

Following further discussion, on motion by Commissioner Sims, seconded by Commissioner Bond, which motion duly carried, Chairman Bradhurst ordered that Mr. Kornreich meet with the District Attorney's office to formulate the specific language for the proposed ballot question and explanation showing exactly what the purpose and use of this proposed tax would be and the pros and cons of such a tax; and that the actual question be brought back to the Board for final approval and determination as to whether it should be put on the ballot. It was further ordered that the County Public Affairs office work with the Tahoe Bonanza newspaper so that this is thoroughly covered.

96-379 WASHOE VALLEY CITIZEN ADVISORY BOARD - REQUEST TO DISSOLVE EXISTING BOARD AND CREATE TWO NEW BOARDS

Commissioner Sims provided background information regarding the request of several Washoe Valley residents to dissolve the existing Washoe Valley Citizen Advisory Board and create separate boards for the east and west sides of the valley. Chairman Bradhurst noted the correspondence that has been received regarding this matter and called on those in the audience who wish to provide input.
Loretta Carr, CAB member, submitted a lengthy list of names of Washoe Valley residents who are in favor of splitting into two CAB's and cited several reasons why they feel there should be two CAB's, one for the east side of the valley and one for the west side.

Debbie Shetra, Franktown Road resident, speaking in support of separate CAB's, discussed the differences between the two sides of the valley stating that the development on the east side is fairly complete, whereas it is just beginning on the west side; that the issues and problems each side has to contend with are different; that the two sides are separate hydrological basins; and that because of all the different issues, the meetings have been so long that people won't come to them anymore or they leave before they are over. In response to Chairman Bradhurst, Ms. Shetra stated that they are not anti-growth and that they have always been for planned, quality growth. She further stated that they would also propose that each side appoint a liaison to attend the other's meeting; that they would exchange meeting agendas; and that for any issues affecting the whole valley, they would conduct joint meetings.

Jane Countryman, expressed concerns regarding the figures cited in a memorandum from Leslie Roylance, Department of Comprehensive Planning, on the costs of establishing a citizen advisory board stating that she did some checking on her own and believes the costs could be much less.

John Hester, Director, Department of Comprehensive Planning, responded to Board questions stating that for surface water drainage, the valley is one basin; and that for underground water, the Desert Research Institute report concluded that there was not a connection between the ground water moving across from the west side of the lake to the east side.

On motion by Commissioner Sims, seconded by Commissioner Mouliot, which motion duly carried, Chairman Bradhurst ordered that the District Attorney's office and Comprehensive Planning staff be directed to begin the process of dissolving the Washoe Valley Citizen Advisory Board and creating two separate CAB's, one for East Washoe Valley and one for West Washoe Valley and get back to the Board as soon as possible with the actions necessary to effect the changes. Staff was further directed as follows: that each CAB have five members, with the current members from each side remaining on their respective new Board until their terms are up; and that a list be developed of issues that would be pertinent to both sides and provisions be established to conduct joint meetings between the two boards whenever those items are being considered.

96-380 RESOLUTION - SALE OF COUNTY PROPERTY AND QUITCLAIM DEED - PIONEER DRIVE - PUBLIC WORKS

7:00 p.m. This was the time set in a Resolution Of Intent To Sell County Property published in the Reno Gazette-Journal on April 15, 22 and 29, 1996, to open and declare all sealed bids received, call for oral bids, and accept highest bid concerning the sale of Assessor's Parcel No. 023-592-27, a 4.75-acre parcel on Pioneer Drive near Moana Lane. Proof was made that due and legal Notice had been given.

James Gale, Public Works Department, provided background information advising that the Board received an offer to purchase this property from Simon Abittan for the appraised value of $130,000; that a Notice of Intent to Sell County Property was then posted and published in accordance with NRS 244.282; and that no additional offers to purchase this parcel have been received.

Chairman Bradhurst opened the public hearing by asking if there was anyone present who wished to submit an oral bid. There being no response, the public hearing was closed.

Upon recommendation of Mr. Gale, through Dave Roundtree, Acting Public Works Director, on motion by Commissioner Bond, seconded by Commissioner Shaw, which motion duly carried, it was ordered that the following resolution accepting the offer be adopted and Chairman Bradhurst be authorized to execute on behalf of Washoe County:

RESOLUTION

SALE OF COUNTY PROPERTY
WHEREAS, Washoe County is the owner of a 4.75 acre parcel located on the West side of Pioneer Drive, 225 feet southwest of Moana Lane in southwest Reno, Washoe County, Nevada within Section 26, T.19N., R.19E., M.D.B.&M., Assessor's Parcel Number 023-592-27; and

WHEREAS, pursuant to NRS 244.281 the County of Washoe has the power to sell the subject property; and

WHEREAS, Washoe County has received an offer to purchase the property for $130,000.00; and

WHEREAS, pursuant to NRS 244.282 the Board of County Commissioners of Washoe County held a public hearing on May 14, 1996 to receive other bids; and

WHEREAS, at the public hearing held on May 14, 1996, no other bids were received, the highest and best offer was the $130,000.00;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED AND ORDERED BY THE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS OF WASHOE COUNTY that Washoe County accepts the bid of $130,000.00 from Simon Abittan to purchase Assessor's Parcel Number 023-592-27 and hereby directs the Chairman to execute a deed transferring said property to Simon Abittan.

* * * * * * * * * *

It was further ordered that Chairman Bradhurst be authorized to execute a quitclaim deed to be delivered to the purchaser upon performance and compliance by the purchaser with all terms of the sale.

96-381 RESOLUTION - SALE OF COUNTY PROPERTY AND QUITCLAIM DEED - GALENA CREEK - PUBLIC WORKS

7:00 p.m. This was the time set in a Resolution Of Intent To Sell County Property published in the Reno Gazette-Journal on April 15, 22 and 29, 1996, to open and declare all sealed bids received, call for oral bids, and accept highest bid concerning the sale of Assessor's Parcel No. 047-010-06, a 101.41-acre parcel located on the Mt. Rose Highway east of Galena Creek Park. Proof was made that due and legal Notice had been given.

James Gale, Public Works Department, provided background information stating that the Board received an offer to purchase this property from A.K.E.R. LLC for the appraised value of $1,250,000.00; and that a Notice of Intent to Sell County Property was then posted and published in accordance with NRS 244.282. Mr. Gale advised that he has received one additional sealed bid, which he presented to Chairman Bradhurst.

The Chairman then requested the County Manager to open and review that bid, which was from John H. Frank and/or Nominee. Chairman Bradhurst declared all sealed bids received and opened the public hearing by asking if there was anyone present who wished to submit an oral bid.

There being no response, the public hearing was closed.

Noting that both bids included different terms and conditions, Chairman Bradhurst requested that Mr. Gale go to his office and do a comparison analysis of the two offers for the Board's consideration later in the meeting.

* * * * * * * * * *

When Mr. Gale returned to the meeting, he stated that he, Acting Public Works Director Dave Roundtree, and the County's Realtor Curry Jameson have analyzed the two offers and suggested that the Board may wish to reopen the public hearing in case A.K.E.R. LLC desires to submit a counter offer.

Curry Jameson, President of Realty Executives of Northern Nevada, reviewed each bid line-by-line and explained the differences...
between the two, noting that Frank's bid is $100,000 more than the bid from A.K.E.R. LLC; that Frank's earnest money deposit is greater; and that both offers are based on due diligence periods regarding their ability to develop a project which is a major difference because A.K.E.R. is seeking 90 days whereas Mr. Frank's due diligence period is 30 days, and the shorter period of time would be better for the County.

Legal Counsel Madelyn Shipman stated that in the Frank bid, the second paragraph under additional terms and conditions, states "Upon acceptance of letter of intent to develop Washoe County to extend existing zoning one year past June 30, 1997." She advised that the Board does not have the authority to grant that. Mr. Jameson stated that in talking with the Department of Development Review, he understood if a tentative map was filed prior to that date, the zoning would be extended. Ms. Shipman stated it would be correct that if a tentative map was filed prior to July, 1997, there would be an automatic right to ultimately have a final map under that zoning. She emphasized, however, that that is not what this condition says and the Board cannot agree to do something they have no authority to do.

In response to Chairman Bradhurst, John Frank stated that during the due diligence period, they hope to establish whether they can accept the new zoning to make the property buildable for their needs. The Chairman advised him that he would have to submit an application by June 30, 1997; and that there is no guarantee the request would be approved.

Ed Meager, one of the principals of A.K.E.R. LLC, stated their offer was discussed at the April 9, 1996, meeting, which would make it a matter of public record; and that if Mr. Frank used the record of that meeting to prepare his bid, then he feels A.K.E.R. should be given consideration for that fact.

Commissioner Shaw asked whether Mr. Meager was aware that he could make a counter offer. Mr. Jameson pointed out that A.K.E.R. LLC had no way of knowing what the new bid was until just a short time ago. Ms. Shipman reviewed the Statutes, noted that when the Chairman called for oral bids, the amount of Mr. Frank's bid had not been disclosed, and suggested that the public hearing be reopened for submission of oral bids.

On motion by Commissioner Mouliot, seconded by Commissioner Bond, which motion duly carried, it was ordered that the public hearing be reopened. Chairman Bradhurst requested the County Manager read the bid amount from John H. Frank and/or Nominee, which he did. The Chairman then asked if there was anyone in the Chambers who wished to submit an oral bid. There was no response and Chairman Bradhurst closed the public hearing regarding the sale of subject property.

On motion by Commissioner Mouliot, seconded by Commissioner Bond, which motion duly carried, it was ordered that the offer from John H. Frank and/or Nominee to purchase Assessor's Parcel No. 047-010-06 be accepted, subject to the elimination of the condition proposed by the bidder regarding extension of the zoning on subject property, and Chairman Bradhurst be authorized to execute the land purchase agreement on behalf of Washoe County.

96-382 ORDINANCE NO. 951, BILL NO. 1126 - AMENDING WCC CHAPTER 110 (DEVELOPMENT CODE) - POSTING REQUIREMENTS FOR VARIANCES AND SPECIAL USE PERMITS

7:00 p.m. This was the time set in a Notice of Public Hearing published in the Reno Gazette-Journal on May 3, 1996, to consider second reading and adoption of Bill No. 1126. Proof was made that due and legal Notice had been given.

Bob Katai, Department of Development Review, explained that this Development Code amendment would eliminate the posting requirements for special use permits and variances.

The Chairman opened the public hearing by calling on anyone wishing to speak for or against adoption of said Ordinance. There being no response, the hearing was closed.

On motion by Commissioner Bond, seconded by Commissioner Shaw, which motion duly carried, Chairman Bradhurst ordered that Ordinance No. 951, Bill No. 1126, entitled, "AN ORDINANCE AMENDING THE WASHOE COUNTY CODE TO DELETE THE POSTING REQUIREMENTS STIPULATED IN SECTIONS 110.804.20(c) AND 110.810.25(c) OF THE DEVELOPMENT CODE," be approved, adopted and published in accordance
with NRS 244.100.

96-383 ORDINANCE NO. 952, BILL NO. 1127 - AMENDING WCC CHAPTER 110 (DEVELOPMENT CODE) - BOUNDARY LINE ADJUSTMENTS

7:00 p.m. This was the time set in a Notice of Public Hearing published in the Reno Gazette-Journal on May 3, 1996, to consider second reading and adoption of Bill No. 1127. Proof was made that due and legal Notice had been given.

Bob Katai, Department of Development Review, stated that this Development Code amendment was proposed to create a provision for using the boundary line adjustment procedures to offer relief to people that have remnant parcels created by some type of government action, such as construction of a highway.

The Chairman opened the public hearing by calling on anyone wishing to speak for or against adoption of said Ordinance. There being no response, the hearing was closed.

On motion by Commissioner Bond, seconded by Commissioner Shaw, which motion duly carried, Chairman Bradhurst ordered that Ordinance No. 952, Bill No. 1127, entitled, "AN ORDINANCE AMENDING THE WASHOE COUNTY CODE TO ADD PROVISIONS TO THE DEVELOPMENT CODE REGARDING RELOCATION OF SUBSTANDARD LOTS THROUGH THE BOUNDARY LINE ADJUSTMENT PROCEDURE," be approved, adopted and published in accordance with NRS 244.100.

96-384 COMPREHENSIVE PLAN AMENDMENT CASE NO. CPA95-NV-02-A - SIERRA VISTA/BERGENDAHL - APPEAL

7:00 p.m. This was the time set for consideration (continued from March 12, 1996) of an appeal filed by the applicant, Friske-Jones, seeking to reverse the Washoe County Planning Commission action to deny an amendment to the North Valleys Area Plan, being a part of the Washoe County Comprehensive Plan to redesignate portions of Assessor's Parcel Numbers 81-110-04, -05, -06 and -07 from General Rural (GR) to Low Density Suburban (LDS: max of 1du/1 ac) and Open Space. The amendment request would allow a net change in residential development potential of up to 334 new residential dwelling units. The parcels are located approximately one-half to one mile west of U.S. 395 North and are adjacent to the California State Line. The parcels are approximately one mile south of the Bordertown exit off of U.S. 395 North and are divided between the Cold Springs Hydrographic Basin and the Long Valley Hydrographic Basin, in the E/2, Section 31, and the W/2, Section 32, T21N, R18E, MDB&M and are designated as "Rural Reserve" (RR: max of 1du/40 ac) on the Truckee Meadows Regional Plan land use diagram, therefore a Regional Plan Amendment would also be necessary.

County Manager John MacIntyre noted that the applicants, through their attorney Ross de Lipkau, have requested an additional approximate 10-week continuance and that correspondence has also been received from Mark Lenz, attorney for an adjacent property owner.

Dean Diederich, Department of Comprehensive Planning, stated that if the Board desires to grant the continuance, staff will not make a full presentation at this time and suggested that the public hearing be opened in any event. Commissioner Bond asked Mr. Diederich if he feels the issues can be resolved within the 60-day timeframe. Mr. Diederich stated that he believes the applicants' attorney feels that it will provide them an opportunity to explore the issues. He further stated that he would like to point out that the Planning Commission recommendation touches on numerous issues, not just water quantity. Noting that the appeal letter only discusses the issue of water quantity, Commissioner Sims asked if that is the only issue the Board can consider, as he felt that sewer and water quality were also major concerns of the Planning Commission. Mr. Diederich advised that in order for the Board to reverse the Planning Commission decision, all of the issues would have to be considered.

Legal Counsel Madelyn Shipman advised that according to the Code, the Board can only consider what has been presented on appeal; that if the applicant only addresses one issue when there are other bases for the recommendation of denial, the appeal would be moot; and that if the applicant is requesting that the Planning Commission's recommendation be overturned, the Board will have to address all of the issues because they will have to be able to make all of the necessary findings in order to overturn the Planning Commission.
Chairman Bradhurst opened the public hearing by calling on anyone wishing to speak concerning this item.

Ross de Lipkau, representing applicant, stated that he is requesting a continuance because pulling together all of the hydrological reports and data is a very extensive and time-consuming project. He further stated that it was their understanding that water quantity was the only unresolved issue at the Planning Commission, but that they will address all of the issues if that is the Board’s desire. Chairman Bradhurst drew Mr. de Lipkau’s attention to the staff report detailing the Planning Commission’s actions and findings upon which their denial is based, reading the first finding regarding the use of septic systems instead of sanitary sewer service and noting the findings concerning water availability and land use designations.

Brad Jones, President, Priske-Jones, stated that he was present at the Planning Commission meeting; that, during a very lengthy hearing of which they have a copy of the tape, the various issues were dismissed; that he recalls Mr. Diederich agreeing that the septic tank system with a denitrification program they are proposing would satisfy the concern on sewer; and that as the Planning Commission came to its final decision, the only issue they addressed was whether or not there is sufficient water quantity in the Long Valley and Cold Springs basins to support their water rights. Mr. Jones stated that they appealed the decision based on their understanding that water quantity was the only unresolved issue, but, if the Board desires, they will address all the issues. He further stated that the task is challenging as they are assembling data from the State Engineer, the USGS studies, and other sources going back to 1948, and bringing it current to convince the Board that there is adequate water quantity; and that what he is requesting is a chance to prove the water is there and to have a full, fair hearing before the County Commissioners.

Mr. Jones stated there is another matter that he wants to address which is that there has been some concern that their application was not in good faith as some people feel it was designed to enhance the value of the property in the hopes that if the Alturas power line came through and in the event of a condemnation to take that easement, the property would have a higher value. He explained that the Bergendahl Family are his business partners and have been for many years; that discussions about developing this property began two years ago; that he had no knowledge of this power line; that how the power line will impact the project is a concern for them; and that all he wants to do is build a quality project.

Commissioner Mouliot asked Mr. Jones if he has seen the report of the Planning Commission findings. Mr. Jones responded that he has. Commissioner Mouliot stated that he believes the septic system and other issues have to be addressed as well as the water issues; and that the applicant is trying to tell the Board that the Planning Commission was not concerned about the other issues, which is not what the report states. Mr. Jones contended that the findings were prepared after the fact; that the meeting he attended and tapes he has listened to indicate that the sewage they proposed was acceptable to the Planning Commission; that the denitrification system they are proposing has already been accepted and used in the County; and that he will be glad to bring all of that information to this Board as well.

Legal Counsel Shipman stated that the Planning Commission adopted the findings as they were set forth in the staff report that was presented to the Planning Commission which included all of those findings; and that the findings were not made up after the fact.

Mr. Jones reiterated that from the tapes of the Planning Commission meeting, he genuinely understood the determinative issue to be water quantity. He stated that he is prepared to address all of the other issues as well, but not at this time; and that he is seeking a chance to present the project on its merits.

Mark Lenz, attorney representing Josephine Sweeney who owns property adjacent to this project, stated that at the March 12, 1996, County Commission meeting the applicant acknowledged that there were other issues besides water quantity that needed to be addressed. He further stated that sewage is an issue that needs to be addressed; that the zoning change is a very serious issue that needs to be addressed; and that the applicant needs to work with the North Valley CAB and adjacent property owners.

Commissioner Bond stated that she would go along with one more extension of time, but no more. She then moved, which motion was seconded by Commissioner Sims and, upon call for the vote, duly carried, that the public hearing regarding Comprehensive Plan Amendment Case No. CPA95-NV-02-A be continued to August 13, 1996, and it was so ordered.
7:00 p.m. This was the time set in a Notice of Public Hearing mailed by certified mail to affected property owners on May 2, 1996, and published in the Reno Gazette-Journal on May 3, 1996, to consider the recommendation of the Washoe County Planning Commission to grant conditional approval of Abandonment Case No. AB2-3-96 for Harold and Naomi Mitchell to abandon 167.5 feet of a 30 foot wide drainage easement to facilitate a residential development on property located at 4990 Prosser Way, a 3.153-acre parcel, designated Medium Density Suburban (MDS) in the Sun Valley Area Plan and situated in a portion of Section 30, T20N, R20E, MDM, Washoe County, Nevada. Proof was made that due and legal Notice had been given.

Bob Katai, Department of Development Review, provided background information and answered questions of the Board.

Chairman Bradhurst opened the public hearing by calling on anyone wishing to speak regarding this abandonment. There being no response, the hearing was closed.

It being the consensus of the Board that NRS 278.840 is being complied with and that the abandonment of the drainage easement described in the aforesaid Notice appears to be in the best interest of the public and that no person would be materially injured thereby, on motion by Commissioner Bond, seconded by Commissioner Mouliot, which motion duly carried, Chairman Bradhurst ordered that Abandonment Case No. AB2-3-96 be approved subject to the following conditions:

1. Prior to the recordation of the Order of Abandonment, the applicant shall submit legal descriptions to the County Engineer for review and approval. The legal descriptions shall be prepared by a registered professional and shall be for the area of abandonment.

2. The applicant shall comply with all conditions necessary to effect the Order of Abandonment within two years from the date of the action by the Board of County Commissioners or this conditional abandonment will be null and void.

96-386 ABANDONMENT CASE NO. AB2-4-96 - DALYNNE MOORE AND DR. GERALD LENT - (APN: 041-252-05 & -06)

7:00 p.m. This was the time set in a Notice of Public Hearing mailed by certified mail to affected property owners on May 2, 1996, and published in the Reno Gazette-Journal on May 3, 1996, to consider the recommendation of the Washoe County Planning Commission to grant conditional approval of Abandonment Case No. AB2-4-96 for Dalynne Moore and Dr. Gerald Lent to abandon a 30 foot wide access easement running along the property lines between properties located at 2320 and 2185 Kinney Lane, Lot 1 and 2, Block C, Cottonwood Estates to facilitate the construction of a fence. The 6.2565 and 6.9977 acre parcels are designated High Density Rural (HDR) in the Southwest Truckee Meadows Area Plan and situated in a portion of Section 2, T18N, R19E, MDM, Washoe County, Nevada. Proof was made that due and legal Notice had been given.

Bob Katai, Department of Development Review, provided background information and answered questions of the Board.

Chairman Bradhurst opened the public hearing by calling on anyone wishing to speak regarding this abandonment. There being no response, the hearing was closed.

It being the consensus of the Board that NRS 278.840 is being complied with and that the abandonment of the access easement between the properties described in the aforesaid Notice appears to be in the best interest of the public and that no person would be materially injured thereby, on motion by Commissioner Sims, seconded by Commissioner Shaw, which motion duly carried, Chairman Bradhurst ordered that Abandonment Case No. AB2-4-96 be approved subject to the following conditions:

1. Prior to the recordation of the Resolution and Order of Abandonment, the applicant shall submit legal descriptions to the County Engineer for review and approval. The legal descriptions shall be prepared by a registered professional and shall be for the area of abandonment and the portion of the abandonment reverting to each abutting property owner.

2. The applicant shall comply with all conditions necessary to effect the Resolution and Order of Abandonment within two years from the date of the action by the Board of County Commissioners or this conditional abandonment will be null and void.
7:00 p.m. This was the time set in a Notice of Public Hearing mailed by certified mail to affected property owners on May 2, 1996, and published in the Reno Gazette-Journal on May 3, 1996, to consider the recommendation of the Washoe County Planning Commission to conditionally approve Abandonment Case No. AB2-5-96 for Lifestyle Homes to abandon 7,995.3 square feet of an existing right-of-way to facilitate a single family residential development on property located south of 7th Avenue and east of Klondike Drive, involving a 1.897-acre parcel (Tassle Drive), designated Medium Density Suburban (MDS) in the Sun Valley Area Plan and situated in a portion of Section 17, T20N, R20E, MDM, Washoe County, Nevada. Proof was made that due and legal Notice had been given.

Bob Katai, Department of Development Review, provided background information and answered questions of the Board.

Chairman Bradhurst opened the public hearing by calling on anyone wishing to speak regarding this abandonment. There being no response, the hearing was closed.

It being the consensus of the Board that NRS 278.840 is being complied with and that the abandonment of the right-of-way described in the aforesaid Notice appears to be in the best interest of the public and that no person would be materially injured thereby, on motion by Commissioner Shaw, seconded by Commissioner Bond, which motion duly carried, Chairman Bradhurst ordered that Abandonment Case No. AB2-5-96 be approved subject to the following conditions:

1. Prior to the recordation of the Order of Abandonment, the applicant shall submit legal descriptions to the County Engineer for review and approval. The legal descriptions shall be prepared by a registered professional and shall be for the area of abandonment, the portion of the abandonment reverting to each abutting property owner, and any required replacement easements.

2. In accordance with NRS 278, the property shall first be offered to Lot 7, and if refused, then to the adjacent property owner. All documentation of offer and refusal shall be provided to the County Engineer for review.

3. Retention of all public utility easements or relocation of all public utility easements to the satisfaction of and at no expense to Washoe County or the existing public utilities which originally accepted and approved said easements as well as any other public utilities now in existence which currently utilize said easements. Said relocations shall be evidenced by the recordation of properly executed documents reflecting the grant of the new easements to said public utilities and the relinquishment by said public utilities of their former easements.

4. The applicant shall comply with all conditions necessary to effect the Order of Abandonment within two years from the date of the action by the Board of County Commissioners or this conditional abandonment will be null and void.

96-388 TRANSFER OF APPROPRIATIONS - WORKERS' COMPENSATION ACCOUNTS TO SHERIFF AND HEALTH - FINANCE DIVISION

Jerry McKnight, Budget Coordinator, was present and answered questions of the Board regarding the worker's compensation claims experience and the transfer of appropriation authority from within the various departments' worker's compensation accounts to provide additional overtime expenditure authority in the Sheriff's Department and a transfer of cash to the Health Fund.

Sheriff Richard Kirkland noted that the employees have done an outstanding job of reducing the claims; that in his department the rate of passing the physical exams is up over 50 percent; and that the employees have agreed to participate in a work-out program.

On motion by Commissioner Shaw, seconded by Commissioner Bond, which motion duly carried, Chairman Bradhurst ordered that the following transfer of appropriations within the General Fund and to the Health Fund be approved:

Decrease the following Workers' Compensation Accounts:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fund</th>
<th>Org.</th>
<th>Account</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>001</td>
<td>1001</td>
<td>7046</td>
<td>Commissioners</td>
<td>$156.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Code</td>
<td>Position</td>
<td>Description</td>
<td>Hours</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>001</td>
<td>1011</td>
<td>Manager</td>
<td>306.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>001</td>
<td>1015</td>
<td>Collections</td>
<td>267.75</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>001</td>
<td>1021</td>
<td>Assessor</td>
<td>985.25</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>001</td>
<td>1022</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>1,970.50</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>001</td>
<td>1023</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>1,689.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>001</td>
<td>1024</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>1,126.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>001</td>
<td>1025</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>563.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>001</td>
<td>1026</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>3,941.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>001</td>
<td>1027</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>703.75</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>001</td>
<td>1031</td>
<td>Finance</td>
<td>176.75</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>001</td>
<td>1041</td>
<td>Clerk</td>
<td>1,563.25</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>001</td>
<td>1051</td>
<td>Comptroller</td>
<td>792.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>001</td>
<td>1061</td>
<td>District Attorney</td>
<td>3,696.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>001</td>
<td>1067</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>577.50</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>001</td>
<td>1081</td>
<td>MIS</td>
<td>1,268.75</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>001</td>
<td>1091</td>
<td>Personnel</td>
<td>450.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>001</td>
<td>1101</td>
<td>Purchasing</td>
<td>2,299.50</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>001</td>
<td>1102</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>657.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>001</td>
<td>1111</td>
<td>Recorder</td>
<td>744.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>001</td>
<td>1121</td>
<td>Registrar of Voters</td>
<td>2,199.25</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>001</td>
<td>1131</td>
<td>Treasurer</td>
<td>726.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>001</td>
<td>1141</td>
<td>Development Review</td>
<td>536.25</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>001</td>
<td>1142</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>107.25</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>001</td>
<td>1210</td>
<td>District Court</td>
<td>1,438.50</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>001</td>
<td>1212</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>719.25</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>001</td>
<td>1215</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>308.25</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>001</td>
<td>1231</td>
<td>Law Library</td>
<td>232.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>001</td>
<td>1241</td>
<td>Public Defender</td>
<td>6,564.50</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>001</td>
<td>1251</td>
<td>Justice Court - Incline</td>
<td>227.25</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>001</td>
<td>1252</td>
<td>Justice Court - Gerlach</td>
<td>25.25</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>001</td>
<td>1253</td>
<td>Justice Court - Reno</td>
<td>4,338.75</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>001</td>
<td>1254</td>
<td>Justice Court - Sparks</td>
<td>404.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>001</td>
<td>1255</td>
<td>Justice Court - Verdi</td>
<td>75.75</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>001</td>
<td>1257</td>
<td>Justice Court - Wadsworth</td>
<td>50.50</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>001</td>
<td>1261</td>
<td>Constable - Incline</td>
<td>50.50</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>001</td>
<td>1262</td>
<td>Constable - Gerlach</td>
<td>50.50</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>001</td>
<td>1263</td>
<td>Constable - Reno</td>
<td>927.50</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>001</td>
<td>1264</td>
<td>Constable - Sparks</td>
<td>685.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Code</td>
<td>Description</td>
<td>Amount</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>------------------------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>001</td>
<td>Constable - Verdi</td>
<td>25.25</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>001</td>
<td>Constable - Wadsworth</td>
<td>25.25</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>001</td>
<td>Juvenile Probation</td>
<td>3,900.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>001</td>
<td>Court Services</td>
<td>4,575.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>001</td>
<td>McGee Center</td>
<td>787.75</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>001</td>
<td>Library</td>
<td>6,279.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>001</td>
<td>Parks</td>
<td>373.75</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>001</td>
<td>Sheriff</td>
<td>5,813.50</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>001</td>
<td>Coroner</td>
<td>3,123.75</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>001</td>
<td>Building Inspector</td>
<td>2,964.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>001</td>
<td>Animal Control</td>
<td>384.50</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>001</td>
<td>Public Administrator</td>
<td>1,538.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>001</td>
<td>General Works</td>
<td>525.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>001</td>
<td>General Services</td>
<td>576.75</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>001</td>
<td>Engineering</td>
<td>1,989.75</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>001</td>
<td>Roads</td>
<td>1,213.75</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>001</td>
<td>Social Services</td>
<td>733.50</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>001</td>
<td></td>
<td>366.75</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Increase the following Accounts:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Account</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Decrease</th>
<th>Increase</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>001 1885</td>
<td>Transfer to Health Fund</td>
<td></td>
<td>$121,980.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>001 15090</td>
<td>Sheriff - Detention Center Overtime</td>
<td></td>
<td>$213,853.75</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

96-389 CONTINGENCY TRANSFER - HEALTH FUND

Dave Rice, District Health Officer, discussed the budget shortfall in the Health Department and explained that the department did not experience the vacancies they had expected, which would have made a considerable difference in their funding needs.

Lisa Gianoli, Finance Division, further stated that the Health Department also carried forward over a $100,000 in encumbrances; and that 85 percent of the department is personnel and without vacancies, there are no savings. In response to Commissioner Bond, Ms. Gianoli confirmed that for grant-funded positions, when the grants expire, the positions are also eliminated.

On motion by Commissioner Shaw, seconded by Commissioner Mouliot, which motion duly carried, Chairman Bradhurst ordered that the following transfer of funds to the Health Fund be approved and that the Comptroller be directed to make the necessary entries:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Account Number</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Decrease</th>
<th>Increase</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>001-1890-3728</td>
<td>Contingency</td>
<td>$235,000.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>002-999-6901</td>
<td>Transfers In</td>
<td></td>
<td>$235,000.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

96-390 BUDGET AMENDMENT - FY 1995/96 AIR QUALITY MANAGEMENT PROGRAM - HEALTH

Upon recommendation of Dave Rice, District Health Officer, on motion by Commissioner Bond, seconded by Commissioner Shaw, which motion duly carried, Chairman Bradhurst ordered that an amendment to the District Health Department Fiscal Year 1995/96 Air Quality Management Program budget in the amount of $125,714 in federal funds be approved and the following account transactions be authorized:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Account Number</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$125,714</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### 96-391 BUDGET AMENDMENT - FY 1995/96 MATERNAL AND CHILD HEALTH PROGRAM - HEALTH

Upon recommendation of Dave Rice, District Health Officer, on motion by Commissioner Bond, seconded by Commissioner Shaw, which motion duly carried, Chairman Bradhurst ordered that an amendment to the District Health Department Fiscal Year 1995/96 Maternal and Child Health Program budget in the amount of $1,795 in donations be approved and the following account transactions be authorized:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Account Number</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>002-1700-1705G-5802</td>
<td>Donations</td>
<td>$1,795.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>002-1700-1705G-7815</td>
<td>Medical Equipment</td>
<td>$1,795.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 96-392 AMENDMENT ONE - DAVIS CREEK PARK PAVING DESIGN CONTRACT - PARKS DEPARTMENT

Upon recommendation of Gene Sullivan, Parks and Recreation Director, on motion by Commissioner Bond, seconded by Commissioner Shaw, which motion duly carried, it was ordered that Amendment One to the agreement for Design and Consultant Services at Davis Creek Park for Paving and Overlay between Washoe County and Pyramid Engineers, in the amount of $3,452.00, be approved and Chairman Bradhurst authorized to execute.

### 96-393 AGREEMENT - D.C. WEST - SIERRA SAGE GOLF COURSE - PARKS DEPARTMENT

Upon recommendation of Gene Sullivan, Parks and Recreation Director, on motion by Commissioner Bond, seconded by Commissioner Shaw, which motion duly carried, it was ordered that an agreement between Washoe County and D.C. West concerning preparation of construction plans and specifications for the maintenance shop at Sierra Sage Golf Course (Part One), in the amount of $28,800 be approved and Chairman Bradhurst authorized to execute. It was further ordered that Part Two of said agreement concerning preparation of construction plans and specifications for renovation of Sierra Sage Golf Course, in the amount of $75,600, be approved conditional upon approval of the 1996/97 budget.

### 96-394 INTERLOCAL AGREEMENT - NEVADA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION & CITY OF RENO - TRUCKEE RIVER BICYCLE FACILITY - PARKS DEPARTMENT

Upon recommendation of Gene Sullivan, Parks and Recreation Director, on motion by Commissioner Bond, seconded by Commissioner Shaw, which motion duly carried, it was ordered that the "Truckee River Bicycle Facility" Interlocal Cooperative Agreement between Washoe County and the State of Nevada Department of Transportation and the City of Reno, for the purpose of coordinating design and construction of a bicycle facility within the City and County right-of-way between Ambrose Park and Mayberry Park, be approved, contingent upon approval of the 1996/97 budget, and Chairman Bradhurst authorized to execute.

### 96-395 AMENDMENTS TO AGREEMENTS - GOLF PROFESSIONAL SERVICES - WASHOE AND SIERRA SAGE GOLF COURSES
Sheriff Kirkland also stated that he is agreeing to eliminate one Sergeant position, but did request that this be accomplished is very successful and will be even better when the new courthouse is opened.

The Sheriff then advised that with the recent schedule changes and other hard work by his staff and Mr. Liebert, overtime spending is down right now; that the "boot-camp" program is now producing a profit in the cafeteria; and that the video arraignment program that he believes that overflow could start occurring within the next couple of weeks.

Consultant Dennis Liebert stressed that full staffing of the detention facility is critical stating that over the last three years an average of 23 positions were not filled and overtime was expended to fill those 23 positions on an on-going basis; and stated that he firmly believes that implementing the shift schedule changes, getting the additional staff on board, and keeping the vacancies filled will reduce the overtime usage and meet the needs for today. Mr. Liebert further stated that the staffing he is proposing will work for a jail population of 800 to 1,000; that he is not advocating that this jail will house 1,000 inmates; that an efficient jail operates at about 80 percent capacity because of allowing room for classification, discipline problems, females, etc.; and that this jail was only designed for 56 inmates per housing unit. He also demonstrated how efficiency is reduced when the number of inmates in a housing pod goes beyond 74 requiring a second deputy in the unit. In response to Commissioner Sims, Mr. Liebert stated that if there is no population cap placed on the jail and the tent and Housing Unit 9A have to be staffed with overtime, the projected costs of $200,000 to $300,000 would be just the beginning price the County would have to pay for not having a cap. He then answered several questions of the Board and positive production programs were discussed.

Sheriff Richard Kirkland submitted a briefing paper with his comments on each of Mr. Liebert's recommendations and stated that, generally speaking, he feels Mr. Liebert's report is an excellent roadmap for his department to follow and he is in agreement with most of the recommendations, but cautioned that everyone must remember that the figures are predicated on a number of things happening that have not happened. He stated that those are: 1) that the department will be fully staffed on July 1, which will not happen because they are just starting the hiring process and because the new officers will not be fully trained for several months; and 2) that the entire facility will be double-bunked when only about 30 percent is presently double-bunked. The Sheriff stated that it is also predicated on the 80 percent rule; that if the maximum inmate population right now is 1,042, 80 percent of that is approximately 840; and that the population is already over that with the summertime peak coming up. He further stated that unless there is some kind of agreement regarding a population cap with Reno, Sparks, the Nevada Highway Patrol, and the 27 other agencies that use the facility, he will have to start putting inmates in Unit 9A, which will have to be staffed with overtime; and that he believes that overflow could start occurring within the next couple of weeks.

The Sheriff then advised that with the recent schedule changes and other hard work by his staff and Mr. Liebert, overtime spending is down right now; that the "boot-camp" program is now producing a profit in the cafeteria; and that the video arraignment program is very successful and will be even better when the new courthouse is opened.

Sheriff Kirkland also stated that he is agreeing to eliminate one Sergeant position, but did request that this be accomplished...
through attrition and no one be laid off as he thinks there are people who are going to be retiring soon; and further requested that a sworn officer be kept in the Special Housing Unit (SHU Tower) because of the number of violent criminals in there and for employee safety and morale. In response to Commissioner Shaw, the Sheriff explained the hiring and training processes and why it takes so long to get new personnel on board. In response to Chairman Bradhurst, Sheriff Kirkland stated that he does agree to not start any new programs or add additional housing units that would require additional posts without authorization and funding from the Board as long as it does not impinge upon his constitutional authority and responsibility. He further stated that he is answerable to every citizen of Washoe County to make sure the programs work, cited examples of successful programs that are actually resulting in reducing recidivism or producing a profit, and pointed out to the Board that some of their programs are being written up in national magazines for their innovativeness. Sheriff Kirkland emphasized that the overtime problem is something that he inherited from the previous administration; that he has no legal authority not to hold prisoners; that every prisoner deposited at the jail has to be booked; and that he thinks it is remarkable that he has cut operating costs by $7-million.

Mr. Goelitz summed up by stating that Mr. Liebert is also doing a staffing analysis for alternatives to incarceration so that if there is a population cap imposed, the Sheriff will not be placed in a position of having to let inmates out the back as they come in the front, and stated that there are several items that staff will be bringing to the Board in regard to this issue.

Following further discussion, on motion by Commissioner Bond, seconded by Commissioner Shaw, which motion duly carried, Chairman Bradhurst ordered that the report submitted by Liebert and Associates regarding the level of staffing within the Detention Bureau of the Sheriff's Department be accepted; that the Finance Division be directed to report back to the Board in three months on the progress being made in implementing the non-staffing recommendations contained within the Liebert report; and that the Finance Division be directed to bring a proposal for "overfilling" Deputy Sheriff positions within the Detention Bureau to the Board in two months.

96-397 REFUND OF TAXES - THE CAMERA BAG

Pursuant to District Attorney Opinion No. 6319, on motion by Commissioner Sims, seconded by Commissioner Mouliot, which motion duly carried, it was ordered that the following resolution be adopted and Chairman Bradhurst be authorized to execute on behalf of Washoe County:

RESOLUTION -- Directing the County Treasurer to Refund Taxes

WHEREAS, the Board of Commissioners of Washoe County, pursuant to NRS 354.240 has the authority to direct the County Treasurer to refund to an applicant the amount of money paid into the County Treasury in excess of the amount legally payable; and

WHEREAS, The Camera Bag (Taxpayer) made application for the refund of 1994-1995 personal property taxes because the Assessor's Office double taxed the Taxpayer's property, I.D. #2/341-241; and

WHEREAS, The Camera Bag has overpaid taxes for fiscal year 1994-1995 in the amount of $527.80; and

WHEREAS, it is the opinion of the Board of Commissioners of Washoe County that the applicant for a refund has just cause for making such application and that the granting of such refund would be equitable.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Commissioners of Washoe County as follows:

1. The Treasurer of Washoe County be and hereby is authorized and directed to refund to The Camera Bag a total of $527.80, that amount being the taxes overpaid by The Camera Bag for the tax year 1994-1995 on I.D. #2/341-241.

2. The Treasurer of Washoe County is further directed to debit the account of each governmental entity who has shared in the excess of the taxes collected in error for their pro rata share of the refund.

96-398 REFUND OF TAXES - ROLLINS LEASING CORPORATION - DENIAL
Pursuant to District Attorney Opinion No. 6320, on motion by Commissioner Sims, seconded by Commissioner Mouliot, which motion duly carried, it was ordered that the request by Rollins Leasing Corporation for refund of taxes paid on personal property, in the amount of $985.10 for the 1993-1994 and 1994-1995 tax years be denied for the reasons stated in the Decision placed on file with the Clerk, and that Chairman Bradhurst be authorized to execute the Decision on behalf of Washoe County.

96-399 REFUND OF TAXES - GEORGE F. SIEFFERT, M.D. - DENIAL

Chairman Bradhurst stated that the request by Dr. George Sieffert for refund of personal property taxes had been continued from prior meetings and asked if Dr. Sieffert, or his representative, was present. There was no response. Pursuant to District Attorney Opinion No. 6313, on motion by Commissioner Shaw, seconded by Commissioner Sims, which motion duly carried, it was ordered that the request by George F. Sieffert, M.D. for refund of taxes paid on personal property, Aircraft I.D. #51/00-268, in the amount of $675.12 for the 1995-1996 tax year be denied for the reasons stated in the Decision placed on file with the Clerk and Chairman Bradhurst be authorized to execute the Decision on behalf of Washoe County.

96-400 PROCLAMATION - TRY TRANSIT WEEK

On motion by Commissioner Sims, seconded by Commissioner Bond, which motion duly carried, it was ordered that the following proclamation be adopted and Chairman Bradhurst authorized to execute on behalf of Washoe County:

PROCLAMATION

WHEREAS, Increased public investment in transit services provides the potential to create jobs and enhance business prosperity; and

WHEREAS, The nation, our communities and our citizens face the consequences to health and the environment that are brought on by automobile emissions; and

WHEREAS, Transportation consumes more than 63 percent of all petroleum used in the United States and increases the nation's reliance on foreign oil; and

WHEREAS, Public transportation is vital to the quality of life and economic well-being of the citizens of the State of Nevada; and

WHEREAS, Workers, school children, senior citizens, people with disabilities, and those unable to afford an automobile use public transportation to gain access to jobs, schools, medical facilities and other fundamental services; and

WHEREAS, Traffic congestion which wastes productive time can be alleviated through the increased availability and use of public transportation and other forms of high-occupancy, shared-ride services; and

WHEREAS, The Regional Transportation Commission, the American Public Transit Association, the National Association of Counties, the National Association of Regional Councils, the National League of Cities, the United States Conference of Mayors, and America's Coalition for Transit NOW have declared May 12-17, 1996, to be "TRY TRANSIT WEEK" and have called upon all citizens to join in a nationwide effort to promote transit's benefits, honor transit employees, and encourage new riders; now, therefore, be it

PROCLAIMED, BY THE BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS OF WASHOE COUNTY, NEVADA, That the week of May 12-17, 1996, be designated as "TRY TRANSIT WEEK" and that the Board calls upon all citizens to examine their personal travel choices, to give consideration to commute via transit or share the ride during "Try Transit Week," and to become more active in education and advocacy efforts to promote the vital role of public transit in Washoe County and across the United States.

96-401 ANNUAL RATIFICATION AND RECONFIRMATION - MT. ROSE SERVICE COMPANY PURCHASE AGREEMENT - UTILITY

Upon recommendation of John Collins, Chief Sanitary Engineer, through David Roundtree, Acting Public Works Director, on motion by Commissioner Sims, seconded by Commissioner Bond, which motion duly carried, Chairman Bradhurst ordered that the terms of the Mt.
96-402 REVISION TO SALARY SCHEDULE - SEASONAL PARK EMPLOYEES - PERSONNEL DIVISION

Upon recommendation of Joanne Ray, Personnel Division, on motion by Commissioner Sims, seconded by Commissioner Bond, which motion duly carried, Chairman Bradhurst ordered that the revised salary schedule for Seasonal Park Employees attached to Ms. Ray's agenda memorandum dated May 1, 1996, be approved and that the Finance Division be directed to appropriate funding for the Parks Department budget for fiscal year 1996/97 to cover the increases.

96-403 CORRECTION OF FACTUAL ERRORS - 1995-96 AND 1996-97 SECURED TAX ROLLS

Upon recommendation of Tom Sokol, Assistant Chief Deputy Assessor, on motion by Commissioner Sims, seconded by Commissioner Bond, which motion duly carried, it was ordered that the following Roll Change Requests, correcting factual errors on tax bills already mailed, be approved for the reasons stated thereon and mailed to the property owner, a copy of which has been placed on file with the Clerk. It was further ordered that the Order directing the Treasurer to correct the error be approved and Chairman Bradhurst be authorized to execute on behalf of the Commission.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>APN</th>
<th>Roll Year</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Reno General Partnership</td>
<td>012-139-14</td>
<td>1995/96 Secured Roll</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Melissa Piasecki, M.D.</td>
<td>077-300-05</td>
<td>1996/97 Secured Roll</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cheryl Cairns</td>
<td>011-032-11</td>
<td>1996/97 Secured Roll</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Henry J. Green</td>
<td>007-182-02</td>
<td>1996/97 Secured Roll</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

96-404 ACCEPTANCE OF DONATIONS - KIDS KOTTAGE - SOCIAL SERVICES

Upon recommendation of May Shelton, Director, Social Services Department, on motion by Commissioner Sims, seconded by Commissioner Bond, which motion duly carried, Chairman Bradhurst ordered that cash donations in the amount of $3,226.01 from various organizations and individuals and donations of clothing, toys, baby items and miscellaneous items, valued at over $4,000.00 be accepted with the Board's gratitude. It was further ordered that the Comptroller make the following account changes for the cash donations:

Increase Revenue:
Account 28-28052-5802 (General Donations) by $3,226.01

Increase Expenditures:
Account 28-28052-7205 (Minor Furniture and Equipment) by $3,226.01

96-405 ACCEPTANCE OF DONATION - PERSONAL COMPUTER AND COMPONENTS - SHERIFF

Upon recommendation of Sheriff Richard Kirkland, on motion by Commissioner Sims, seconded by Commissioner Bond, which motion duly carried, Chairman Bradhurst ordered that the donation of a stand-alone personal computer, monitor, modem, mouse, keyboard and printer from Kevin Lyons, General Manager of Technology Center, for use in the Juvenile Unit of the Detective Division of the Washoe County Sheriff's Office be accepted with the Board's gratitude.

96-406 GRANT APPLICATION - STATE OF NEVADA, OFFICE OF TRAFFIC SAFETY REIMBURSEMENT GRANT - SEAT BELT LAWS AND CHILD RESTRAINTS - SHERIFF

Upon recommendation of Sheriff Richard Kirkland, on motion by Commissioner Sims, seconded by Commissioner Bond, which motion duly carried, Chairman Bradhurst ordered that the Sheriff's Office be authorized to submit a grant application to the State of Nevada, Office of Traffic Safety, in support of a program of education and enforcement of Nevada's seat belt laws to include child restraints, in the amount of $18,500 on a reimbursement basis with no matching funds required.
Upon recommendation of Press Clewe, Emergency Management, on motion by Commissioner Sims, seconded by Commissioner Bond, which motion duly carried, it was ordered that the Division of Emergency Management be authorized to apply for four State of Nevada, Federal Emergency Management Agency, Hazardous Material, Training and Equipment grants, in the amount of $19,500.00 and that Chairman Bradhurst be authorized to execute said grant applications.

Upon recommendation of Press Clewe, Emergency Management, on motion by Commissioner Sims, seconded by Commissioner Bond, which motion duly carried, it was ordered that the Division of Emergency Management be authorized to apply for two State of Nevada, Federal Emergency Management Agency, Hazardous Material, Training and Exercising grants, in the amount of $9,000.00.

Upon recommendation of James Gale, Senior Property Agent, through David Roundtree, Acting Public Works Director, on motion by Commissioner Sims, seconded by Commissioner Bond, which motion duly carried, it was ordered that a Quitclaim Deed from Lifestyle Homes, Inc., transferring the title of the right-of-way on a portion of Dandini Boulevard to Washoe County be accepted and Chairman Bradhurst be authorized to execute.

Upon recommendation of James Gale, Senior Property Agent, through David Roundtree, Acting Public Works Director, on motion by Commissioner Sims, seconded by Commissioner Bond, which motion duly carried, it was ordered that the Grant of Easement to Paiute Pipeline Company be approved and Chairman Bradhurst be authorized to execute. It was noted that the proposed easement is located on an unusable portion of the Incline Village Maintenance Yard property.

Upon recommendation of James Gale, Senior Property Agent, through David Roundtree, Acting Public Works Director, on motion by Commissioner Sims, seconded by Commissioner Bond, which motion duly carried, it was ordered that the Grant of Easement to S.C.I. Development Services, Inc., be approved and Chairman Bradhurst be authorized to execute. It was noted that the proposed easement is located along Washoe County greenbelt by the Truckee River and that S.C.I. Development has agreed to landscape their river frontage.

Upon recommendation of James Gale, Senior Property Agent, through David Roundtree, Acting Public Works Director, on motion by Commissioner Sims, seconded by Commissioner Bond, which motion duly carried, it was ordered that acquisition of a well site from James and Cynthia Richardson, APN 084-050-12, for the Countryside System in Spanish Springs be authorized and that Chairman Bradhurst be authorized to execute the Agreement, Deed and Easement when presented.

This was the time to consider award of bid, Notice to Bidders for receipt of sealed bids having been published in the Reno Gazette-Journal on March 26, 1996, for automotive and light truck repair and maintenance parts and supplies for the Equipment Services Division of the General Services Department and participating joinder agencies. Joinder agencies that desire specific consideration pertaining to this bid include the City of Reno and Washoe County School District. Proof was made that due and legal Notice had been given.

Bids, copies of which were placed on file with the Clerk, were received from the following vendors:
Plaza Auto Parts
Allied Automotive
Auto Parts Club Inc.
Express Undercar Parts Warehouse
Red Ball Auto Parts


Upon recommendation of John Balentine, Purchasing and Contracts Administrator, on motion by Commissioner Sims, seconded by Commissioner Bond, which motion duly carried, Chairman Bradhurst ordered that Bid No. 1920-96 for automotive and light truck repair and maintenance parts and supplies for the Equipment Services Division of the General Services Department and participating joinder agencies be awarded to Plaza Auto Parts as the primary vendor and Allied Automotive as the secondary vendor, the lowest responsive and responsible bidder(s) meeting specifications, terms and conditions for the grand total of bid items offered, and after consideration of discounts offered in the various categories.

It was noted that this multiple award provides the flexibility of being able to utilize a contracted second vendor when the primary vendor is out of stock; that discounts, prices and exceptions as stated in Washoe County Invitation to Bid #1920-96 shall be honored and adhered to until February 28, 1998, with an option to renew for an additional one-year period through February 28, 1999, provided pricing does not increase through the option year specified; that the bid items are procured on an as-needed basis; and that the estimated expenditures shall be approximately $51,000 per fiscal year.

96-414 AWARD OF BID - CONSTRUCTION OF LEMMON VALLEY WATER SYSTEM WELL NO. 7 - UTILITY DIVISION

This was the time to consider award of bid, Notice to Contractors for receipt of sealed proposals having been published in the Reno Gazette-Journal on March 29, April 4, 11, 17, and 24, 1996, for construction of the Lemmon Valley Water System Well No. 7 on behalf of the Utility Division of the Public Works Department. Proof was made that due and legal Notice had been given.

Following is a summary of the bids received:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Contractor</th>
<th>Base Bid</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Engineer's Estimate</td>
<td>$105,800.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resource Development Co., Inc.</td>
<td>$ 97,625.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interstate Utility Constructors</td>
<td>$133,838.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R. Kent Sweet Construction, Inc.</td>
<td>(rejected due to inconsistencies)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Upon recommendation of John Collins, Chief Sanitary Engineer, through David Roundtree, Acting Public Works Director, on motion by Commissioner Sims, seconded by Commissioner Bond, which motion duly carried, it was ordered that the bid for construction of the Lemmon Valley Water System Well No. 7 be awarded to Resource Development Company, Inc., the lowest responsible, responsive bidder in the amount of $97,625; that the Chairman be authorized to execute the contract documents upon their receipt; and that the Chief Sanitary Engineer be authorized to issue the Notice to Proceed.

96-415 AWARD OF BID - BARTLEY RANCH REGIONAL PARK LANDSCAPING IMPROVEMENTS - ENGINEERING DIVISION

This was the time to consider award of bid, Notice to Contractors for receipt of sealed proposals having been published in the Reno Gazette-Journal on February 1 and 7, 1996, for Bartley Ranch Regional Park Landscaping Improvements on behalf of the Engineering Division of the Public Works Department. Proof was made that due and legal Notice had been given.

Following is a summary of the bids received:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Contractor</th>
<th>Base Bid</th>
<th>Alt. 3</th>
<th>Alt. 4</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Engineer's Estimate</td>
<td>$105,800.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resource Development Co., Inc.</td>
<td>$ 97,625.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interstate Utility Constructors</td>
<td>$133,838.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R. Kent Sweet Construction, Inc.</td>
<td>(rejected due to inconsistencies)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Upon recommendation of James Palabay, Engineering Division, through David Roundtree, Acting Public Works Director, on motion by Commissioner Sims, seconded by Commissioner Bond, which motion duly carried, it was ordered that the Base Bid and Alternates 3 and 4 for the Bartley Ranch Regional Park Landscaping improvements be awarded to the low, responsible bidder, Interstate Utility Constructors, Inc., in the amount of $305,223.00; and that Chairman Bradhurst be authorized to execute the contract when presented.

96-416 LEASE PURCHASE OF COMPUTER HARDWARE - FAMILY SUPPORT DIVISION, DISTRICT ATTORNEY

Lance Turner, Program Manager, Family Support Division, advised that the original financing proposal from IBM for upgrading to the AS/400 Model 435 system was for 0% interest; that he has now been informed that because of Nevada's personal property tax laws, IBM is going to charge approximately 9.71% interest, which will add about $10,000 to the contract over the 4-year period; and that the net cost to the County would be approximately $3,400 because the whole amount would be reimbursable by the federal government at 66%. Legal Counsel Madelyn Shipman stated that it appears that Nevada is the only state this applies to and that staff has not yet checked with the Assessor's office regarding this.

Following further discussion, on motion by Commissioner Bond, seconded by Commissioner Mouliot, which motion duly carried, Chairman Bradhurst ordered that the lease-purchase of an IBM AS/400 at a cost not to exceed $40,000 be approved. It was noted that reimbursement from the federal government will be at 66% and the actual cost to the County will not exceed $13,600.

96-417 UNCOLLECTIBLE PERSONAL PROPERTY ACCOUNTS - COMPTROLLER

Upon recommendation of Kathy Garcia, Comptroller, on motion by Commissioner Bond, seconded by Commissioner Shaw, which motion duly carried, Chairman Bradhurst ordered that deletion of the Uncollectible Personal Property Accounts, as set forth in the agenda memorandum dated April 17, 1996, and placed on file with the Clerk, be approved.

96-418 RESOLUTION - MEDIUM TERM FINANCING - $6,850,000 - VARIOUS PUBLIC PROJECTS

This was the time to consider adoption of a resolution authorizing medium-term financing in the maximum principal amount of $6,850,000 to enable the Board to pay all or a portion of the cost of acquiring, constructing, improving and equipping public buildings, roads and water and sewer facilities, said Amended Notice of Intention having been published in the Reno Gazette-Journal on May 3, 1996.

Jerry McKnight, Budget Director, was present and answered questions of the Board, stating that the portion of the bond funds needed for utility projects will be repaid from connection fees; and that general operating funds have been used for such projects as adding the third floor to the new courthouse rather than borrowing for that, which need to be put back in the general fund to restore working capital.

On motion by Commissioner Bond, seconded by Commissioner Shaw, which motion duly carried, it was ordered that the following resolution be adopted and Chairman Bradhurst authorized to execute on behalf of Washoe County:

RESOLUTION NO. 96-418

A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING MEDIUM-TERM FINANCING IN AN AMOUNT OF UP TO $6,850,000 FOR THE PURPOSE OF FINANCING ALL OR A PORTION OF THE COST OF VARIOUS PUBLIC PROJECTS; DIRECTING THE OFFICERS OF THE COUNTY TO FORWARD MATERIALS TO THE DEPARTMENT OF TAXATION OF THE STATE OF NEVADA; AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MANAGER OR HIS DESIGNEE TO ARRANGE FOR THE SALE OF THE COUNTY'S MEDIUM-TERM BONDS;
WHEREAS, the Board of County Commissioners of Washoe County, Nevada (the "Board," "County," and "State," respectively) proposes to incur up to $6,850,000 in medium-term financing of the County under Chapters 350.085 to 350.095, inclusive, of Nevada Revised Statutes (the "Project Act: and "NRS," respectively), in order to finance all or a portion of the cost of acquiring, constructing, improving and equipping public buildings, roads and water and sewer facilities within the County (the "Project"); bearing interest at a rate or rates which do not exceed by more than 3% the "Index of Twenty Bonds" most recently published in The Bond Buyer before bids are received for such medium-term financing or a negotiated offer is accepted, and maturing within 10 years of the date of issuance thereof, in order to pay the costs of the Project; and

WHEREAS, the Board has determined that legally available funds of the County will at least equal the amount required in each year for the payment of interest and principal on such medium-term financing; and

WHEREAS, NRS 350.087 requires that a notice of intention to authorize medium-term financing be published not less than 10 days prior to the consideration of a resolution authorizing medium-term financing; and

WHEREAS, a notice of intention to act upon the resolution authorizing such medium-term financing has been duly published in a newspaper of general circulation in the County not less than 10 days prior to the date hereof pursuant to NRS 350.087; and

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS OF WASHOE COUNTY, NEVADA:

Section 1. The Board hereby finds and determines that the public interest requires medium-term financing for the Project in a principal amount not exceeding $6,850,000.

Section 2. The facts upon which the finding stated in 1 above are:

(a) There is a need to acquire, improve, construct and equip public buildings, roads and water and sewer facilities due to increased demand for and use of County facilities beyond the existing capacity of such facilities due to the population growth in the County.

(b) It is in the best interest of the County and its inhabitants, and would best serve the health and welfare thereof, if the Project is now accomplished, thereby assisting in alleviating the needs mentioned in (a) above;

(c) It is not feasible to finance the Project from other funds of the County, among other reasons, because of restraints on the County's budget for the current fiscal year and other demands on and needs for existing funds of the County.

Section 3. The County proposes to borrow a sum not to exceed $6,850,000 at an annual interest rate estimated to be 6.0% to be repaid over a period of not more than 10 years. Such medium-term financing shall be evidenced by the issuance by the County of medium-term negotiable bonds which mature not later than 10 years after the date of issuance, said term being less than the estimated useful life of the assets to be purchased with the proceeds from the financing, and the interest rate shall in no event exceed by more than 3 percent the "Index of Twenty Bonds" which is most recently published before bids are received or a negotiated offer is accepted (the "Bonds"). Such medium-term bonds shall be issued on such other terms and conditions as the Board determines, all as provided in the Project Act and NRS 350.500 to 350.720, inclusive, (the "Bond Act") and as specified by the adoption of a bond ordinance specifying the Bond terms and details approving and ratifying their sale (the "Bond Ordinance").

Section 4. The medium-term financing shall not be paid in whole or in part from a levy of a special tax exempt from the limitations on the levy of ad valorem tax, but shall be paid from other legally available funds of the County.
Section 5. The County Manager or his designee is hereby authorized to arrange for the issuance and sale of the Bonds in a total principal amount of not more than $6,850,000 to finance the cost of the Project, in accordance with Project Act and the Bond Act.

Section 6. The County Manager or his designee is authorized to specify the terms of the Bonds, the method of their sale, the final principal amount of the Bonds (not in excess of $6,850,000) the terms of their repayment and security therefor, and other details of the Bonds, and if deemed appropriate by the County Manager or his designee, to advertise the Bonds for sale, subject to the Project Act and the Bond Act and subject to ratification by the Board by the Bond Ordinance.

Section 7. The officers of the County are hereby authorized to take all action necessary or appropriate to effectuate the provisions of this resolution, including without limitation, (a) forwarding all necessary documents to the Executive Director, Department of Taxation, Carson City, Nevada, (b) assembling of financial and other information concerning the County and the Project, and the Bonds, and (c) preparing and circulating an official statement for the Bonds, and, if deemed appropriate by the County Manager or his designee, preparing and circulating a preliminary official statement, a notice of bond sale for the Bonds, or both, in the forms specified by the County Manager or his designee. The County Manager or his designee is authorized to deem the official statement or preliminary official statement to be a "final" official statement on behalf of the County for the purposes of Rule 15c2-12 of the Securities and Exchange Commission.

Section 8. The County Manager or his designee shall, after arranging for the sale of the Bonds, present the proposed terms of the sale to the Board for its approval by adoption of the Bond Ordinance.

Section 9. Sections 1 through 4 of this Resolution shall become effective upon the approval hereof by the Executive Director of the Department of Taxation of the State of Nevada as provided in NRS 350.089. Sections 5 through 8 of this Resolution shall become effective upon passage and approval.

96-419 SKI WAY WATER QUALITY IMPROVEMENT PROJECT - INCLINE VILLAGE EROSION CONTROL - PUBLIC WORKS

Dave Roundtree, Acting Public Works Director, responded to questions raised at Caucus regarding maintenance of subject project. Chairman Bradhurst advised that it is his belief that Ski Way is an Incline Village General Improvement District (IVGID) road and it may be necessary to work something out with that entity also, or at a minimum, clear up the Ski Way right-of-way ownership issue.

On motion by Commissioner Bond, seconded by Commissioner Sims, which motion duly carried, it was ordered that:

1. The Ski Way Water Quality Improvement Project be approved in concept;
2. Staff be authorized to request $455,000 from Washoe County's TRPA Water Quality Mitigation Fund for design and construction of the project;
3. The Chairman be authorized to execute the Cooperative Agreement between the County of Washoe and the Nevada Tahoe Conservation District for the engineering design and construction management for the project; and
4. Staff be authorized to advertise for bids after the plans and specifications are completed.

96-420 THIRD CREEK WATERSHED RESTORATION AND ENHANCEMENT PROJECT - INCLINE VILLAGE EROSION CONTROL - PUBLIC WORKS

Dave Roundtree, Acting Public Works Director, advised that another benefit of this particular project is the concept of an SEZ Bank whereby developers can contribute funds towards the further restoration of this stream zone as part of their mitigation requirements.

Upon recommendation of Kimble Corbridge, Engineering Division, through Dave Roundtree, Acting Public Works Director, on motion by Commissioner Bond, seconded by Commissioner Shaw, which motion duly carried, it was ordered that:
1. The Third Creek Watershed Restoration and Enhancement Project proposed by the Incline Village General Improvement District (IVGID) be approved in concept; and

2. Staff be authorized to request $250,000 from Washoe County's TRPA Water Quality Mitigation Fund (SEZ portion only) for the purpose of helping fund the proposed IVGID project.

96-421 AMENDMENT TO COOPERATIVE AGREEMENT - NEVADA TAHOE CONSERVATION DISTRICT - FIRST, SECOND, THIRD AND WOODS CREEKS WATER QUALITY IMPROVEMENT PROJECT - LAKE TAHOE EROSION CONTROL - PUBLIC WORKS

Dave Roundtree, Acting Public Works Director, stated that it should be noted that there is a potential that some of these additional costs can be recovered from the contractor.

Upon recommendation of Kimble Corbridge, Engineering Division, through Craig McConnell, Public Works Director, on motion by Commissioner Bond, seconded by Commissioner Mouliot, which motion duly carried, it was ordered that an amendment to the Cooperative Agreement between Washoe County and the Nevada Tahoe Conservation District for engineering and inspection services for the First, Second, Third and Woods Creeks Water Quality Improvement Project, increasing the contract amount by $9,020.50, be approved and Chairman Bradhurst authorized to execute.

96-422 RENEWAL OF INTERLOCAL AGREEMENT - SOUTH TRUCKEE MEADOWS GENERAL IMPROVEMENT DISTRICT - OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE OF WATER FACILITIES - UTILITY DIVISION

Upon recommendation of John Collins, Chief Sanitary Engineer, through David Roundtree, Acting Public Works Director, on motion by Commissioner Bond, seconded by Commissioner Shaw, which motion duly carried, it was ordered that the renewal of the Interlocal Agreement between Washoe County and the South Truckee Meadows General Improvement District concerning operation and maintenance of water facilities be approved and Chairman Bradhurst authorized to execute.

96-423 AGREEMENT - INDEPENDENT CONTRACT FOR INTERPRETER SERVICES - RENO & SPARKS JUSTICE COURTS

Upon recommendation of Brian Mirch, Finance Division, on motion by Commissioner Bond, seconded by Commissioner Sims, which motion duly carried, it was ordered that an independent contract between Washoe County and Marcelo Nino de Guzman, concerning interpretive services for Spanish-speaking criminal defendants who appear before Reno and Sparks Justice Courts, for a term of one year with automatic renewals unless canceled by either party, be approved and Chairman Bradhurst be authorized to execute.

96-424 AGREEMENT - DISSOLUTION OF HORIZON HILLS GENERAL IMPROVEMENT DISTRICT & ASSUMPTION OF OBLIGATIONS BY WASHOE COUNTY

Upon recommendation of Madelyn Shipman, Assistant District Attorney, on motion by Commissioner Bond, seconded by Commissioner Shaw, which motion duly carried, Chairman Bradhurst ordered that consideration of the agreement concerning the dissolution of the Horizon Hills General Improvement District and assumption of the District's obligations by Washoe County by continued to the May 28, 1996 meeting.

96-425 INTRODUCTION OF ORDINANCE - DISSOLUTION OF HORIZON HILLS GENERAL IMPROVEMENT DISTRICT

Upon recommendation of Madelyn Shipman, Assistant District Attorney, on motion by Commissioner Bond, seconded by Commissioner Shaw, which motion duly carried, Chairman Bradhurst ordered that introduction of the ordinance initiating the dissolution of the Horizon Hills General Improvement District be continued to the May 28, 1996 meeting.

96-426 BILL NO. 1128 - AMENDING WCC CHAPTER 5 - TRAVEL REGULATIONS AND REIMBURSEMENT OF RECRUITMENT EXPENSES

Bill No. 1128, entitled, "AN ORDINANCE AMENDING THE WASHOE CODE BY REVISIONS THE TRAVEL REGULATIONS PERTAINING TO USE OF COUNTY VEHICLES, ADDING PROVISIONS FOR PAYMENT OF RECRUITMENT EXPENSES, AND OTHER MATTERS PROPERLY RELATING THERETO" was introduced by Commissioner Sims, the title read to the Board and legal notice for final action of adoption directed.
Bill No. 1129, entitled, "AN ORDINANCE AMENDING ORDINANCE NO. 802, NO. 830 AND NO. 901 BY REVISING A SCHEDULE OF RATES, CHARGES AND CONNECTION FEES FOR PROVISION OF SANITARY SEWER SERVICE BY WASHOE COUNTY WITHIN THE VALLEY VILLAGE AREA OF LEMMON VALLEY IN WASHOE COUNTY; REQUIRING THE DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS, UTILITY DIVISION, TO SUBMIT BILLINGS TO ALL UNSEWERED USERS WITHIN THE VALLEY VILLAGE AREA, REQUIRING PAYMENT THEREOF; PROHIBITING THE CONTINUED USE OF ONSITE SEPTIC DISPOSAL SYSTEMS AFTER TWO YEARS OF THE DATE SANITARY SEWER SERVICE IS AVAILABLE WITHIN THE VALLEY VILLAGE AREA; AND PROVIDING OTHER MATTERS PROPERLY RELATING THERETO" was introduced by Commissioner Bond, the title read to the Board and legal notice for final action of adoption directed.

* * * * * * * *

There being no further business to come before the Board, the meeting adjourned at 10:35 p.m.

STEPHEN T. BRADHURST, Chairman
Washoe County Commission

ATTEST: JUDI BAILEY, County Clerk